UNIVERSITA' DI BOLOGNA

Corso di laurea magistrale in Biologia Marina

Feeding entrainment of locomotor activity and clock gene expression in Senegalese sole, *Solea senegalensis***.**

Tesi di laurea in

Adattamenti degli animali all'ambiente marino

Prof. Elena Fabbri **Sara Frau**

Correlatore

Dr.ssa Águeda Jimena Martín Robles

Correlatore

Prof. José Antonio Muñoz-Cueto

III Sessione

Anno Accademico 2012/2013

Relatore Presentata da

The sole

The fishes had for a long time been discontented because no order prevailed in their kingdom. None of them turned aside for the others, but all swam to the right or the left as they fancied, or darted between those who wanted to stay together, or got into their way; and a strong one gave a weak one a blow with its tail, which drove it away, or else swallowed it up without more ado. "How delightful it would be", said they, "if we had a king who enforced law and justice among us!" and they met together to choose for their ruler, the one who could cleave through the water most quickly, and give help to the weak ones. They placed themselves in rank and file by the shore, and the pike gave the signal with his tail, on which they all started. Like an arrow, the pike darted away, and with him the herring, the gudgeon, the perch, the carp, and all the rest of them. Even the sole swam with them, and hoped to reach the winningplace. All at once, the cry was heard: "The herring is first! The herring is first!" "Who is first?" screamed angrily the flat envious sole, who had been left far behind, "who is first?" "The herring! The herring", was the answer. "The naked herring?" cried the jealous creature, "the naked herring?" Since that time the sole's mouth has been at one side for a punishment.

La sogliola

I pesci erano da tempo infelici perché non c'era alcun ordine nel loro regno. Nessuno di loro si spostava per far passare gli altri, ma tutti nuotavano a destra o a sinistra, come volevano, o guizzavano tra quelli che volevano stare insieme, o andavano per la loro strada, quelli più forti davano colpi alla coda dei più deboli, li spingevano lontano senza troppi indugi. "Che bello sarebbe", dissero, "se avessimo un re che imponga diritti e giustizia fra noi!" così si incontrarono tutti insieme per scegliere il loro sovrano, colui che poteva fendere l'acqua più velocemente, e dare aiuto a quelli deboli. Si misero in gruppo sulla riva, e il luccio diede il segnale con la sua coda, da li cominciò tutto. Come una freccia, il luccio schizzò via, e con lui l' aringa, il ghiozzo, il pesce persico, la carpa, e tutti gli altri. Anche la sogliola nuotava con loro, e sperava di raggiungere la vincente-successivamente. Tutto a un tratto, si udì il grido: "L'aringa è prima! L'aringa è prima!" "Chi è la prima?" urlò con rabbia la sogliola invidiosa, che era stata lasciata molto indietro", chi è la prima?" "L'aringa! L'aringa," fu la risposta. "L' aringa nuda?" esclamò la creatura gelosa, "l'aringa nuda?" Da quel momento la bocca della sogliola è sempre stata da un unico lato per punizione.

El lenguado

Hacía tiempo que los peces estaban disgustados porque no había orden en su reino. Nadie contaba con los demás. Cada uno nadaba por su derecha o por su izquierda, según se le ocurría, separaba a los que querían permanecer juntos o les cortaba el paso, y el más fuerte golpeaba al más débil con la cola y lo mandaba lejos o se lo tragaba sin más

contemplaciones. "¡Qué hermoso sería si tuviéramos un rey que dictase leyes e impartiese justicia!" decían. Y acordaron elegir como rey al que atravesase más rápidamente tres corrientes para acudir en socorro de los débiles. Se colocaron en fila, pues, en la orilla, el esturión dio la señal de partida con su cola, y todos salieron nadando juntos. Como una flecha partió el esturión y, con él, el arenque, el gobio, la perca, la carpa y como quiera que se llamen todos. También nadaba el lenguado, con la esperanza de llegar a la meta. De repente se oyó una voz: "¡El arenque va adelante! ¡El arenque va adelante! "¿Quién está delante?" gritó malhumorado el tosco y envidioso lenguado, que se había quedado muy atrás, "¿quién está delante?" "El arenque, el arenque", fue la respuesta. "¿El desnudo arenque?" gritó el envidioso, "¿el desnudo arenque?" Desde ese día, el lenguado, como castigo, tiene la boca torcida. ¡Qué hermoso sería si tuviéramos un rey que dictase leyes e impartiese justicia!" decían. Y a que atravesase más rápidamente tres corrientes para acudir en socorro de los débiles. Se orilla, el esturión dio la señal de par

Jacob and Wilhelm Grimm, Household Tales Tales, London, 1884

Figure 1 . The flatfish *Solea Senegalensis.*

CONTENTS

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Biological rhythms in living organisms: a historical overview.

 The term "Chronobiology" comes from the ancient Greek χρόνος (*chrónos*, meaning "time"), and biology, (the study, or science, of life). It is a field of biology that examines the timing of rhythmic phenomena in living organisms and their synchronization to geophysical cycles.

 The first note about biological rhythms dates back to the 4th century BC, when Androstene, a ship captain in the service of Alexander the Great, described diurnal movements of the leaves of the *Tamarindus indica* (Bretzl, 1903). Much later, in 1729, Jean-Jacques d'Ortous de Mairan, a French astronomer, performed an experiment that demonstrated the existence of circadian rhythms in plants, specifically in *Mimosa pudica.* He was intrigued by the daily opening and closing of the heliotrope plant and performed a simple experiment where he exposed the plants to constant darkness and recorded the behaviour. De Mairan's conclusion was that the daily rhythmic opening and closing of the leaves persisted even in the absence of sunlight (Gardner et al., 2006) .

 In 1751, a Swedish botanist and naturalist Carolus Linnaeus (Carl von Linné) designed an *Horologium Florae* (flower clock) using certain species of flowering plants. By arranging the selected species in a circular pattern, he designed a clock that indicated the time of the day when the flowers opened. (C. Linneus, 1751) (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Linneo flower clock. The time of the day can be calculated depending on the flowers that are opened or closed in the field.

 In 1832, Augustin Pyramus de Candolle studying *Mimosa pudica* noticed that plant leaf movements follow an almost 24-hour cycle in constant light, suggesting the existence of an internal biological clock (Robertson McClung, 2006). In 1929, Carl Von Frish demonstrated that bees have an internal clock with three different synchronization or timekeeping mechanisms.

 In 1935, crossing experiments performed by Erwin Bünning with bean plants of different periodicity demonstrated that the next generation had periods of intermediate duration, supporting the suggestion that circadian rhythms are heritable. He determined in plants the genetic origin of the "**biological clock**", a term he coined (Bünning Erwin, 1967).

 In the 1950s, Colin Pittendrigh elaborated careful descriptions of the properties of circadian clock in *Drosophila* and other species, providing the first formal models of how circadian rhythms synchronize to local light-dark cycles (Pittendrigh C.S, 1960). His contributions to this field were so relevant that he is considered as the founder of modern Chronobiology. In 1960, Jürgen Ashoff focused on understanding the properties of circadian rhythms and how these rhythms can change in response to stimuli specifically in diurnal and nocturnal species (Ashoff J, 1960) In recent years, considerable efforts have been done to increase knowledge in this area of science.

 Since the emergence of life on Earth, our planet has been subjected to daily and annual cycles of light and darkness, caused by the rotation of the Earth around its axis and around the sun. Therefore, this alternation of day and night has had deep influences in the life of the organisms from the earliest times. Evolution has favoured the development of **internal mechanisms** of measurement of time or biological clocks that may allow anticipation of these environmental cyclic changes, optimizing the biochemical and physiological processes. These internal cycles in living organisms are known as **biological rhythms**.

1.2 Types and properties of circadian rhythms

 The most studied rhythm in Chronobiology is the **circadian rhythm**, a roughly 24-hour cycle shown by physiological processes in all living organisms. The term *circadian* comes from the Latin *circa*, meaning "around" and *dies*, "day", meaning "approximately a day" and it was coined by Franz Halberg. The circadian rhythm can further be broken down into routine cycles during the 24-hour day: **diurnal**, which describes organisms active during daytime; **nocturnal**, which describes organisms active in the night; **crepuscular**, which describes animals primarily active during the dawn and dusk hours. In the nature we know other cycles including: **infradian rhythms**, which have periods longer than a day $(>=28$ -hour), such as the annual migration or reproductive rhythms found in certain animals or the human menstrual cycle; and **ultradian rhythms**, which exhibit cycles shorter than 24 hours (<20-hour), such as the 90-minute REM cycle, or the 3-hour cycle of growth hormone production.

 Circadian rhythms have some basic features: they are **endogenous**, so circadian rhythmicity persists in the absence of temporary environmental signals. Under these constant conditions, the rhythm enters in "*free running*", whose period is approaching, but is not exactly

from 24 hours. Circadian rhythms are therefore genetically determined and not simple passive responses to environmental changes. There is an internal clock, an endogenous circadian pacemaker or oscillator, which is self-sustained. Under constant conditions, the periodicity of circadian rhythms in free course remains relatively invariable over a wide range of environmental temperatures, because the existence of **compensatory mechanisms**. A third characteristic property of circadian rhythms is their ability to be **synchronized,** or entrained, by external time cues, such as the light-dark cycle. Thus, although circadian rhythms can persist in the absence of external time cues, such cues are normally present and the rhythms are aligned to them. Accordingly, if a shift in external cues occurs, the rhythms will be readjusted to the new phase of the cues. This alignment is called "*entrainment*". Therefore, a circadian rhythm can be defined as an oscillation of a biological parameter, dependent on a clock that is synchronized daily by environmental signals, and maintaining a period close to 24 hours in the absence of external stimuli.

 These external stimuli able to entrain circadian rhythms are called **zeitgebers**, from a German word meaning "time givers", and include environmental time cues such as sunlight, food, noise, or social interaction between others. Zeitgebers help to reset the biological clock to a 24-hour day. Because its stability in period and phase, the most consistent environmental signal is the 24 hours light-dark cycle. As a result, almost all circadian rhythms of the species studied up to date are synchronized in a daily manner by this cue. An environmental signal can be considered as a synchronizer if meets certain requirements (Moore-Ede et al., 1982):

- The biological rhythm must be in "*free running*" with a certain period before than the animal is exposed to the synchronizer and regain this period when the factor is eliminated.
- Once the animal is exposed to the synchronizer, the period of the biological rhythm must be coincident with the period of the zeitgeber. Thus, the endogenous circadian period is adjusted to the period of the environmental cycle.
- After each exposure to the synchronizer, a stable phase relationship between the endogenous rhythm and the synchronizer must be established and maintained.
- When the synchronizer is removed, the rhythm starts to "free run", from the phase determined by the environmental signal.

 Circadian rhythms can be graphically represented in **cronograms,** where a sine wave can be fitted. Within each cycle, the phase is a particular value of the rhythm in the cycle and the time period at which the cycle peaks is called the **acrophase.** When the process is less active, the cycle is in its **bathyphase** or **trough phase**. The highest value of the rhythmic biological variable is the **peak** or **maximum** and the lowest value is the **nadir.** The difference between the peak (or trough) and the mean value of a wave is measured by the **amplitude** and the **period** of the rhythm is the time between two points in the same phase (close to 24 h in the case of circadian rhythms).

Figure 3: Diagram of an oscillatory process characterized by its 4 parameters. **Acrophase:** the time at which the peak of a rhythm occurs; **Amplitude:** the difference between the peak and the mean value of a wave; **Mesor:** an estimate of central tendency of the distribution of values of an oscillating variable; **Period: t**he time elapsed for one complete oscillation or cycle.

1.3 Circadian organization in vertebrates

 In relation to the circadian rhythms and their properties, the circadian organization of an organism would involve the whole circadian system above the cellular level, its components and interactions between them. All circadian systems are composed of three basic elements, an oscillator or **clock** that generates and sustains the endogenous rhythmic oscillations, an **input** that allows the synchronization of the oscillator, and an **output** by which the pacemaker regulates many physiological and behavioural processes.

Figure 4: Conceptual model of a circadian system wich consist in three elements. The **imput** pathways, involved in the entrainment of the central **oscillator,** which is the core of the circadian system. This molecular self-sustained oscillator controls the physiological processes of the organism by regulating **output** pathways.

 Although there is a great complexity in the circadian organization of vertebrates, in most groups there is a "circadian axis" consisting of the **retina**, **pineal gland** and mammalian **suprachiasmatic nucleus** or analogous areas in other groups, together with the **neural and humoral pathways** that coupled these structures. The importance of each structure may vary depending on the species (Menaker, 2002).

