ALMA MATER STUDIORUM UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI BOLOGNA

SCUOLA DI SCIENZE

Corso di laurea magistrale in Biologia Marina

Daily modulation of the Heat shock proteins (Hsps) in three different species of scleractinian corals

Tesi di laurea in adattamenti degli animali all'ambiente marino

Relatore

Prof. Elena Fabbri

Presentata da

Andrea Stefano De Simone

Correlatore

Prof. Paolo Galli

III sessione

Anno Accademico 2013/2014

INDEX

1. Introduzione

- 1.1 Coral reefs: habitat and functions
- 1.2 Stressors and coral bleaching
- 1.3 Principali stress factors
 - 1.3.1 Temperature
 - 1.3.2 Solar radiation
 - 1.3.3 Salinity
- 1.4 Molecular and cellular response to stress in corals
- 1.5 Le Heat shock proteins (Hsps)
 - 1.5.1 The mitochondrial 60-kDa Heat shock protein (Hsp60)
 - 1.5.2 The cytoplasmic 70-kDa Heat shock protein (Hsp70)

2. Aim Of The Study

3. Framework of the study area

- 3.1 Indo-Pacific status
- 3.2 Republic of Maldives
- 3.3 Faafu atoll and Maghoodoo island

4. Materials and methods

- 4.1 Sampling design: study area and selection of the coral colonies
- 4.2 Coral species identification
- 4.3 Coral collection
- 4.4 Protein analyses
 - 4.4.1 Protein extraction and dosage
 - 4.4.2 SDS-PAGE
 - 4.4.3 Western Blot and Immunodecoration

4.5 Densitometric and statistical analysis

5. Results

- 5.1 Daily variation Temperature/Illuminance
- 5.2 Assessing the absence of Symbiodinium contamination
- 5.3 Statistical relevance

5.4 Hsps modulation in different corals species

5.4.1 Acropora tenuis 5.4.2 Echinopora lamellosa 5.4.3 Porites lobata

5.5 Modulation of Hsp60 and Hsp70

6. Discussion and Conclusion

7. References

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Coral reefs: habitat and functions

Coral reefs are tropical coastal ecosystems mainly composed and built by organisms which belong to one of the lowest metazoan phyla, the Cnidaria, class of Anthozoa and order Scleractinia. For these reasons, these organisms are commonly called scleractinian corals or hermatypic corals. They represent the major building blocks of the reefs creating some of largest and diverse ecological communities on the Earth. Coral reefs cover only 0.2% of the ocean surface but they contain almost the 25% of the total marine species (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Roberts, 2003; Hoegh-Guldbergh et al., 2007), supporting an extraordinary biomass and diversity. Until today, about 4000 fish species and 800 coral species which inhabit the reefs worldwide are classified (Groombridge and Jenkins, 2000). Scleractinian corals live at an average depth of 40-50 and in a range of latitude between 30°N and 30°S, which constitute the tropical latitudes. In this region, the oligotrophic and clear water with a low sedimentation rate, a sea temperature between 20 to 30°C during the whole year and a salinity range between 32 and 40 ‰ have an essential role to permit the development of this organism (Veron, 1986).

Scleractinian corals are colonial animals composed by many genetically identical units called polyps which are covered by a hard skeleton produced by themselves and formed by calcium carbonate. In the coral colony the polyps live in symbiosis with a diverse microbiota encompassing virus (Wilson et al. 2005; Davy et al. 2006; Marhaver et al. 2008; Claverie et al. 2009), fungi (Rypien and Baker 2009; Kirkwood et al. 2010; Rivest et al. 2010), protozoa (Croquer et al. 2006; Dong et al. 2009; Sebastian et al. 2009), bacteria (Rosenberg et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2007; Kimes et al. 2010) and archaea (Rohwer and Kelley 2004; Wegley et al. 2004). All the microbial components are recognized as fundamental for the functionality of corals (Knowlton and Rohwer, 2003). However, the most important symbiotic organisms for scleractinian coral are represented by the dinoflagellate photosinthetic algae called zooxanthellae (*Symbiodinium* spp.). *Symbiodinium* cells inhabit the coral gastrodermal tissues in a concentration of millions of cells per square centimetre and they use the sunlight to get energy via photosynthesis. The zooxanthellae provide a metabolic benefit to their coral hosts by translocating carbohydrates, amino acids, and lipids to the host (Trench, 1971) representing the majority of daily carbon requirements for coral growth and skeletal deposition