 In mammalian vertebrates, the central oscillator or the main circadian pacemaker is located in the **suprachiasmatic nucleus of the hypothalamus (SCN)**, a pair of tiny structures that extend above the optic chiasm, on either side of the base of the third ventricle (Klein et al., 1991). It represents the primary center for the regulation of circadian rhythms. The cell bodies of neurons of the SCN are small, densely packed and they have simple dendritic trees. Lesions in this brain structure cause loss of locomotor activity rhythms and liquid intake (Stephan and Zucker, 1972). The integrity of these nuclei being essential for sleep-wake cycles, hormonal rhythms and feeding rhythms (Moore and Eichler, 1972; Moore and Klein, 1974). In addition, transplantation of SCN cells in the region of the third ventricle in animals in which this structure had been previously removed, allowed restoring rhythmicity in individuals implanted, which acquire the rhythm and the characteristic period of the donor (Ralph et al., 1990; Sawaki et al., 1984). Studies of glucose metabolism demonstrated that the SCN is metabolically active during the light period and relatively inactive during the dark phase (Schwartz et al., 1980; Schwartz and Gainer, 1977).Taken together, all these evidences have reinforced the consideration of the suprachiasmatic nucleus as the

anatomical clock structure responsible for most of the physiological and behavioural circadian rhythms.

Figure 5: This figure illustrates the via from the retinohypothalamic tract to the suprachiasmatic nucleus, where the central pacemaker is located in mammals. The SCN receives the retina's light information through a pathway different from the neural route used for vision.

The main synchronizer of this oscillator is light, and, hence, it is called LEO (Light **Entrainable Oscillator**). The SCN receives the light information captured exclusively by the retina through a pathway that differs from the neural route used for vision. This retinal projection that innervates the circadian pacemaker originates from a subpopulation of ganglion cells and is known as **retino-hypothalamic tract**. The main neurotransmitters used to synchronize the clock and to mediate in the transmission of photic information to the SCN are glutamate and PACAP. SCN neurons receiving light information are located in its ventral region. From these neurons, the signal neurons receiving light information are located in its ventral region. From these neurons, the signal
is transmitted to the whole SCN, reaching the rest of the body throughout neural (autonomic nervous system) and hormonal (rhythms of melatonin and corticosterone) pathways, which synchronize physiological and behavioural behavioural processes to the light-dark cycle.

1.4 Molecular bases of circadian rhythms

The generation and maintenance of circadian rhythmicity in oscillators is achieved by complex interactions between genes that have been called **clock genes**. They encode proteins that act as transcription factors, and regulate their own expression and that of abundant genes from cells. These clock proteins establish between them autoregulatory feedback loops supported by both posttranscriptional and post-translational modifications that constitute the molecular basis of circadian rhythms (Bell-Pedersent et al., 2005; Reppert and Weaver, 2002). As a result of this mechanism, transcriptional and post-translational modifications that constitute the molecular basis of circ
rhythmic (Bell-Pedersent et al., 2005; Reppert and Weaver, 2002). As a result of this mecha
rhythmic and self-sustained expre

Figure 6: The model of the core molecular mechanism of mammalian circadian rhythmicity. It is an autoregulatory feedback loop in which the transcription factor formed by heterodimerization of CLOCK and BMAL1 proteins, activates transcription of *Period* and *Cryptochrome* genes. This transcripts formed complexes to inhibit CLOCK:BMAL1 transcription.

 The first clock gene isolated and characterized in animals was the gene *Period (Per)* in Drosophila (Bargiello et al., 1984; Reddy et al., 1984.). Its sequence contained a region called PAS domain (Period-Arnt-singleminded) that was important to mediate protein-protein interaction (Huang et al., 1993). Furthermore, it showed that the transcription of Per was inhibited by its own product the PERIOD (PER) protein, and that was induced when its levels were reduced, identifying the first loop of self or negative feedback in the circadian clock and defining *Per* as a transcriptional repressor (Hardin et al., 1992; Zeng et al, 1994). They have identified six key genes that contribute , to the regulation of the central clock in *Drosophila* and that codify transcription negative or positive

factors (Hardin, 2005; Stanewsky, 2003). The transcriptional activators are *Clock* (*Clk)*, *Cycle (Cyc)* and *Par domain protein 1ε* (*Pdp1ε*) and the transcriptional repressors besides a *Per* has been identified *Timeless (Tim)* and *Vrille (Vri).* These genes and their products are organized into two intracellular interconnected feedback loops, a central loop directed by *Per/Tim* and a stabilizer loop directed by *Clock*. In the loop *Per/Tim*, the heterodimer formed by CLK/CYC binds to specific sequences E -box (Enhancer Box CACGTG) located in the promoters of *Per* and *Tim*, activating transcription. The PER and TIM proteins are accumulated in the cytoplasm and then translocated to inhibit the expression of *Clk* and *Cyc*. This loop is reinforced by *Clk* loop in which the heterodimer CLK/CYC directly activate *Vri* and *Pdp1ε* transcription and both modulate the rhythmic expression of *Clk* , again by binding to E -box elements in the promoter. Levels of mRNA and clock proteins are not reached in the same time of day. For example, mRNA levels maximum of *Per* and *Tim* are observed at the beginning of the night, while his PER and TIM proteins do not reach high levels until 6 h after. *Clk* loop, in turn, controls the transcription of the gene *Cryptochrome* (*Cry*), which is activated by *Pdp1ε*. And this component is considered as a circadian photopigment in *Drosophila* (Emery et al., 1998, 2000; Stanewsky et al., 1998).

 Many components of the circadian oscillator in *Drosophila* have orthologs or functional equivalents in mammals. The first clock gene that was isolated and characterized in vertebrates was called *Clock* (King et al., 1997; Reppert and Weaver, 1997). *Clock* cloning revealed that it was a transcription factor that encoded a protein (CLOCK) containing in their structure a PAS domain, and bHLH domain (bHLH-PAS family), and a terminal carboxyl rich in glutamine that corresponded to the transactivation domain (King et al., 1997; Reppert and Weaver, 1997). CLOCK showed that formed a heterodimer with other protein called *Bmal1*, also essential for the regulation of the clock system (Bunger et al., 2000; Gekakis et al., 1998). Both genes are expressed at high levels in the suprachiasmatic nucleus but they were also present in many other organs. Both regulatory proteins bind to E-box sequences present in the promoters of the *Period* genes, activating their transcription. They have been identified in mammals three genes of this family: *Per1, Per2* and *Per3*. Two of this, *Per1* and *Per2,* are induced by light in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, unlike *Per*3 (Albrecht et al., 1997; Shearman et al., 1997; Takumi et al., 1998a, 1998b; Zylka et al., 1998). Then, another two genes were identified: *Cryptochrome1* and *Cryptochrome2* (*Cry1* and *Cry2*). Research on mice have shown that CRY1/CRY2 had a central role in the mammalian circadian clock, being part of the oscillator mechanism but they do not have functions as circadian photoreceptor. In fact, the circadian photoreceptor in mammals is the photopigment melanopsin. (Lucas et al., 2003; Semo et al., 2003), which is expressed in the ganglion cells of the retina, the only light input pathway in these animals (Griffin et al., 1999; Kume et al., 1999; Okamura et al.,

1999). The PER1, PER2, PER3, CRY1 and CRY2 proteins represent the negative elements of the feedback loop and act as inhibitors of transcription factors mediated by CLOCK/BMAL1. But in mammals the central repressor is *Cry* instead of *Per* in *Drosophila.* The mammalian circadian system includes all the organs, tissues and cells of the body. It is organized hierarchically, so the core suprachiasmatic nucleus is considered the central pacemaker. This central pacemaker receives light information only from the retina in mammals and through multiple routes establishes a body coordination and synchronization of all the cellular clocks with each other and with the daily cycle of 24 h. These routes include neural connections, endocrine signals, body temperature, rhythms and indirect signals caused by a rhythmic behaviour (Dibner et al., 2010; Welsh et al., 2010).

 The circadian system of fish is composed of neuronal and peripheral pacemaker. It shares many elements with the basic mammals system but their organization differs significantly. The retina and the pineal gland have been described as pacemaker of neural origin, but a central pacemaker hasn't yet found in fish. Both retina and pineal gland are photoreceptor structure in fish and maintain an endogenous melatonin secretion rhythm, whereas in mammals the pineal gland has no direct photoreceptor function and is the SCN which indirectly controls the production of this neurohormone (Cahill, 1996; Falcón, 1999). The classical model used for the study of these mechanisms and has laid the foundation has been in the teleost fish *Danio rerio* or zebrafish. Most of the clock genes known in zebrafish has been cloned by homology to Drosophila or mouse. The situation today is quite complex, currently have been identified in *D. rerio* 3 Clock genes: *Clock1a, Clock1b* and *Clock2;* 3 genes *Bmal: Bmal1a, Bmal1b* and *Bmal2;* 4 genes *Period: Per1a; Per1b, Per2* and *Per3,* and *6 Cryptochromes: Cry1a, Cry1b, Cry2a; Cry2b, Cry3, Cry4.* The first clock gene that was characterized in fish was *Clock* (later called *Clock1a*). Zebrafish CLOCK protein contained in its structure both bHLH (basic Helix Loop Helix) and PAS binding domains to dimerize with BMAL (Brain and muscle ARNT-like protein). As in mammals, CLOCK-BMAL heterodimers would act as **positive** regulators of transcription through their binding to Ebox regulatory sequences present in the promoter regions of *Period* and *Cryptochromes* genes.

 The four *Period* and six *Cryptochromes* genes constitute the main **negative** elements of the circadian oscillator in fish (Delaunay et al., 2000, 2003; Kobayashi et al., 2000; Pando et al., 2001;Vallone et al.,2004: Wang et al., 2008a). In zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), *Per1* and *Per3* anticipate the light onset and provide endogenous rhythmicity, while *Per2* is light-dependent, suggesting that this gene is one of the elements involved in the mechanisms of clock synchronization by light (Zhuang et al., 2000). *Cry* genes inhibit transcription activated by CLOCK-BMAL heterodimers, but with some particularities. Only the mammalian *Cry* sequences are able to inhibit transcription

activated by CLOCK-BMAL (Cermakian et al., Kobayashi et al., 2000). In cell lines, only *Cry1a* shows a light-directed expression profile, while the rest are endogenously-regulated genes. In fact, together with *Per2*, the light-induced expression of *Cry1a* represents one of the critical mechanisms underlying the synchronization by light and maintaining the amplitude of the rhythms (Tamai et al., 2007). The presence of two classes or groups of genes that are similar to mammalian and to *Drosophila Crys*, suggests that the central mechanism of oscillation in zebrafish shares characteristics of both circadian systems. The understanding of the molecular and cellular organization of the circadian timing system has increased considerably over the past decade. The model organisms commonly used to decipher the molecular basis of circadian rhythms have been insects such as *Drosophila melanogaster* and mammals such as the mouse and hamster (*Mus musculus* and *Mesocricetus auratus*). Recently, the zebrafish has emerged as a useful model to study key aspects in the circadian system of vertebrates (Idda et al., 2012; Vatine et al., 2011). Currently, many other species have been added to this list, including teleost fish such as the goldfish (*Carassius auratus*), the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*), medaka (*Oryzias latipes*), the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*), the Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) and some reef fishes (*Siganus guttatus* and *Halichoeres trimaculatus*) (Davie et al., 2009, 2011; Del Pozo et al., 2012; Hur et al., 2012; Martín-Robles et al., 2011, 2012b; Park et al., 2007; Patiño et al., 2011; Sánchez et al., 2010; Velarde et al., 2009; Vera et al., 2013). In fact, fish have been proven to be valuable complementary models to study clock biology since they present a specific flexibility in their clock function (Idda et al., 2012).