(Gates et al., 1995). Through the photosynthetic activity, more than 90% of the energy required by the corals is satisfied. In exchange, the host provides the algae with inorganic nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon, the products of the metabolism and respiration of corals, as well as an environment characterized by high light exposure for photosynthesis and protection from predation (Venn et al., 2008; Yellowlees et al., 2008). This nutrients exchange also gives to the coral the energy required for an extensive calcification of the skeleton. The *Symbiodinium* algae are classified into 7 different phylogenetic clades (Rodriguez-Lanetty, 2003). Each coral colony could hosts one or several individual clades of *Symbiodinium* and the host-symbiont specificity can be stable to the environmental perturbations (Baker, 2003; Thornhill et al., 2006). Overall, the coral and the closely associated microbial assemblages and zooxanthellae is collectively described with the term coral holobiont (Lesser et al., 2004; Ritchie, 2006; van Oppen et al., 2009).

Coral reefs represent one of the most important ecosystem in the world, both from a biological and ecological point of view and from a social and economic role. In fact, first of all coral reefs protect the natural coastal environment from the wave action. In fact, the coral reefs structure mitigates the violence of the waves and tropical hurricanes, protecting the coast by reducing and slowing the coastal erosion. In this way, the coral reefs give the possibility to other linked ecosystems, as the seagrasses beds and mangrove forests, to survive and develop (Cesar, 2000). Coral reefs support a very high biodiversity comparable only to that of tropical forests also representing a nursery for many juvenile fish species which will constitute the new fish stocks.

Furthermore, coral reefs provide profits and benefits, being one of the first source of income for hundred million of people which live close to this ecosystem. In all the world, about ten thousand people partly or totally depends for their sustenance from the coral reefs and about 15% of world population lives within 100 km from the reef (Pomerance, 1999). Coral reefs are a vital resource particularly for local people, who exploit them, sometimes excessively, to provide food (fishing and mariculture), medicines, feed for livestock, pharmaceuticals and material to build (Obura, 2003). In particular, 20-25% of the fish caught by the countries in the developing world lives on coral reefs and the people of the Pacific get about 90% of their protein requirements from their fishing (Cesar et al., 2003). This ecosystem represents a strong attractive for tourists thus also supporting foreign exchanging (Moberg and Folke, 1999). Only the tourism generates billions of dollars for each country that has these natural ecosystems, absolutely unique in the world for its beauty and spectacular and renowned for high levels of biodiversity and productivity (Cesar, 2000). Finally, coral reefs are also an importance resource for the detection of new anti-tumoral biomolecules used in the pharmaceutical industry (Carte, 1996).

1.2 Stressors and coral bleaching

Despite their importance and persistence through the geological ages (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999), coral reefs are among the most vulnerable and delicate ecosystems in the world. Since corals are sessile organisms they are steadily subjected to variable environmental conditions typical of the marine habitat (Feder and Hofmann, 1999). Coral reefs are confined between 30° N and 30° S of latitude and they must fit to relatively stable and positive condition and to seasonal cycles, typical of the tropical regions (Grottoli et al., 2006). This stability explains why these organisms and these ecosystems are vulnerable to extreme or sudden environmental changes (McClanahan et al., 2002). Therefore, coral reefs are among the most threatened ecosystems by the global climate change (Hughes et al., 2007).