1.5 Feeding time as synchronizer

 As mentioned before, one of the main synchronizers of circadian rhythms is light. But there are other cues that can synchronize physiological rhythms, and one of the most important is feeding time (Sakamoto et al.,1998; Yoo et al., 2004). In mammals specific studies have suggested that the circadian rhythm of feeding is controlled by an additional endogenous circadian system that does not include the SCN. Under experimental conditions, when access to food is limited to a certain time of day, so when we impose restricted hours of feeding, the animals alter their physiological and behavioural rhythms to adapt them to the availability of food, being a powerful synchronizer. Several days after having set the time of feeding, they develop some kind of anticipatory activity to food delivery. This activity is called **FAA** (Food Anticipatory Activity), and includes an increase in locomotor activity, in body temperature, in the secretion of corticosterone and changes in several

16

metabolic parameters (Mistlberger, 1994). The persistence of this behaviour in animals in which the SCN has been eliminated and, therefore, have lost the day-night rhythmicity, has led the researchers to suggest the existence of an endogenous system synchronized by food, independent from the hypothalamic SCN, and called **FEO** (**Food Entrainable Oscillator**) (Stephan et al., 2002). The FEO exhibits a clear circadian pattern, and one of its most important characteristics is that the output signal (FAA) persists in free running in the absence of its synchronizer (food) (Mistlberger, 1994; Stephan, 1989; Stephan et al., 1979a, 1979b) . However, the anatomical substrate for the FEO is still unknown. Damage to certain brain nuclei, like the dorsomedial hypothalamus, (DMH) may reduce some of the components of the food anticipatory activity, but not all. Also, the circadian oscillations of clock genes in some brain areas outside the suprachiasmatic nucleus are synchronized by feeding regimes (Angeles-Castellanos et al., 2007; Feillet et al., 2008; Verwey et al., 2007; Wkamatzu et al., 2011). Therefore, it has been proposed that the FEO is not located in a particular region of the brain but it is widely distributed throughout the organism. Thus, FEO appears represented by a network of scattered brain structures connected with peripheral organs involved in the metabolism of food. Furthermore, by using restricted feeding programs it has been shown that feeding is the dominant synchronizer for many, if not all, peripheral organs. When animals are forced to eat during its inactivity period, an **uncoupling** of peripheral tissues from the central pacemaker is produced, and feeding time entrains the expression of clock genes in these tissues. In mammalian nocturnal species fed during daytime, the expression phases in organs such as liver, kidney, pancreas or heart are inverted in relation to the SCN. These changes are induced faster in the liver than in other peripheral organs, being one of the most studied tissues in mammals (Damiola et al., 2000; Hara et al., 2001; Stokkan et al., 2001). Metabolic pathways through which feeding time entrains peripheral tissues include hormonal secretion, feeding-related metabolites, changes in body temperature and changes in the intracellular redox state (Dibner et al., 2010; Konturek et al., 2004). Therefore, the SCN clock is mainly synchronized by light, while feeding time appears the dominant zeitgeber for peripheral tissues, being less relevant for the SCN phase. At the molecular level, recent studies in fish have shown that feeding is a powerful synchronizer of clock gene expression in peripheral tissues and in certain neural areas, especially in the absence of photic signals (Feliciano et al., 2011). These results suggested that, as in mammals, development of FAA is more dependent on brain areas than on peripheral tissues.

 In addition to synchronization by light, fish represent an excellent model to study the synchronization by feeding time. The great plasticity of fish circadian system, allow them to synchronize with very different feeding regimes. An additional advantage of fish is that they have a higher tolerance to longer periods of fasting than mammals. The existence of a variety of feeding rhythms has been documented in many species. Furthermore, these rhythms are endogenous, and they are sustained by the existence of a pacemaker or internal clock (López-Olmeda and Sánchez-Vázquez, 2010; Madrid et al., 2001). The development of food anticipatory activity (FAA) that is synchronized with the feeding regimes in the absence of other external signals (light-dark cycles) and its persistence in free run under fasting conditions suggested the existence of an oscillator synchronized by feeding (FEO) (Aranda et al., 2001; Herrero et al., 2005; Reebs and Lague 2000; Sánchez and Sánchez-Vázquez, 2009; Vera et al., 2007b; Sánchez-Vázquez et al., 1997; Sánchez-Vázquez et al., 2001). As occurs in mammals, when synchronizers are conflicting (diurnal species fed at night or vice versa), the locomotor activity rhythms are decoupled into two components, possibly one regulated by LEO and the other by the FEO. It is unclear whether LEO and FEO are independent or not in fish, although some evidences suggest that both systems are strongly connected, at least in some species (López-Olmeda et al., 2009, 2010; Sánchez-Vázquez et al., 1995, 1997).At the molecular level ecent studies have been suggested that the optic tectum and hypothalamus could be a part of the network of FEO in fish, or at least receive inputs from this oscillator (Feliciano et al., 2011). In addition, these authors have demonstrated that the fish liver is an organ with a great ability to be synchronized by feeding, regardless of the light-dark cycle (Feliciano et al., 2011; Velarde et al., 2009, 2010). This organ exhibited a high amplitude rhythmic expression of the main clock genes, which could be entrained by the last meal (Feliciano et al., 2011; Velarde et al., 2009, 2010). Although animals fed randomly once a day do not develop FAA, the expression of clock genes in the liver is significantly rhythmic, suggesting that hepatic oscillator is not responsible of this behaviour. Scheduled feeding can shift the phase of the daily rhythms of clock gene expression in the peripheral organ without changing the phase of these rhythms in brain, suggesting uncoupling of the light entrainable oscillator (LEO) from the food entrainable oscillator (Vera et al., 2013). Interestingly, fish species that have evolved in total darkness exhibit circadian clocks unable to synchronize by light whereas they maintain synchronization by feeding (Cavallari et al., 2011). Thus, it is possible that two oscillators or a network of different oscillators also exist in fish (LEO and FEO), but the connections between them as well as their molecular bases are still unknown.

 It is interesting to note that some studies have demonstrated the ability of some fish to feed itself through the use of specific tools. Self-feeding systems with a photosensor have recently been used in some species: *Plecoglossus altivelis altivelis* (Amano et al., 2007), *Danio Rerio* (Del Pozo et al., 2011) and *Solea senegalensis* (Boluda-Navarro et al., 2009). For example, *Solea senegalensis* is able to use self-feeders operated by rod, string or optical sensor. The ability of self-feeding was tested in Senegalese sole under two conditions: outdoor conditions (farmer) and indoor conditions (validation of three types of food demand sensor). *Solea senegalensis* stocked at high densities under farming conditions learned to operate the ST (string sensor) from the first day, showing a strong compensatory feeding behaviour when the reward level was modified, and the nocturnal feeding behaviour was maintained independently of the experimental conditions (Boluda-Navarro et al., 2009). In zebrafish, a self-feeding system has also been built that is triggered by an infrared sensor suitable for small-size fish, such as *Danio Rerio* (Del Pozo et al., 2011)*.*

1.6 The Senegalese sole: Biology and interest for Aquaculture and Chronobiology

 The Senegalese sole, *Solea senegalensis,* is a marine teleost fish belonging to the Class **Actinopterygii**, Order **Pleuronectiformes**, and Family **Soleidae**.

 The Soleidae family consist of 22 genera and 89 species inhabiting brackish, marine and fresh waters. They are benthic, and are characterized by its oval-shaped, flattened body,unique among fish because its corporal asymmetry. They are strongly compressed, with both eyes on the same side of the head in adults, being the ocular side slightly rounded and pigmented, while the blind side is white and totally flat. They usually mimic their background by assuming a similar coloration than the bottom. The eyes are small and can protrude above the surface of the body, so the animal can see even buried in the substrate. They normally lie on the bottom, generally covered by sand or mud. This tendency to burrow into the sand, which permits them to avoid a possible aggression, represents an innate instinct of soles that does not disappear even if the individuals are kept in captivity. The eggs are pelagic, non-adhesive and do not require parental care. The larvae are symmetrical and drift with currents (planktonic) until metamorphosis, which takes place between days 12 and 19 after hatching. During this process, they settle to the bottom and there is a migration of one eye to the other side of the body, so juveniles became asymmetrical and benthic (Bao et al., 2011). Some species of this family such as the Senegalese sole are considered of high economic value. This species inhabits mobile sand or muddy bottoms, around 100 m of depth, mainly in coastal areas, ,but they can also be found in salt or brackish lagoons connected to the sea, rivers and estuaries. They are located in subtropical climates, between 14°N-47°N and 1°W-19°W. The coasts of Senegal are the southern boundary in the Atlantic, the Canarian Islands represent the western limit and the shores of Brittany constitute the limit in the north. Its geographical distribution in the Mediterranean is fairly broad, covering the south and east of the Iberian Peninsula, the north of Africa and Middle East until the coast of Turkey (Figure 7). It is an euryhaline and eurythermal species so it can be perfectly adapted to changes in temperature and salinity (Arjona et al., 2007, 2010).They are predators principally of benthic invertebrates such as

polychaeta larvae, bivalve molluscs and small crustaceans. They are basically nocturnal, living hidden in the sand during the day.

 From a reproductive point of view, the Senegalese sole is a gonochoristic species with separate sex and without apparent sexual dimorphism. Sex differences are only evident during the spawning season, when marked dimorphism in sex behaviour has been observed (Carazo et al., 2011). The first sexual maturity is reached between the second and third year of life in the case of males (first spermiation), and between the second and fourth year of life in the case of females (first oviposition), when the size reaches 30 cm (Dinis et al., 1999). They have an asynchronic ovarian development, showing oocytes in different stages of development (García-López et al., 2006, 2007). They have an extended breeding season, which generally occurs in spring and autumn, with peaks in May and, to a lesser extent in October (Anguis and Cañavate., 2005; Oliveira et al., 2009). Larval development is fast and highly influenced by environmental cues as temperature and light (Blanco-Vives et al., 2011; Parra and Yúfera, 2001). The metamorphosis represents a dramatic transition in its life cycle, which involves processes of tissue differentiation, recalibration of vision, biochemical, physiological, neuranatomical, behavioural and feeding changes (Rodríguez-Gómez et al., 2000; Blanco-Vives et al., 2012; Padrós et al., 2011; Schreiber, 2006).

 From a commercial perspective, aquaculture has become an industry of significant importance for the economy of the Iberian Peninsula, and the Senegalese sole has been gradually incorporated to this sector. However, problems related to reproduction, growth and survival during the juvenile phases are delaying the consolidation of this species for Spanish aquaculture industry (Imsland et al., 2003). Many of the problems in the aquaculture of new species seem to be related with the extrapolation of culture protocols of well-known species such as the sea bream or the sea bass (photoperiod, temperature and feeding conditions) without previous knowledge of the specific requirements of each species. Therefore, species-specific studies are required to incorporate with success these new species to the aquaculture practice.

 The Senegalese sole is also acquiring an important relevance in chronobiological studies as the number of published works focused on the sole circadian system has increased in the last few years especially focused on rhythms of locomotor activity, feeding, melatonin, sex steroids in relation to temperature and photoperiod (Anguis and Cañavate, 2005; Bayarry et al.,2004; Boluda-Navarro et al.,2009; García-López et al.,2007; Guzmán et al., 2008; Oliveira et al., 2009). The molecular mechanisms underlying sole circadian rhythms has also been explored recently, both in adults and developing sole (Martín-Robles et al., 2011, 2012a, 2012b).

 Moreover, the consideration of the Pleuronectiformes Order as one of the most evolved teleost groups make the Senegalese sole a species of high interest under a comparative and phylogenetic point of view. All these facts have reinforced the election of Senegalese sole as model species for the present study.

 Figure 7: Distribution of *Solea senegalensis*. Red, normally present; yellow, rarely present.

OBJECTIVES

The **general objective** of this study was to investigate how feeding time affects the daily rhythms of locomotor activity and clock gene expression in central (diencephalon and optic tectum) and peripheral (liver) tissues in the flatfish *Solea senegalensis.*

To reach this general objective, the following **specific objectives** have been proposed:

- To acquire experience and skills in *Solea senegalensis* aquaculture practices: installation of tanks, water circuit, feeding and sampling.
- To set-up photoperiod control systems, locomotor activity recording dispositives and software to analyze Senegalese sole behaviour.
- To gain skills on molecular biology techniques such as RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real time quantitative PCR that facilitate subsequent activities.
- To analyze the daily locomotor activity of Senegalese sole in relation to the light-dark cycles and feeding regimes (diurnal *vs* nocturnal and random feeding) and construct the representative actograms.
- To use the molecular tools previously developed in the laboratory, to determine the daily expression profile of the clock genes *Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock* in central (diencephalon and optic tectum) and peripheral (liver) tissues in this species maintained under light-dark conditions and different feeding schedules (diurnal *vs* nocturnal and random feeding).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

3.1 Animals and rearing system

 A total of 108 adult specimens of *Solea senegalensis* from 101 to 172 g in body weight and from 20 to 25 cm in length were used in the present study. They were collected from the "Laboratorio de Cultivos Marinos" (University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, Spain) and maintained in 250-L tanks with continuous seawater renovation and gentle aeration, at a constant temperature and salinity of $19\pm1\textdegree C$ and 39 ppt, respectivelly. Each tank was equipped with a lid containing two fluorescent lamps (Sylvania Gro-Lux, Germany) connected to an individual automatic photoperiod control system. The photoperiod was set at 12 h light:12 h dark (12L:12D) with lights on at 08:00 h local time, (Zeitgeber time 0 or ZT0). The light intensity at the water surface was 400 lux during the illumination period. Fish were fed with commercial 3-mm dry pellets (Skretting S.A., Burgos, España) at a daily ration of 0.3% body weight. This study was approved by the Animal Experimentation and Ethics Committee of the University of Cádiz (Spain) and was conducted according to international ethical standards.

3.2 Experimental design and sampling

 The experiment was conducted to investigate the influence of restricted food access on Senegalese sole behaviour and daily expression of clock genes in central (diencephalon and optic tectum) and peripheral (liver) tissues. The animals were kept under 12L:12D photoperiod conditions and divided into three experimental groups depending on the feeding time: fed at midlight, i.e. middle of the light phase (ML), middark (MD), or at random (RND) times. The food was distributed by means of automatic feeders (EHEIM GmbH & co. KG, Germany) coupled to digital programmable timers (Data micro, Orbis, Spain). For ML and MD groups, the feeder was programmed to deliver food everyday at the same time 14:00 h (ZT6) and 02:00 h (ZT18), respectively. For the RND group, feeding time was chosen randomly. The feeding interval was set between 12 and 36 h, so they received the same amount of food per 24 h, as the ML and MD groups. After four weeks under these different feeding regimes, the animals were anaesthetized in MS-222 (Sigma, St Louis, MO; 100-200 mg/l of water) and sacrificed by decapitation every 4 h at six different zeitgeber time points (n=4 at each point): ZT0, ZT4, ZT8, ZT12, ZT16 and ZT20 during a 24 h daily cycle, in which ZT 0 corresponded to the light onset and ZT12 to the light offset. The selected neural (dienchepalon and optic tectum) and peripheral (liver) tissues were removed. Samples were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until used.