To date, the coral reefs health worldwide is seriously threatened by different stress factors, both biotic and abiotic, as the abnormally elevated and low ocean temperatures, high solar and UV radiations, severe changes in the salinity values, destructive storms and hurricanes, pollution, predation events (e.g. crown of thorn starfish *Acanthaster plancii, Drupella* spp., corallivorous fishes) and the imminent sea rise due to the thermal expansion of the seawater and glacial melting (Dustan, 1999; Marshal and Schuttemberg, 2006). Closely related to global warming is also the progressive raising of the CO₂ atmospheric concentration which cause the oceans acidification causing the dissolution of all the carbonate structures, such as the corals skeletons (Marubini et al., 2008; Pandolfi et al., 2011). Other stresses and disturbs are represented by the anthropogenic activities such as the overfishing and the destructive fishing (with bombs or poison), the wastes, the increasing of the sedimentation rate induced by an extreme exploitation and development of the coastal zone, damage caused by anchors, invasive species and unsustainable tourism, operated synergistically in the last years causing a severe degradation of the health, resistance and resilience of the coral reefs worldwide (Grimsditch and Salm, 2006).

According to a recent summary, the 20% of the world's reefs has been irreparably damaged and a further 24% is threatened by anthropogenic pressures such as destructive fishing practices, coastal development, agricultural land-use, increasing nutrient and sedimentation load run-off and eutrophication (Wilkinson, 2004; Wilkinson, 2008). Abiotic and biotic stresses often work together in driving the physiological ecology of the intertidal communities on coral reefs (Lang and Chornesky, 1990; Karlson, 1999).

The ability of a coral reef to support a high productivity depends primarily on the symbiotic relationship between the polyps and the zooxanthellae, which provide to the polyps more than 90% of their energy requirements through the photosynthetic activity. Furthermore, these

unicellular algae are also the responsible of the bright colouring of corals. Under adverse circumstances and environmental conditions, the equilibrium between the partners of the coral holobiont could be compromised often leading to the phenomenon known as coral bleaching, which is considered one of the main causes of the reefs degradation worldwide (Hughes et al., 2003). Coral bleaching is defined as the process by which the symbiosis between corals and algae (Symbiodinum spp.) is broken with a decrease in the density of Symbiodinium and/or photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a) in the polyps tissues (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1989; Glynn, 1993; Douglas, 2003). Losing the algae, polyps remain transparent and the coral shows his white calcareous skeleton (Marshall and Schuttenberg, 2004). In according with Glynn (1996) during a coral bleaching event, about 60-90% of the coral's zooxanthellae are lost and the remaining algae may lost about 50-80% of their photosynthetic pigments. The bleached corals slowly and gradually die since they lack the main source of energy, unless the symbiosis is not restored following the return to normal and favorable environmental conditions. In this case, zooxanthellae can repopulate coral's tissues and they can survive the bleaching event and recover their normal colour and metabolic activity (Brown, 1997). Thus, in some cases bleaching is a transient altered physiological state; the coral quickly recovers and the health of the individual is relatively un-impacted. Otherwise, if stressful conditions subside soon enough, zooxanthellae can repopulate coral's tissues and they can survive the bleaching event and recover their normal colour and metabolic activity (Marshall and Schuttenberg 2004).

Coral bleaching may occur on local scale, affecting some reefs or reef portions in the same geographical area, on regional scale, affecting different but close geographical areas, to become events widespread worldwide, the mass coral bleaching events (Glynn, 1993; Hoegh-Guldberg and Salvat, 1995; Brown, 1997). Phenomenon of coral bleaching was observed and described in detail by Vaughan in 1914 as a loss of pigmentation due to low salinity and light exclusion. Yonge and Nicolas in 1931 observed a low cellular concentration of zooxanthellae in corals exposed to high temperature in Lowe Island, Great Coral Reef, Australia. Since 1979 mass coral bleaching events of tens of thousand of km² were recorded, with an increasing of the frequency and range of the phenomenon (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999).