 Throughout the experiment, the existence of a daily activity rhythm and its synchronization to the light-dark and feeding cycles was checked. To this end, locomotor activity was registered by means of two infrared photocells (model 3S-AD62, Omron, Japan) placed in a pvc tube submerged in each tank near the corner were food was provided. One photocell was placed 10 cm below the water surface (upper photocell) and the other one was located 10 cm above the bottom of the tank (bottom photocell). The photocells were connected to a computer so that every time a fish interrupted the infrared light beam, it produced an output signal that was recorded. The number of light beam interruptions was stored every 10 min by specialized software for data acquisition.

3.3 RNA Extraction

 Total RNA was extracted using the TRIsure Reagent® (Bioline, London, UK). Tissues were homogenized (50-100 mg) in 1 ml of reagent. using 3-4 stainless steel beads (2 mm diameter) in a mixer mill MM400 (Retsch, Haan, Germany). After homogenization, 0.2 ml of chloroform (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) D was added and samples were vigorously shacked by hand for 15 seconds, then incubated at room temperature for 2 to 3 minutes and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Following centrifugation, the mixture was separated into lower pale green, phenol-chloroform phase, an interphase, and a colourless upper aqueous phase containing RNA. The aqueous phase was transferred into a fresh tube and RNA was precipitated by mixing with 0.5 ml of isopropyl alcohol (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain). Samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4° C. The RNA precipitated, often invisible before centrifugation, formed a gel-like pellet on the side and bottom of the tube. The supernatant was completely removed and the RNA pellet was washed once with at least 1 ml 75% ethanol prepared with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Samples were centrifuged at 7,500 x g for 5 minutes at 4^oC and ethanol was removed. The RNA pellet was air-dried for 5-10 minutes and re-dissolved in DEPC-treated water (from 15 to 50 μl) and incubated for 10 minutes at 55-60ºC in a water bath. Total RNA yield and quality were determined by the 260/280 nm absorbance ratio in a NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, De, USA). All ratios were between 1.8 and 2.

3.4 cDNA synthesis

 Aliquots of 100 ng of total RNA were reverse transcribed into cDNA (20 µl final volume) using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Inc, USA). The procedure consisted in 2 main steps:

1. Elimination of genomic DNA:

The purified RNA samples were incubated in gDNA Wipeout Buffer containing DNAse at 42°C for 2 minutes to effectively remove contaminating genomic DNA. Then the RNA samples were directly used in the next step of reverse transcription.

2. Reverse transcription:

After genomic DNA elimination, the RNA samples were ready for reverse transcription using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Inc, USA) containing a master mix prepared from Quantiscript Reverse Transcriptase, Quantiscript RT Buffer, and RT Primer Mix. The entire reaction took place at 42°C during 30 minutes followed by 2 min at 95°C for inactivation. Then cDNAs were stored at -20°C until used.

3.5 Real Time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) expression analysis

 Real time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed in a Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch detection system using the SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline Ltd, UK). PCR reactions were developed in a 20 µl volume containing 5 ng of cDNA. Specific primers for sole *Per1*, *Per2*, and *Clock* (GenBank accession numbers FM180505, FM200425 and FM179317) were selected according to Martin-Robles et al.,(2012). The amplification protocol for the three genes was as follow (Figure 9):

- **1.** Initial denaturation at 95ºC for 2:00.
- **2.** 40 cycles of 95ºC for 0:05 and 58.3ºC for 0:30.
- **3.** Melting curve from 70ºC to 95ºC, with an increment of 0.5ºC.

 Melting curves were generated for each sample to confirm that a single product was amplified. Non-template controls were used as negative controls. The relative expression of all genes was calculated using the ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) with *Solea senegalensis β-actin2* (Genbank accession number DQ485686) as housekeeping gene (Infante et al., 2008; Martín-Robles et al., 2012b).

Figure 8: qPCR amplification protocol (Bio-Rad CFX software screen) for the clock genes *Per1, Per2* and *Clock* of *Solea senegalensis* in according with Martín-Robles et al., (2012b).

3.6 Data Analysis

Statistical analysis:

 Statistical variation in clock genes mRNA levels among different daily time points was analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc comparisons test. When necessary, values were transformed to get normal distribution and homogeneity of variances. In all cases, statistical significance was accepted at $p<0.05$. All statistical tests were performed using the Statgraphics Plus 5.1 software (Statpoint Technologies, Warrenton, VA, USA). Data are presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). All graphics were created by means of Microsoft Office Excel 2007

Rhythm analysis:

 Analysis of fish locomotor activity records, representation of actograms and Cosinor analysis were carried out using the chronobiology software "El Temps" (version 1.266; Prof. Díez-Noguera, University of Barcelona; www.el-temps.com). The Cosinor method is based on the least squares approximation of time series data with a cosine function of known period and was performed to determine whether the daily expression of the studied genes showed a regular fluctuation over a defined period (24 h in the case of circadian rhythms). Cosinor analysis also provides the statistical significance of the rhythm through an F-test of the variance accounted for by the waveform versus a straight line of zero-amplitude (null hypothesis). Therefore, if under a statistical significance of $p<0.05$ the null hypothesis was rejected, the amplitude could be considered as differing from 0, thereby constituting evidence for the existence of a statistically significant rhythm of the given period under consideration.

RESULTS

4.1 Light and feeding entrainment of locomotor activity

 During the experiment, all groups showed a clearly marked nocturnal activity pattern. The actograms that result from upper and bottom photocells in animals fed at ZT18 (MD group) showed a nocturnal rhythm, with an intense locomotor activity extended throughout the dark phase of the photocycle (Figure 9, middle panel). However, animals fed at ZT6 (ML group), showed a bimodal pattern of locomotor activity (Figure 9, upper panel). On one hand, sustained activity levels were found during the night, as for MD group. In addition, an increase in locomotor activity was also observed several hours before mealtime, during the light phase (food anticipatory activity or FAA), followed by a decrease after feeding (Figure 9, upper panel). The Senegalese sole randomly fed (RND group) synchronized locomotor activity to the photoperiod, showing a clear nocturnal rhythm (Figure 9, bottom panel). In contrast to the ML group, only a residual activity was observed during the light phase (Figure 9, bottom panel).

Figure 9: Representative actograms of Senegalese sole. The upper panel with two actograms represent the ML group, the middle panel the MD group and the bottom panel the random group. In addition, the actograms on the left side represent the photocells in the bottom position and the actograms on the right side represent the photocells positioned at the top of the tank. Actograms are double-plotted (time scale 48 hours) for better visualization. The white and black bars at the top of the graphs indicate the light and dark periods, respectively. The red arrows indicate the feeding time.

4.2 Influence of light and feeding time in clock gene expression

 We have analyzed by Real Time quantitative PCR the daily rhythms of *Per1*, *Per2*, and *Clock* transcripts in two central areas such as the optic tectum and diencephalon, and a peripheral tissue such as the liver. *Per1* exhibited the highest relative expression in all the tissues analyzed, being this difference especially evident in the liver (compare mesors in Tables 1-3 and the scales of *y* axis in Figures 10,11,12). Statistical analysis including Cosinor and ANOVA indicated differences in phase depending on the feeding regime and the tissue analyzed (Tables 1-3; Figures 10, 11, 12).

4.2.1 Daily rhythms in diencephalon

 In animals fed at ML (ML group), *Per2* and *Clock* transcript levels exhibited significant daily rhythms, as evidenced by both ANOVA and Cosinor analysis (Table 1; Figure 10). *Per2* presented its acrophase at ZT6.05, around the middle of the day, its expression decreasing thereafter until sunset and reaching the lowest levels at the end of the night (Table 1; Figure 10). *Clock* mRNA levels exhibited a similar daily profile, with its acrophase during the light phase at ZT7.33 and a comparable decrease of transcript levels throughout the dark phase (Table 1; Figure 10). In contrast, daily *Per1* mRNA expression did not show statistical differences neither by ANOVA nor by Cosinor analysis (Table 1, Figure 10).

 Similar to the ML group, in animals fed at MD (MD group), *Per2* and *Clock* but not *Per1* mRNA levels were revealed as rhythmic by both ANOVA and Cosinor analysis (Table 1; Figure 10). *Per2* exhibited significant daily variations in expression, the acrophase being placed during the light phase at ZT5.66 (Table 1). Its expression was kept constant until lights off and then significantly decreased during the night (Figure 10). The acrophase of *Clock* was slightly advanced to ZT4.13 (Table 1) and then transcript levels decreased during the dark phase, rising at sunrise (Figure 10).

 Randomly-fed fish (RND group) also showed *Per2* and *Clock* significant daily rhythms, as evidenced ANOVA and Cosinor analysis (Table 1; Figure 10). *Per2* reached its maximum level of expression during the second half of the light phase at ZT8.07, few hours delayed in relation to ML and MD groups (Table 1). Subsequently, its expression decreased gradually until sunrise, and increased again in the first hours of the day (Figure 10). Regarding *Clock* expression, it showed a similar profile than that of *Per2*, presenting the acrophase at ZT7.49 (Table 1; Figure 10)*.* As for ML and MD, no significant daily rhythm in in diencephalic *Per1* expression was observed (Table 1; Figure 10).

Table 1: Parameters estimated by the Cosinor analysis for clock genes (*Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock*) in Diencephalon of *Solea Senegalensis* under LD conditions and fed at ML, MD o RND times**.** The percentage of variance indicates the percentage of experimental data explained by the cosine equation calculated by the Cosinor method. N.S., non significant rhythm; significance, p-value.

Figure 10: Relative expression of *Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock* genes in Diencephalon of Senegalese sole maintained under LD 12:12 cycles and fed at ML, MD and RND times. The letters indicate statistically significant differences (ANOVA, LD 12:12 cycles and fed at ML,MD and RND times. The letters indicate statistically significant differences (ANOVA,
p<0.05). The black and the white bars at the top of the graphics represents the light and dark phases. Aste rhythmic expression (Cosinor, p<0.05).

4.2.2 Daily rhythms in optic tectum

 In contrast to what could be observed in the diencephalon, the expression of clock genes analyzed in the optic tectum of Senegalese sole was differentially affected by feeding regimes. In the ML-fed group, *Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock* transcripts displayed significant cyclic oscillations over the 24h cycle, as revealed both ANOVA and Cosinor analysis (Table 2; Figure 11). Regarding *Per1* expression, the acrophase was reached during the dark phase at ZT17.68, around the middle of the night period (Table 2; Figure 11). *Per1* mRNA levels were maintained higher during the dark phase and diminished during daytime (Figure 11). As for *Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock* showed a very similar expression profile, with the acrophases at ZT16.19 and ZT15.79, respectively (Table 2). The expression of both genes remained low during daytime and gradually increased to peak during the night (Figure 11).

 In the MD group, statistical analysis revealed no daily variations in *Per1* expression (Figure 11), neither by ANOVA nor Cosinor analysis (Table 2), whereas *Per2* and *Clock* exhibited significant rhythmic daily profiles (Table 2). However, the expression profiles of both genes markedly differ from those observed in the ML group. *Per2* peak was advanced approximately 8 h, being placed during daytime at ZT7.57 (Table 2). After that, its expression fell down throughout the night and reached the nadir around ZT20 (Figure 11). In relation to *Clock*, mRNA levels increased as the day progresses and reached its acrophase at the end of the light phase (ZT9.1), almost 7 hours before than in ML-fed group, decreasing thereafter (Table 2; Figure 11).

 Finally, regarding the RND group, mRNA profiles resemble those observed in the ML group although *Per1* and *Clock* but not *Per2* relative expression showed significant daily rhythms by ANOVA and Cosinor (Table 2; Figure 11). The acrophase of *Per1* was reached at ZT18.30, i.e. around the middle of the night (Table 2). Then, the transcript levels were maintained high during the rest of the night and progressively declined during the day, reaching the lowest expression at ZT8 (Figure 11). In turn, *Clock* gene expression exhibited a gradual increase from the onset of the day until the beginning of the dark period (ZT13.48), when acrophase is attained, and decreased towards the end of the dark-beginning of the light phase (Figure 11).

Table 2: Parameters estimated by the Cosinor analysis for clock genes (*Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock*) in Optic Tectum of *Solea Senegalensis* in LD and fed at ML, MD o RND times**.** The percentage of variance indicates the percentage of experimental data explained by the cosine equation calculated by the Cosinor method. N.S., non significant rhythm; significance, p-value.

Figure 11: Relative expression of *Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock* genes in Optic Tectum of *Solea senegalensis* fed at ML,MD and RND times. The letters indicate statistically significant differences (ANOVA, $p<0.05$). The black and the white bars at the top of the graphics represents the light and dark phases. Asterisks represents rhythmic expression (Cosinor, p<0.05).

4.2.3 Daily rhythms in liver

 We also found differential effects of feeding regimes on the expression of clock genes in the liver of sole. Thus, only *Per2* and *Clock* exhibited significant daily variations in ML group (Table 3, Figure 12). In both cases, transcripts levels were lower during the day and increased during night-time, but acrophase was slightly advanced in *Per2* in relation to *Clock* (ZT13.17 and ZT16.39, respectively) (Table 3, Figure 12). *Per1* expression did not exhibit significant daily variations neither by ANOVA nor Cosinor analysis (Table 3; Figure 12).

 Clock gene expression profiles in MD- and RND-fed groups were quite similar and differed from those observed in ML-fed group (Table 3, Figure 12). In this case, significant daily variations were observed for *Per1* and *Clock* genes but not for *Per2* (Table 3, Figure 12). In both groups, *Per1* transcripts exhibited its higher levels at the beginning of the night (acrophases at ZT12.72 and ZT13.80 for MD and RND groups, respectively) and the lowest expression at the onset of the day (ZT0). An inverse daily profile of mRNA levels was observed for *Clock* in both groups (Table 3, Figure 12), the nadir being evident at the beginning of the night (ZT12) and the higher values at the beginning of the light period (acrophases at ZT1.39 and ZT1.26 for MD and RND groups, respectively). Interestingly, the daily pattern of *Clock* expression in MD and RND groups was also inversed compared to *Clock* gene expression in ML group (Table 3, Figure 12).

Table 3: Parameters estimated by the Cosinor analysis ($p<0.05$) for clock genes (*Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock*) in Liver of *Solea Senegalensis* under LD and fed at ML, MD o RND times**.** The percentage of variance indicates the percentage of experimental data explained by the cosine equation calculated by the Cosinor method. N.S., non significant rhythm; significance, p-value.

Figure 12: Relative expression of *Per1*, *Per2* and Clock genes in Liver of *Solea senegalensis* fed at ML,MD and RND times. The letters indicate statistically significant differences (ANOVA, $p<0.05$). The black and the white bars at the top of the graphics represents the light and dark phases. Asterisks represent rhythmic expression ((Cosinor, p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

 In the present study, we have deepened into the knowledge of the molecular clock in Senegalese sole, an important species for Mediterranean aquaculture, focusing on its synchronization to different feeding regimes (diurnal *vs* nocturnal and random feeding) and photoperiod. The results have shown that both light and feeding time differentially synchronize clock gene expression in central and peripheral tissues of Senegalese sole, and point to the optic tectum as a brain area containing an oscillator entrainable by food cues. Moreover, we have recorded the daily locomotor activity of this species, showing a strictly nocturnal pattern entrained by the light-dark cycle irrespective of the feeding time. However, feeding anticipatory activity (FAA) was evident during the light phase in animals with restricted diurnal feeding.

Feeding entrainment of locomotor activity

 Several studies have shown that when food is restricted to particular moments of the day, meal cycles can behave as a powerful synchronizer of biological rhythms in mammals, fishes, insects and other groups (Damiola et al., 2000; Vera et al., 2013). Animals subjected to a repetitive feeding schedule show an increase in locomotor activity several hours before mealtime, which is known as Food Anticipatory Activity (FAA) (Mistlberger, 1994; Davidson et al., 2003). As mentioned before in this work, this is possible because of the presence of a Food Entrainable Oscillator (FEO), which is the responsible of rhythmicity in biological processes related to cyclic schedules of food administration. Most of the information related to this system comes from the rat. This behaviour was first reported by Richter (1922), which showed that rats fed to a specific time once per day exhibited an increase in the movement several hours before mealtime. Rats also demonstrate FAA by an increase in time spent in front of the feeder or by unreinforced bar pressing in an operant chamber (Mistlberger, 1994; Stephan, 2001). The existence of FAA confers an adaptive value by allowing animals the anticipation of the forthcoming meal. Since it involves both behavioural and physiological changes, it also permits the better utilization of nutrients (Comperatore and Stephan, 1987; Strubbe and Van Dijk, 2002). Circadian light- and foodentrainable clocks determine anticipatory adaptive behavioural and physiological mechanisms, which promote or inhibit food intake. Thus, a strong interaction between circadian and homeostatic regulation must occur. Initiation or termination of meals at any particular timepoint depends on the interactions of all satiety signals and on cues imposed by circadian light and food entrainable oscillators.

 In teleost, previous works have revealed the high plasticity of the circadian system, as fish daily locomotor activity can be synchronized by different cues such as light-dark, feeding, and temperature cycles (Aranda et al., 2001; López-Olmeda et al., 2006; Madrid et al., 2001; Sánchez-

Vázquez et al., 1997). Thus, some fish species may modify their activities according to these environmental cycles, including food availability. In the case of sea bass or sea bream, for example, locomotor activity rhythms shifted according to the feeding cycles, so that fish became diurnal or nocturnal when food availability was restricted to daytime or nighttime, respectively (Sánchez-Vázquez et al., 1995; Vera et al., 2013). In contrast, other species, such as the tench, did not change their strict nocturnal locomotor activity pattern when subjected to daytime feeding (Herrero et al., 2005).

 In our study, performed under LD cycles, the daily rhythms of locomotor activity of sole in all groups of scheduled-fed fish (ML and MD groups) and random-fed fish (RND group) were synchronized to the LD cycle, showing a clear nocturnal activity pattern. Our results are in agreement with the nocturnal activity pattern previously described for this species (Bayarri et al., 2004, Boluda-Navarro et al., 2009). Nevertheless, a diurnal locomotor activity rhythm under LD cycles has also been reported recently in sole, probably related to the acclimatization of fish to many years of daytime husbandry practices (Carazo et al., 2013). In addition, we have evidenced the existence of a marked FAA that was dissociated from the locomotor activity in the ML group, in which a peak of intense activity was regularly observed during the light phase in the hours before mealtime. This study represents the first evidence for the presence of FAA in sole. This FAA is probably present also in the MD group but cannot be observed in actograms because it appears masked by the strong nocturnal locomotor activity of animals. In the RND group, FAA is not apparently observed but representations of the average diel profiles of locomotor activity (% of total activity) in both MD and RND groups are needed to confirm or not the existence of FAA. According to our results, goldfish that were fed every day at the same time showed FAA, whereas fish fed randomly did not (Feliciano et al., 2011; Vera et al., 2007). In European sea bass a strong feeding anticipatory activity was also observed, its duration depending of time point when scheduled mealtime was placed (Azzaydi et al., 2007). This species can use external signals as reference to anticipate the time of feed availability and exhibits a seasonal-phase inversion in demand-feeding activity in spite of the restrictions in their feeding availability (Azzaydi et al., 2007).

 In our experiment, the location of photocells in the tanks has allowed us to record both locomotor and feeding behaviour in Senegalese sole. In the case of sole, locomotor activity was not modified during diurnal or nocturnal time-restrictions or random food availability, but feeding activity was significantly affected. Some differences were observed between the actograms corresponding to the photocells positioned in the upper and lower parts of the tanks, which were especially evident in MD fed group. This evidence, together with direct observations of the researchers, indicates that the photocells placed at the top of the tanks are more reliable to capture the movements than the photocell positioned at the bottom. Despite its benthic nature, it appears that Senegalese sole is able to migrate up in the water column during its main activity period, as has been suggested before (Boluda-Navarro et al., 2009). This information should be taken into account in the planning of future experiments.

 Further studies on digestive physiology and metabolism are in progress to understand the physiological role of FAA in sole but our results further support the hypothesis that feeding and locomotor activity rhythms are driven by more or less independent (food- or light-entrained) oscillators (FEO and LEO) in fish.

Feeding entrainment of clock genes in central and peripheral tissues

 The role of clock genes on feeding entrainment is far from being fully understood, especially in fish. Little is known about the existence and localization of a Food Entrainable Oscillator (FEO), the influence of feeding time on the circadian pacemaker and the relationship between central and peripheral oscillators. In fact, central and peripheral tissues show differences in phase in clock gene expression under different conditions of light-dark and feeding cycles (Vera et al., 2013). Interestingly, the roles of both zeitgebers on the synchronization of the molecular clock have been shown to differ depending on the species, its evolutionary position and/or habitat. In a very fascinating study, Cavallari et al. (2011), compared the circadian rhythms of locomotor activity and gene expression of the blind Somalian cavefish *Phreatichthys andruzzii* and the zebrafish *Danio rerio*, a model species for chronobiological studies (Whitmore et al. 1998; Hirayama et al. 2005). The results obtained showed a precise synchronization of *D. rerio* rhythms with alternating light/dark cycles, which was not evident in the circadian clock in *P. andruzzii*. In contrast, similar rhythms were observed in both fish species when they were entrained by a regular administration of food, indicating that circadian rhythms in the blind Somalian cavefish were associated with feeding cues but not with light. In addition, it was shown that the cavefish maintains a circadian rhythm with an extraordinary long period (until 47 h). The lack of resetting in response to light in this species is not due to the loss of the eyes but is the consequence of mutations in two opsin photopigments that leave clocks in most tissues unable to respond to light. These results reinforce the necessity of increasing our knowledge on the circadian system and its molecular bases in additional fish species as the sole, with benthic habits.

 Therefore, in the present work we have complemented the behavioural information with data on the molecular clock, by investigating the expression of three genes directly involved in the regulation of the circadian system of *Solea senegalensis*, *Per1, Per2* and *Clock*. These clock genes have been cloned and sequenced in a previous study carried out by Martín-Robles et al. (2012b). In this work, the authors showed that these genes are widely expressed in *Solea senegalensis* and exhibited daily rhythms in central and peripheral tissues under a LD photocycle (Martín-Robles et al., 2012b). However, information on the influence of feeding cues on the entrainment of the molecular circadian clock is still lacking in this species. To fill this gap, we have investigated *Per1*, *Per2* and *Clock* expression in some central (diencephalon and optic tectum) and peripheral (liver) tissues under different feeding regimes. High mRNA levels of *Per1, Per2* and *Clock* were detected in all tissues examined, reinforcing the putative presence of multiple clocks scattered throughout the body of *Solea senegalensis*.

 In central areas of sole, clock gene expression was differentially affected by light and feeding time. In the case of the diencephalon, *Per2* and *Clock* were rhythmically expressed in all scheduled-fed and random-fed fish, with the acrophases during the light phase irrespective of the feeding time. These expression profiles indicate that these genes are synchronized by the light-dark cycle and are not affected by feeding cues. This is reinforced by the fact that they oscillate in the RND group, where food was dispensed at random times to avoid synchronization. These daily patterns are similar to those previously reported in the diencephalon of sole (Martín-Robles et al., 2012b). Our present results suggest that LEO but not FEO could be present in sole diencephalon. It should be noted that a brain area with similar pacemaker properties as mammalian SCN has not been yet found in fish diencephalon. Further studies under DD conditions and using laser capture microdissection to study discrete cell masses present in the diencephalon of sole are needed to firmly conclude the pacemaker nature of this region and discriminate cell nucleus playing this role. However, in the case of the optic tectum, our results indicate that clock genes in this brain area can be entrained by both light-dark and feeding cycles in scheduled-fed fish, i.e. when food is restricted to a particular time of the light or dark phase (ML and MD groups). *Per2* and *Clock* expression was shifted 9h and 6h, respectively, in the MD-fed animals compared with acrophases of the group fed at ML. Therefore, the acrophases of both genes are placed during the dark phase in the ML group and during the light phase in the MD-fed animals, *Clock* being delayed in relation to *Per2*. On the other hand, in the RND group, daily profiles are synchronized by the light-dark cycle and are comparable to previous results, being the acrophase of *Clock* and *Per1* placed at the beginning and middle of the dark phase, respectively (Martín-Robles et al., 2012b). It is conceivable to speculate that the phase of these genes under LD conditions and restricted feeding is a compromise between each zeitgeber's entraining input to the oscillator probably present in the optic tectum. Moreover,

the LEO and FEO in fish present a strong degree of coupling, as demonstrated in previous research in other fish species (López-Olmeda et al., 2009; Sánchez-Vázquez et al., 1995, 1997), which could explain why the phase of tectal clock gene expression was not totally reversed (i.e. 12h shifted). In mammals, several encephalic regions are synchronized by feeding cues (Damiola et al., 2000; Mendoza, 2006; Mendoza et al., 2010). Recent studies performed in fish, such as sea bream and zebrafish, have suggested that scheduled feeding entrains peripheral oscillators (liver) but not the brain (López-Olmeda et al., 2010; Vera et al., 2013). However, a detailed study carried out in goldfish dissecting different brain regions has revealed that in some central areas as in the optic tectum and, to a lesser extent, in the hypothalamus, the daily profile of several clock genes was synchronized by feeding time (Feliciano et al., 2011). In this way, the optic tectum, a structure classically related with the processing of visual information in fish, was proposed to contain an oscillator entrainable by food cues (Feliciano et al., 2011). Our results are consistent with this assumption made in goldfish and also highlight the role of the optic tectum as part of the FEO network in sole.