Bleaching can be induced by various factors, including heat stress, cold stress, elevated irradiance, increased UV radiation, prolonged absence of light, low salinity, heavy sedimentation, general exposure to pollutants (herbicides, pesticides, heavy metals), starvation, and bacterial infection (Goreau, 1964; Hoegh-Guldberg and Smith, 1989; Lesser et al., 1990; Glynn, 1991; Coles and Fadlallah, 1991; Muscatine et al., 1991; Fang et al., 1995; Brown et al., 2000; Owen et al., 2002; Jones 2005). Buddemeir and Fautin (1993) and Ware et al., (1996), hypothesized that coral bleaching could represent an adaptive strategy, knows as the adaptive bleaching hypothesis. In fact, expelling the zooxanthellae, the corals permit to

other algae more tolerant to the environmental stresses to rebuild the symbiosis, making the coral more resistant to the stressor. According to this hypothesis, the phenomenon of coral bleaching could represent only an evolutionary strategy in order to adapt the organism to the increasing temperatures.

The maintenance of photosynthetic algae within coral cells involves constant cellular communication between the two partners (Weis, 2008), but the cellular mechanisms and molecular pathways involved in the intake and retention of unicellular algae are largely unresolved. Different hypotheses have been suggested regarding the breakdown of the symbiosis. They include, in situ degradation of the symbiont and its photosynthetic pigments (Brown et al., 1995, Fitt and Warner, 1995, Ainsworth and Hoegh-Guldberg, 2008), exocytosis of the symbiont cells (Brown et al., 1995), detachment of the symbiont-containing animal cell (Gates et al., 1992; Brown et al., 1995; Fitt et al., 2001), necrosis and apoptosis of both host and symbiont cells (Dunn et al., 2004, 2007; Lesser and Farrell, 2004), and digestion of the symbiont by the coral host (Brown et al., 1995).



Fig. 1.1 Rising of sea surface temperature may cause brokage of symbiosis with zooxanthellae conferring pale colouring to the colony until coral bleaching. Corals bleached may survive and restore to original condition recovering symbiont algae, if stress conditions are extend in time coral led to die.

1.3 Principali stress factors

Among the abiotic stressor, the temperature, the light irradiation and the salinity are the principal driving forces which are able to influence the structure, the composition and the health status of a coral reef ecosystem since they represent the major causes of the coral bleaching events

1.3.1 Temperature

The majority of the mass coral bleaching events have been correlated with elevated sea surface temperatures (SST), (Hoegh-Guldberg and Jones, 1999). Corals are stenotherm and exist within a relatively narrow temperature range, often within 2-3°C from their upper thermal limit (Podesta and Glynn, 1997; Berkelmans and Willis, 1999), and just an increase of 1°C for some days may trigger an event of mass coral bleaching (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 1997). Short term SST increase of 3-4°C as well as long term SST increase of 1-2° beyond the normal tolerance threshold could lead to coral bleaching (Jokiel and Coles, 1990). If temperature remains above a threshold for several weeks, the coral is unable to meet nutritional requirements through feeding alone, cannot retrieve or maintain sufficient densities of zooxanthellae, and mortality can occur (Brown, 1997; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999, 2004). Coral bleaching has become common since 1983, however, the 1997-98 mass coral bleaching event remain the most geographically extensive and severe resulted in 90% mortality to 16% of the worldwide (Hoegh-Guldberg, 2004). Large-scale bleaching events, caused primarily by heat stress have been associated with the EI-Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenom (Wilkinson et al., 1999; Mumby et al., 2001; Hoegh-Guldberg, 2004). ENSO is a phenomenon that occur when in mid-low latitude a complex of high pressure depress the wind systems reducing the cloud coverage and the evaporation rate which is responsible of the sea surface temperature cooling both locally and regionally (Coles and Brown, 2003). EI-Niño Southern Oscillation has been known since centuries, as periodic inversion of the flow in corresponding of the Perù and Ecuador coasts. El-Niño is generated by the inversion of the sea flow which carries the superficial hot Pacific water from east to west, linked to the disintegration of the Alisei winds in the southern hemisphere that are interrupted or reversed becoming westerly winds. The immediate consequences of this event are the worming of the East Pacific for thousand of km and the pressure reversal between South America and Indonesia (Southern Oscillation), with an increase of the rain in Perù and Ecuador and drought events in the Indonesian Archipelagos (Collins, 2000). Since 1980 all the major mass coral bleaching

events happened in correspondence with the ENSO, such those generated in 1982-83 and in 1997-98 (Obura, 2005).