 In the case of the liver of sole, clock gene expression was entrained by feeding time rather than by the light-dark cycle, as has been previously reported in mammals and fish (Angeles-Castellanos et al., 2007; Feillet et al., 2008;Verwey et al.,2007 Wkamatzu et al., 2011; Feliciano et al., 2011; Velarde et al., 2009, 2010). Regarding *Clock* gene expression, it was significantly rhythmic in all scheduled and random-fed fish but the acrophases in the MD and RND groups were 15h shifted in relation with the ML group. This fact, together with the higher amplitude of the rhythms compared with the diencephalon and the optic tectum suggests that the liver is more sensitive than the brain to feeding entrainment. In recent years, the expression of clock genes has been observed in many peripheral tissues in both mammals and fish species, demonstrating that clock genes are not only expressed rhythmically in the brain (Whitmore et al., 1998; Yoo et al., 2004). A regular feeding time has been reported to entrain the circadian clock in zebrafish and sea bream, in which feeding cycles entrain clock gene expression in the liver (López-Olmeda et al., 2010; Vera et al., 2010). In goldfish, a higher sensitivity of the liver to feeding entrainment has been also suggested (Velarde et al., 2009; Feliciano et al., 2010). These results are in accordance with several experiments performed in rodents too, in which feeding time resets the phase of clock gene expression rhythms under LD conditions in the liver (Damiola et al., 2000; Stokkan et al., 2001). Moreover, as observed for *Clock* expression, *Per1* was rhythmic in Senegalese sole in MD and RND groups, with similar phases. The fact that both groups maintain similar phases in clock genes could be also related to the high capacity of the liver to be synchronized by feeding time and

suggest that this organ is reset with the last meal time, that in the RND group was during the night, as for the MD group. This hypothesis is also supported by data obtained in mammals, where the liver needed less time to be synchronized by feeding time than other peripheral tissues (Kornmann et al., 2007). Our results point to feeding time as a potent zeitgeber for the entrainment of clock genes in peripheral tissues, even in the presence of LD cycles. Further studies under constant dark or light conditions appear necessary to deepen in the understanding of light or feeding cues in liver and other peripheral organs.

 Finally, the present results also suggest that the FAA in Senegalese sole is not a direct output of the hepatic oscillator because rhythmic clock gene expression was observed in RND fish, while FAA was not present. In contrast, daily variations in the expression of some clock genes in the optic tectum of scheduled-fed fish could be related with the presence of FAA, although other candidate brain regions require further studies in this species. In mammals, it is accepted that the brain, more that peripheral tissues, is involved in the generation of this behaviour, although some peripheral signals might be also important (Davidson et al., 2003; Escobar et al., 2009; Mendoza and Challet, 2009).

 In summary, we have investigated the feeding entrainment of Senegalese locomotor activity and the expression of *Per1, Per2* and *Clock* transcripts in neural (diencephalon and optic tectum) and peripheral (liver) tissues, which showed marked differences in phase. Importantly, this study has shown that Senegalese sole is a strictly nocturnal species that shows diurnal FAA dissociated from the locomotor activity when is fed during the light phase. Moreover, we have revealed that daily rhythms in diencephalon were mainly entrained by the light-dark cycle. However, daily expression of clock genes in some central areas of fish such as the optic tectum was synchronized by feeding time. In addition, we have evidenced the high capacity of the liver to be synchronized by food-related cues. These results have allowed us to gain new information on the regulation of circadian rhythms in Senegalese sole, and in particular, the different roles of feeding and light/dark cycles as different entraining cues. This knowledge could also provide useful information not only for the scientific community but also for the breeding of sole in the aquaculture industry, which in recent years is importantly investing in the developing the culture of this species.

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

- **1.** In the present work, we have investigated Senegalese sole behaviour under LD cycles and different feeding regimes, showing its strictly nocturnal locomotor activity pattern and a pronounced FAA that was evident during the light phase in the diurnal restricted fed fish.
- **2.** Daily expression of clock genes is differentially influenced by light and feeding time depending on the gene and tissue analyzed. Central tissues are more sensitive to light dark cycles while in peripheral tissues food related cues can act as potent zeitgebers, even when fish are randomly fed.
- **3.** In the diencephalon of sole, daily rhythms are faintly affected by feeding time. Otherwise they are mainly entrained by the light-dark cycle, suggesting that LEO could be present in this structure. However, we have revealed that the Senegalese sole optic tectum, a structure classically considered as implicated in the processing of light/visual information, could contain an oscillator entrainable by food cues (FEO).
- **4.** We have confirmed the high capacity of the sole liver to be synchronized by feeding time, even in the presence of LD cycles, as 12h shift in the meal time inverted clock gene expression in this peripheral organ.
- **5.** The arrangement of the photocells in each tank, was appropriate to record both locomotor and feeding behaviour in Senegalese sole. Photocells placed at the top of the tanks were more reliable to capture the movements than the photocell positioned at the bottom. This information will be take into account in the planning of future experiments.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Albrecht U, Sun ZS, Eichele G and Lee CC (1997) A differential response of two putative mammalian circadian regulators, mper1 and mper2, to light. Cell 91:1055-1064.
- Amano M, Iigo M, Sunuma T, Yamashita M, Furukawa K, Tabata M and Yamamori K (2007) Development of new self-feeding system for mass rearing of ayu *Plecoglossus altivelis altivelis* under artificial and natural light dark cycles. Fisheries Science 734:800-807.
- Ángeles-Castellanos M, Mendoza J and Escobar C (2007) Restricted feeding schedules phase shift daily rhythms of c- Fos and protein *Per*1 immunoreactivity in corticolimbic regions in rats. Neuroscience 144:344-355.
- Anguis V and Cañavate JP (2005) Spawning of captive Senegal sole (*Solea senegalensis*) under a naturally fluctuating
- Aranda A, Madrid JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2001) Influence of light on feeding anticipatory activity in goldfish. J Biol Rhythms 16:50-57.
- Arjona FJ, Ruiz-Jarabo I, Vargas-Chacoff L, Martín del Río MP, Flik G, Mancera JM and Klaren PHM (2010) Acclimation of *Solea senegalensis* to different ambient temperatures: implications for thyroidal status and osmoregulation. Mar Biol 157:1325-1335.
- Arjona FJ, Vargas-Chacoff L, Ruiz-Jarabo I, Martín del Rio MP and Mancera JM (2007) Osmoregulatory response of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) to changes in environmental salinity. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 148:413-421.
- Ashoff, J (1960) Exogenous and endogenous components in circadian rhythms. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology: Volume XXV. Biological Clocks.* New York: Cold Spring Harbor Press, pp. 11-28. temperature regime. Aquaculture 243:133-145.
- Azzaydi M, Rubio VC, López FJ, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Zamora S and Madrid JA (2007) Effect of restricted feeding schedule on seasonal shifting of daily demand-feeding pattern and food anticipatory activity in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). Chronobiol Int 24: 859-874.
- Bao B, Ke Z, Xing J, Peatman E, Liu Z, Xie C, Xu B, Gai J, Gong X, Yang G, Jiang Y, Tang W and Ren D (2011) Proliferating cells in suborbital tissue drive eye migration in flatfish. Dev Biol 351:200-207.
- Bargiello TA and Young MW (1984) Molecular genetics of a biological clock in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 81:2142-2146.
- Bayarri MJ, Muñoz-Cueto JA, López-Olmeda JF, Vera LM, Rol de Lama MA, Madrid JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2004) Daily locomotor activity and melatonin rhythms in Senegal sole (*Solea senegalensis*). Physiol Behav 81:577-583.
- Bell-Pedersen D, Cassone VM, Earnest DJ, Golden SS, Hardin PE, Thomas TL and Zoran MJ (2005) Circadian rhythms from multiple oscillators: lessons from diverse organisms. Nat Rev Genet 6:544-556.
- Blanco-Vives B, Aliaga-Guerrero M, Cañavate JP, Muñoz-Cueto JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2011a) Does lighting manipulation during incubation affect hatching rhythms and early development of sole? Chronobiol Int 28:300- 306.
- Blanco-Vives B, Aliaga-Guerrero M, Cañavate JP, García-Mateos G, Martín-Robles AJ, Herrera-Perez P, Muñoz-Cueto JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2012) Metamorphosis induces a light-dependent switch in Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) from diurnal to nocturnal behaviour. J Biol Rhythms 27:135-144.
- Blanco-Vives B, Vera LM, Ramos J, Bayarri MJ, Mananos E and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2011b) Exposure of larvae to daily thermocycles affects gonad development, sex ratio, and sexual steroids in *Solea senegalensis*, kaup. J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol 315:162-169.
- Boeuf and Falcon (2001) Photoperiod and growth in fish. In Vie et Milieu vol 51. Laboratoire Arago, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Banyuls-sur-Mer, Eds. pp. 237-246.
- Boluda-Navarro D, Rubio VC, Luz RK, Madrid JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2009) Daily feeding rhythms of Senegalese sole under laboratory and farming conditions using self-feeding systems. Aquaculture 291:130-135.

Bretzl H (1903). Botanische Forschungen des Alexanderzuges. Leipzig, B.G. Teubner, Eds.

- Bunger MK, Wilsbacher LD, Moran SM, Clendenin C, Radcliffe LA, Hogenesch JB, Simon MC, Takahashi JS and Bradfield CA (2000) Mop3 is an essential component of the master circadian pacemaker in mammals. Cell 103:1009-1017.
- Bünning Erwing (1961) The physiological clock. Academic Press, N.Y**.** pp 145.
- Cahill GM (1996) Circadian regulation of melatonin production in cultured zebrafish pineal and retina. Brain Res 708:177-181.
- Carazo I, Norambuena F, Oliveira C, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ and Duncan NJ (2013) The effect of night illumination, red and infrared light, on locomotor activity, behaviour and melatonin of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) broodstock. Physiology & Behaviour 118: 201–207.
- Cavallari N, Frigato E, Vallone D, Frohlich N, López-Olmeda JF, Foa A, Berti R, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Bertolucci C and Foulkes NS (2011) A blind circadian clock in cavefish reveals that opsins mediate peripheral clock photoreception. PLoS Biol 9:e1001142.
- Cermakian N, Whitmore D, Foulkes NS and Sassone-Corsi P (2000) Asynchronous oscillations of two zebrafish CLOCK partners reveal differential clock control and function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:4339-4344.
- Chomczynski P, Mackey K. (1995) Short technical report. Modification of the TRIZOL reagent procedure for isolation of RNA from Polysaccharide-and proteoglycan-rich sources. Biotechniques 19(6): 942-5.
- Comperatore CA, Stephan FK. (1987). Entrainment of duodenal activity to periodic feeding. J Biol Rhythms 2:227-242.
- Damiola F, Le Minh N, Preitner N, Kornmann B, Fleury-Olela F and Schibler U (2000) Restricted feeding uncouples circadian oscillators in peripheral tissues from the central pacemaker in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. Genes Dev 14:2950-2961.
- Davidson AJ, Poole AS, Yamazaki S, and Menaker M (2003) Is the food-entrainable circadian oscillator in the digestive system? Genes Brain Behav 2:32-39.
- Davie A, Minghetti M and Migaud H (2009) Seasonal variations in clock-gene expression in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Chronobiol Int 26:379-395.
- Davie A, Sánchez JA, Vera LM, Sánchez-Vázquez J and Migaud H (2011) Ontogeny of the circadian system during embryogenesis in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykyss) and the effect of prolonged exposure to continuous illumination on daily rhythms of *per1, clock,* and *aanat2* expression. Chronobiol Int 28:177-186
- Delaunay F, Thisse C, Thisse B and Laudet V (2003) Differential regulation of *Period 2* and *Period 3* expression during development of the zebrafish circadian clock. Gene Expr Patterns 3:319-324.
- Delaunay F, Thisse C, Marchand O, Laudet V and Thisse B (2000) An inherited functional circadian clock in zebrafish embryos. Science 289:297-300.
- Del Pozo A, Montoya A, Vera LM and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2012) Daily rhythms of clock gene expression, glycaemia and digestive physiology in diurnal/nocturnal European seabass. Physiol Behav 106:446-450.
- Del Pozo A, Sánchez-Férez JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2011) Circadian rhythms of self-feeding and locomotor activity in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Chronobiol Int 28:39-47.
- Dibner C, Schibler U and Albrecht U (2010) The mammalian circadian timing system: organization and coordination of central and peripheral clocks. Annu Rev Physiol 72:517-549.
- Dinis MT, Ribeiro L, Soares F and Sarasquete C (1999) A review on the cultivation potential of *Solea senegalensis* in Spain and in Portugal. Aquaculture 176:27-38.

Ekström and Meissl (1997) The pineal organ of teleost fishes. Rev Fish Biol and Fisheries 7:199-284.

- Emery P, So WV, Kaneko M, Hall JC and Rosbash M (1998) CRY, a *Drosophila* clock and light regulated cryptochrome, is a major contributor to circadian rhythm resetting and photosensitivity. Cell 95:669-679.
- Emery P, Stanewsky R, Helfrich-Forster C, Emery-Le M, Hall JC and Rosbash M (2000) *Drosophila* CRY is a deep brain circadian photoreceptor. Neuron 26:493-504.
- Escobar C, Cailotto C, Angeles-Castellanos M, Delgado RS, and Buijs RM (2009) Peripheral oscillators: the driving force for food-anticipatory activity. Eur J Neurosci 30: 1665-1675
- Escobar C, Martínez-Merlos MT, Angeles-Castellanos M, del Carmen Miñana M, and Buijs RM (2007) Unpredictable feeding schedules unmask a system for daily resetting of behavioural and metabolic food entrainment. Eur J Neurosci 26:2804-2814.
- Falcón J, Migaud H, Muñoz-Cueto JA and Carrillo M (2010) Current knowledge on the melatonin system in teleost fish. Gen Comp Endocrinol 165:469-482.
- Falcón J (1999) Cellular circadian clocks in the pineal. Prog Neurobiol 58:121-162.
- Feillet CA, Ripperger JA, Magnone MC, Dulloo A, Albrecht U and Challet E (2006) Lack of food anticipation in *Per2* mutant mice. Curr Biol 16:2016-2022.
- Feliciano A, Vivas Y, de Pedro N, Delgado MJ, Velarde E and Isorna E (2011) Feeding time synchronizes clock gene rhythmic expression in brain and liver of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). J Biol Rhythms 26:24-33.
- García-López A, Fernández-Pasquier V, Couto E, Canario AV, Sarasquete C and Martínez-Rodríguez G (2006) Testicular development and plasma sex steroid levels in cultured male Senegalese sole *Solea senegalensis* Kaup. Gen Comp Endocrinol 147:343-351
- García-López A, Couto E, Canario AV, Sarasquete C and Martínez-Rodríguez G (2007) Ovarian development and plasma sex steroid levels in cultured female Senegalese sole *Solea senegalensis*. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 146:342-354.
- Gardner MJ, Hubbard KE, Hotta CT, Dodd AN, Webb AA (2006). How plants tell the time. J Biochem 397: 15-24.
- Gekakis N, Staknis D, Nguyen HB, Davis FC, Wilsbacher LD, King DP, Takahashi JS and Weitz CJ (1998) Role of the CLOCK protein in the mammalian circadian mechanism. Science 280:1564- 1569.
- Griffin EA, Jr., Staknis D and Weitz CJ (1999) Light-independent role of CRY1 and CRY2 in the mammalian circadian clock. Science 286:768-771.
- Guzmán JM, Norberg B, Ramos J, Mylonas CC and Mañanós EL (2008) Vitellogenin, steroid plasma levels and spawning performance of cultured female Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) .Gen Comp Endocrinol 156:285-97.
- Hara R, Wan K, Wakamatsu H, Aida R, Moriya T, Akiyama M and Shibata S (2001) Restricted feeding entrains liver clock without participation of the suprachiasmatic nucleus. Genes Cells 6:269-278.
- Hardin P E (1994) Analysis of *period* mRNA cycling in *Drosophila* head and body tissues indicates that body oscillators behave differently from head oscillators. Mol Cell Biol 14:7211-7218.
- Hardin PE (2005) The circadian timekeeping system of Drosophila. Curr Biol 15:R714-722.
- Herrero MJ, Pascual M, Madrid JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2005) Demand-feeding rhythms and feeding-entrainment of locomotor activity rhythms in tench (Tinca tinca). Physiol Behav 84:595-605.
- Hirayama J and Sassone-Corsi P (2005) Structural and functional features of transcription factors controlling the circadian clock. Curr Opin Genet Dev 15:548-556.
- Huang ZJ, Edery I and Rosbash M (1993) PAS is a dimerization domain common to *Drosophila period* and several transcription factors. Nature 364:259-262.
- Hur SP, Takeuchi Y, Itoh H, Uchimura M, Takahashi K, Kang HC, Lee YD, Kim SJ and Takemura A (2012) Fish sleeping under sandy bottom: Interplay of melatonin and clock genes. Gen Comp Endocrinol 177:37-45.
- Imsland AK, Foss A, Conceicao LEC, Dinis MT, Delbare D, Schram E, Kamstra A, Rema P and White P (2003) A review of the culture potential of *Solea solea* and *S.senegalensis*. Rev Fish Biol Fish 13:379-407.
- Idda, M.L., Bertolucci, C., Vallone, D., Gothilf, Y., Sánchez-Vázquez, F.J., and Foulkes, N.S.(2012) Circadian clocks: Lessons from fish. Prog Brain Res 199:41-57.
- Ishikawa T, Hirayama J, Kobayashi Y and Todo T (2002) Zebrafish CRY represses transcription mediated by CLOCK- BMAL heterodimer without inhibiting its binding to DNA. Genes Cells 7:1073-1086.
- King DP, Zhao Y, Sangoram AM, Wilsbacher LD, Tanaka M, Antoch MP, Steeves TD, Vitaterna MH, Kornhauser JM, Lowrey PL, Turek FW and Takahashi JS (1997) Positional cloning of the mouse circadian clock gene. Cell 89:641-653.
- Klein DC, Moore RY, and Reppert SM (1991) Suprachiasmatic Nucleus: The Mind's Clock. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Kobayashi Y, Ishikawa T, Hirayama J, Daiyasu H, Kanai S, Toh H, Fukuda I, Tsujimura T, Terada N, Kamei Y, Yuba S, Iwai S and Todo T (2000) Molecular analysis of zebrafish photolyase/cryptochrome family: two types of *cryptochromes* present in zebrafish. Genes Cells 5:725-738.
- Konturek SJ, Konturek JW, Pawlik T and Brzozowski T (2004) Brain-gut axis and its role in the control of food intake. J Physiol Pharmacol 55:137-54.
- Kornmann B, Schaad O, Reinke H, Saini C, and Schibler U (2007) Regulation of circadian gene expression in liver by systemic signals and hepatocyte oscillators. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 72:319-330.

Kulczykowska E, Wlodzimierz P and Kapoor BG (2010) Biological clock in fish, Science Publishers, Lebanon.

- Kume K, Zylka MJ, Sriram S, Shearman LP, Weaver DR, Jin X, Maywood ES, Hastings MH and Reppert SM (1999) mCRY1 and mCRY2 are essential components of the negative limb of the circadian clock feedback loop. Cell 98:193-205.
- Linnaeus C. (1751) Philsophia Botanica. Section 335.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2- [Delta][Delta]CT method. Methods 25:402–408.
- López-Olmeda JF, Madrid JA, and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2006) Light and temperature cycles as zeitgebers of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) circadian activity rhythms. Chronobiol Int 23:537-550.
- López-Olmeda JF, Montoya A, Oliveira C, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2009) Synchronization to light and restricted-feeding schedules of behavioural and humoral daily rhythms in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). Chronobiol Int 26:1389-1408.
- López-Olmeda JF, Tartaglione EV, De la Iglesia HO and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2010) Feeding entrainment of food anticipatory activity and *per1* expression in the brain and liver of zebrafish under different lighting and feeding conditions. Chronobiol Int 27:1380-1400.
- López-Olmeda JF, Pujante IM Blanco-Vives B, Mancera JM and Sánchez-Vasquez FJ (2011) Daily rhythms in the hypothalamus-pituitary endocrine factors involved in stress and growth in a teleost fish, *Solea senegalensis*. XII Congress of the European Biological Rhythms Society.
- Lucas RJ, Hattar S, Takao M, Berson DM, Foster RG and Yau KW (2003) Diminished pupillary light reflex at high irradiances in melanopsin-knockout mice. Science 299:245-247.
- Madrid JA, Boujard T, and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2001) Feeding rhythms. In *Food Intake in Fish*, Houlihan DF, Boujard T, Jobling M, eds, pp 189-215. Oxford: Blackwell Science.
- Martín-Robles AJ, Aliaga-Guerrero M, Whitmore D, Pendón C, and Muñoz-Cueto JA (2012a) The circadian clock machinery during early development of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*): effects of constant light and dark conditions. Chronobiol Int 29: 1905-1205.
- Martín-Robles AJ, Isorna E, Whitmore D, Muñoz-Cueto JA and Pendon C (2011) The clock gene *Period3* in the nocturnal flatfish *Solea senegalensis*: Molecular cloning, tissue expression and daily rhythms in central areas. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 159:7-15.
- Martín-Robles AJ, Whitmore D, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Pendon C and Muñoz-Cueto JA (2012b) Cloning, tissue expression pattern and daily rhythms of *Period1*, *Period2*, and Clock transcripts in the flatfish Senegalese sole, *Solea senegalensis*. J Comp Physiol B.
- Mc Clang, Robertson C. (2006) Plant Cyrcadian Rhythms. Plant Cell; 18(4): 792–803.
- Menaker M (2002) Biological clocks at the end of 20th century. In Biological Rhythms V, Kumar, ed, pp 1-4, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Mendoza J (2006) Circadian clocks: setting time by food. J Neuroendocrinol 19:127-137.
- Mendoza J, Pévet P, Felder-Schmittbuhl MP, Bailly Y, and Challet E (2010) The cerebellum harbors a circadian oscillator involved in food anticipation. J Neurosci 30: 1894-1904.
- Migaud H, Davie A, Martínez Chavez CC and Al-Khamees S (2007) Evidence for differential photic regulation of pineal melatonin synthesis in teleosts. J Pineal Res 43:327-335.
- Mistlberger RE (1994) Circadian food-anticipatory activity: formal models and physiological mechanisms. Neurosci Bio behav Rev 18:171-195.
- Moore RY and Eichler VB (1972) Loss of a circadian adrenal corticosterone rhythm following suprachiasmatic lesions in the rat. Brain Res 42:201-206.
- Moore RY and Klein DC (1974) Visual pathways and the central neural control of a circadian rhythm in pineal serotonin N-acetyltransferase activity. Brain Res 71:17-33.
- Moore-Ede MC, Sulzman FM, Fuller CA (1982) The clocks that time us. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Nelson W, Tong YL, Lee JK, Halberg F (1979) Methods for cosinor rhythmometry, Chronobiologia 6:305–323
- Okamura H, Miyake S, Sumi Y, Yamaguchi S, Yasui A, Muijtjens M, Hoeijmakers JH and Van der Horst GT (1999) Photic induction of mPer1 and mPer2 in cry-deficient mice lacking a biological clock. Science 286:2531-2534.
- Oliveira C, Dinis MT, Soares F, Cabrita E, Pousao-Ferreira P and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2009) Lunar and daily spawning rhythms of Senegal sole *Solea senegalensis*. J Fish Biol 75:61-74.
- Padrós F, Villalta M, Gisbert E and Estevez A (2011) Morphological and histological study of larval development of the Senegal sole *Solea senegalensis*: an integrative study. J Fish Biol 79:3-32.
- Pando MP and Sassone-Corsi P (2002) Unraveling the mechanisms of the vertebrate circadian clock: zebrafish may light the way. Bioessays 24:419-426.
- Park JG, Park YJ, Sugama N, Kim SJ and Takemura A (2007) Molecular cloning and daily variations of the *Period* gene in a reef fish *Siganus guttatus*. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol 193:403-411.
- Parra G and Yúfera M (2001) Comparative energetics during early development of two marine fish species, *Solea senegalensis* (Kaup) and Sparus aurata (L.). J Exp Biol 204:2175-2183.
- Patiño MA, Rodríguez-Illamola A, Conde-Sieira M, Soengas JL and Miguez JM (2011) Daily Rhythmic Expression Patterns of Clock1a, Bmal1, and *Per1* Genes in Retina and Hypothalamus of the Rainbow Trout, Oncorhynchus Mykiss. Chronobiology International 28:381-389.

Pittendrigh, C.S. Circadian rhythms and the circadian organization of living systems*. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on*

 Quantitative Biology: Volume XXV. Biological Clocks. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Press, 1960. pp. 159 184.

- Ralph MR, Foster RG, Davis FC and Menaker M (1990) Transplanted suprachiasmatic nucleus determines circadian period. Science 247:975-978.
- Reebs SG and Lague M (2000) Daily food-anticipatory activity in golden shiners. A test of endogenous timing mechanisms. Physiol Behav 70:35-43.
- Reppert SM and Weaver DR (1997) Forward genetic approach strikes gold: cloning of a mammalian clock gene. Cell 89:487-490.

Reppert SM and Weaver DR (2002) Coordination of circadian timing in mammals. Nature 418:935-941.

- Reddy P, Zehring WA, Wheeler DA, Pirrotta V, Hadfield C, Hall JC and Rosbash M (1984) Molecular analysis of the *period* locus in *Drosophila melanogaster* and identification of a transcript involved in biological rhythms. Cell 38:701-710.
- Rodríguez-Gómez FJ, Rendón MC, Sarasquete C and Muñoz-Cueto JA (1999) Distribution of gonadotropin-releasing hormone immunoreactive systems in the brain of the Senegalese sole, *Solea senegalensis*. Histochem J 31:695- 703.
- Sakamoto K, Nagase T, Fukui H, Horikawa K, Okada T, Tanaka H, Sato K, Miyake Y, Ohara O, Kako K and Ishida N (1998) Multitissue circadian expression of rat *period* homolog (*rPer2)* mRNA is governed by the mammalian circadian clock, the suprachiasmatic nucleus in the brain. J Biol Chem 273:27039-27042.
- Sánchez JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2009) Feeding entrainment of daily rhythms of locomotor activity and clock gene expression in zebrafish brain. Chronobiol Int 26:1120-1135.
- Sánchez JA, Madrid JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2010) Molecular cloning, tissue distribution, and daily rhythms of expression of *per1* gene in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Chronobiol Int 27:19-33.
- Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Aranda A and Madrid JA (2001) Differential effects of meal size and food energy density on feeding entrainment in goldfish. J Biol Rhythms 16:58-65.
- Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Madrid JA, Zamora S and Tabata M (1997) Feeding entrainment of locomotor activity rhythms in the goldfish is mediated by a feeding-entrainable circadian oscillator. J Comp Physiol A 181:121-132.
- Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Zamora S, Madrid JA (1995) Light-dark and food restriction cycles in sea bass-effect of conflicting zeitgebers on demand-feeding rhythms. Physiol. Behav. 58:705-714.
- Sawaki Y, Nihonmatsu I and Kawamura H (1984) Transplantation of the neonatal suprachiasmatic nuclei into rats with complete bilateral suprachiasmatic lesions. Neurosci Res 1:67-72.
- Shearman LP, Zylka MJ, Weaver DR, Kolakowski LF, Jr. and Reppert SM (1997) Two *period* homologs: circadian expression and photic regulation in the suprachiasmatic nuclei. Neuron 19:1261-1269.
- Schreiber AM (2006) Asymmetric craniofacial remodeling and lateralized behaviour in larval flatfish. J Exp Biol 209:610-621.
- Schwartz WJ and Gainer H (1977) Suprachiasmatic nucleus: use of 14C-labeled deoxyglucose uptake as a functional marker. Science 197:1089-1091.
- Schwartz WJ, Davidsen LC and Smith CB (1980) In vivo metabolic activity of a putative circadian oscillator, the rat suprachiasmatic nucleus. J Comp Neurol 189:157-167.
- Semo M, Peirson S, Lupi D, Lucas RJ, Jeffery G and Foster RG (2003) Melanopsin retinal ganglion cells and the maintenance of circadian and pupillary responses to light in aged rodless/coneless (rd/rdcl) mice. Eur J Neurosci 17:1793-1801.
- Stanewsky R, Kaneko M, Emery P, Beretta B, Wager-Smith K, Kay SA, Rosbash M and Hall JC (1998) The cryb mutation identifies cryptochrome as a circadian photoreceptor in *Drosophila*. Cell 95:681-692.
- Stanewsky R (2003) Genetic analysis of the circadian system in *Drosophila melanogaster* and mammals. J Neurobiol 54:111-147.
- Stephan FK and Zucker I (1972) Circadian rhythms in drinking behaviour and locomotor activity of rats are eliminated by hypothalamic lesions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 69:1583-1586.
- Stephan FK, Swann JM and Sisk CL (1979a) Anticipation of 24-hr feeding schedules in rats with lesions of the suprachiasmatic nucleus. Behav Neural Biol 25:346-363.
- Stephan FK, Swann JM and Sisk CL (1979b) Entrainment of circadian rhythms by feeding schedules in with suprachiasmatic lesions. Behav Neural Biol 25:545-554.
- Stephan FK (1989) Entrainment of activity to multiple feeding times in rats with suprachiasmatic lesions. Physiol Behav 46:489-497.
- Stephan FK (2002) The "other" circadian system: food as a Zeitgeber. J Biol Rhythms 17:284-292.
- Stokkan KA, Yamazaki S, Tei H, Sakaki Y and Menaker M (2001) Entrainment of the circadian clock in the liver by feeding. Science 291:490-493.
- Strubbe JH, Van Dijk G. (2002). The temporal organization of ingestive behaviour and its interactions with regulation of energy balance. Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 26, 485–98.
- Takumi T, Matsubara C, Shigeyoshi Y, Taguchi K, Yagita K, Maebayashi Y, Sakakida Y, Okumura K, Takashima N and Okamura H (1998a) A new mammalian *period* gene predominantly expressed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. Genes Cells 3:167-176.
- Takumi T, Taguchi K, Miyake S, Sakakida Y, Takashima N, Matsubara C, Maebayashi Y, Okumura K, Takekida S, Yamamoto S, Yagita K, Yan L, Young MW and Okamura H (1998b) A light independent oscillatory gene m*Per3* in mouse SCN and OVLT. EMBO J 17:4753-4759
- Tamai TK, Young LC and Whitmore D (2007) Light signaling to the zebrafish circadian clock by Cryptochrome1a. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:14712-14717.
- Vallone D, Gondi SB, Whitmore D and Foulkes NS (2004) E-box function in a *period* gene repressed by light. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:4106-4111.
- Vatine G, Vallone D, Gothilf Y and Foulkes NS (2011) It's time to swim! Zebrafish and the circadian clock. FEBS Lett 585:1485-1494
- Velarde E, Haque R, Iuvone PM, Azpeleta C, Alonso-Gómez AL and Delgado MJ (2009) Circadian clock genes of goldfish, *Carassius auratus*: cDNA cloning and rhythmic expression *of period* and *cryptochrome* transcripts in retina, liver, and gut. J Biol Rhythms 24:104-113
- Velarde E, Cerda-Reverter JM, Alonso-Gómez AL, Sánchez E, Isorna E and Delgado MJ (2010) Melatonin synthesizing enzymes in pineal, retina, liver, and gut of the goldfish (Carassius): mRNA expression pattern and regulation of daily rhythms by lighting conditions. Chronobiol Int 27:1178-1201
- Vera LM, De Pedro N, Gómez-Milan E, Delgado MJ, Sánchez-Muros MJ, Madrid JA and Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2007b) Feeding entrainment of locomotor activity rhythms, digestive enzymes and neuroendocrine factors in goldfish. Physiol Behav 90:518-524
- Vera LM, Negrini C, Zagatti E, Frigato E, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, and Bertolucci C (2013) Light and feeding entrainment of the molecular circadian clock in a marine teleost (Sparus aurata) Chronobiol Int DOI: 10.3109/07420528.2013.775143.
- Verwey M, Khoja Z, Stewart J and Amir S (2007) Differential regulation of the expression *of Period2* protein in the limbic forebrain and dorsomedial hypothalamus by daily limited access to highly palatable food in food deprived and free-fed rats. Neuroscience 147:277-285.
- Wakamatsu H, Yoshinobu Y, Aida R, Moriya T, Akiyama M and Shibata S (2001) Restricted-feeding induced anticipatory activity rhythm is associated with a phase-shift of the expression of mPer1 and mP*er*2 mRNA in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus but not in the suprachiasmatic nucleus of mice. Eur J Neurosci 13:1190- 1196.
- Wang H (2009) Comparative genomic analysis of teleost fish bmal genes. Genetica 136:149-161.
- Wang H (2008a) Comparative Analysis of *Period* Genes in Teleost Fish Genomes. J Mol Evol 67:29-40.
- Wang H (2008b) Comparative analysis of teleost fish genomes reveals preservation of different ancient clock duplicates in different fishes. Mar Genom 1:69-78.
- Welsh DK, Takahashi JS and Kay SA (2010) Suprachiasmatic nucleus: cell autonomy and network properties. Annu Rev Physiol 72:551-577.
- Whitmore D, Foulkes NS, Strahle U and Sassone-Corsi P (1998) Zebrafish Clock rhythmic expression reveals independent peripheral circadian oscillators. Nat Neurosci 1:701-707.
- Yoo SH, Yamazaki S, Lowrey PL, Shimomura K, Ko CH, Buhr ED, Siepka SM, Hong HK, Oh WJ, Yoo OJ, Menaker M and Takahashi JS (2004) *PERIOD2*::LUCIFERASE real-time reporting of circadian dynamics reveals persistent circadian oscillations in mouse peripheral tissues. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:5339-5346.
- Zachmann, A., Falcon, J., KnijV, S. C., Bolliet, V., and Ali, M. A. (1992). EVects of photoperiod and temperature on rhythmic melatonin secretion from the pineal organ of the white sucker (Catostomus commersoni) in vitro. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 86, 26–33
- Zeng H, Hardin PE and Rosbash M (1994) Constitutive overexpression of the *Drosophila* period protein inhibits period mRNA cycling. EMBO J 13:3590-3598.
- Zhuang M, Wang Y, Steenhard BM and Besharse JC (2000) Differential regulation of two period genes in the *Xenopus* eye. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 82:52-64.
- Zylka MJ, Shearman LP, Weaver DR and Reppert SM (1998) Three *period* homologs in mammals: differential light responses in the suprachiasmatic circadian clock and oscillating transcripts outside of brain. Neuron 20:1103- 1110.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Innanzitutto vorrei dire un enorme grazie al Dr José Antonio Muñoz Cueto che mi ha dato una grande opportunità di crescita personale e lavorativa. Sono stati 8 mesi meravigliosi intervallati da risate e duro lavoro ma caratterizzati da un clima di fiducia e collaborazione. Grazie davvero chiqui!

Voglio dire grazie dal profondo del cuore ad Águeda J. Martín Robles, il mio boss e il mio esempio. La tua allegria, spontaneità e fiducia mi hanno contagiato sin dal primo giorno. Mi hai insegnato tantissime cose, da come usare una semplice pipeta fino ad avere fiducia in me stessa. La tua passione e la tua pazienza sono qualcosa di prezioso. Grazie per aver detto sempre le parole giuste al momento giusto, per i tuoi abbracci stritolanti, per i tuoi momenti di pazzia. Sei un ottimo boss, e una grande amica.

Un grande grazie alla professoressa Elena Fabbri che mi ha parlato di Cádiz e che mettendomi in contatto con il Dr José Antonio Muñoz Cueto mi ha permesso di svolgere questo progetto.

Grazie a te, Patri!Per la tua bontà, la tua generosità e la tua spettacolare spontaneità. Era da tanto tempo che non ridevo così di gusto. Grazie per avermi coccolata nei momenti di sconforto, ho milioni di ricordi che conserverò nel cuore. Grazie anche ad Emilio e ai tuoi genitori, per essere sempre stati così gentili e carini.

Grazie ad Alba, per essere stata contemporaneamente amica, coinquilina e collega di laboratorio. Abbiamo passato tanti momenti assieme, grazie per avermi sopportato nei giorni di maggiore stress, grazie per le nostre cene e i film in quel divano, grazie per tutto.

Ringrazio a Maria, che grazie al nostro corso accelerato di italiano non avrà problemi a tradurre in un secondo queste poche righe. Grazie per essere stata sempre presente, sia in laboratorio che come amica. Grazie per la feria, il flamenco, per le nostre partite di basket, e per quelle tra Spagna- Italia alla tv. Grazie per il termine huhar e per le parole di incoraggiamento e fiducia. Grazie anche alla tua famiglia che mi ha fatto sempre sentire come fossi a casa.

Grazie a Tote, per i caffè mattutini, le battute e perche no per gli scherzi.

Grazie a Fran, per le canzoni, i concerti e le risate.

Grazie a Mairi, la quale nonostante le parlassi metà in italiano, metà in spagnolo e metà in inglese è sempre stata un ottima compagnia di conversazioni e risate.

Grazie alla mia seconda family ovvero Marco, Alessia e Letizia, che mi hanno sopportato e supportato sempre.

Grazie a mio padre Giorgio, che nonostante mi allontani sempre più da casa, mi fa sempre sentire il suo tifo e appoggio.

Grazie ai miei fratelli Alberto e Alessandro che anche da lontano mi danno sostegno e gratificazione.

Grazie ai miei nonni, gemme speciali della mia vita che con la loro saggezza, bontà e amore mi spingono sempre ad affrontare le difficoltà con coraggio e a realizzare i miei sogni.

E infine per ultima ma non di importanza, grazie di cuore a mia mamma Luisa, che ha sempre sopportato la mia assenza, le mie paure e preoccupazioni, che ha sempre assecondato la mia voglia di partire e mi ha sempre incoraggiato nel perseguire i miei sogni.