However, the events of coral bleaching are likely to increase in frequency and scale over time and becoming yearly phenomenon by 2040. In fact with global climate change sea surface are warming and was increased about 0.3°C per decade in the past 30 years and will increase about 1-3°C by 2050 (IPCC, 2007; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Pittock, 1999). Mass coral bleaching were registred also in Maldive with a mortality rate about 80-90% (Berkelmans and Oliver, 1999; McClanahan and Mangi, 2000). Other events occurred in the Pacific Ocean in 2000 and in 2002 (Berkelmans et al., 2004) and in Indian Ocean in 2005 and in 2007 (McClanahan et al., 2007; Montano et al., 2010) and a sever event in the Caribbean in 2005 (Donner et al., 2007).

Since the IPPC's (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) predictions also show that the terrestrial temperature will raise about 1.4-5.8 °C before 2100, the coral bleaching events may represent in the future the main phenomenon which will define the health condition of the future coral reefs (Grottoli at al., 2006).

1.3.2 Solar radiation

Several studies have also implicated high rates of solar radiations, which include the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and the ultraviolet radiation (UVR 290-400 nm), as a potential cause of coral stress (Shick et al., 1996; Lesser, 2000). In fact, together with high SSTs, which is the main cause of coral bleaching, other environmental factors may act synergistically to lower the coral resistance to stressor (Lesser, 2006). Laboratory experiments (Hoegh-Guldber and Smith, 1989; Glynn and D'Croz, 1990) and field studies (Thailandia: Brown, 1997; Papua Nuova Guinea: Davies et al., 1997; French Polinesia: Gleason, 1993; East Pacific: Glynn, 1984; Glynn, 1988) show that high temperatures and high level of solar radiation may enhance the coral bleaching. Bleaching of corals has been attributed to also the high levels of solar radiation as these events usually coincide with periods of calm winds, resulting in increased penetration of solar radiation (Glynn, 1996, Wilkinson, 1998). In fact, the most of coral bleaching events happened in condition of calm wind, calm sea and limpet seawater, promoting the UVR penetration (Glynn, 1993). Furthermore, corals start to bleach from the tips, which are more exposed to solar radiation and corals placed in the shade are less affected by bleaching than those exposed to full solar radiation (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999).

The combination elevated SSTs and long summer days of intense UV exposure is commonly agreed to be the predominant trigger for mass bleaching episodes (Lesser et al. 1990, Gleason and Wellington 1993, Glynn 1993).

Especially in the photosynthetic organisms such as corals, the elevated solar UV may triggers the production of toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) which cause cellular damage to the organism, in particular to proteins, DNA and membranes (Lesser et al., 1990; Lesser, 1997) also inducing the photoinhibition of the photosynthesis process (Lesser, 1996).

Recently has been observed that the synergic effect of high temperature and high level of UVR may set off in polyps and in symbiont algae oxidative stress, producing and storing of *reactive oxygen speacies* (ROS), defeating protection mechanism mainly dial by pigment such as carotenoids (Glynn, 1996; Brown, 1997; Jones et al., 1998) and reducing the *photosynthetic active radiation* (PAR). ROS may cause serious damage to cellular membrane, to the proteins, to DNA, loss of function of cellular organelles, mutations, enzymatic inactivation, reduction of metabolic efficiency and photosynthetic capacity of zooxanthellae. In despite of this the absence of coral bleaching during period of high UVR and high temperature show that the UVR is not a fundamental condition but play only a secondary role in coral bleaching, depressing only the density of pigmentation but not the dissolution of symbiosis (Lesser, 1996; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1989).

In particular several solar radiation were suspected to be involved in that phenomenon for several reason: first of all, several bleaching are preceded by relative calm and clear water, with high penetration of UVR (e.g. Great Barrier Reef 1982-83, Harriott, 1985; French Polynesia 1993, Drollett et al., 1994), secondly because corals star to bleach first from their surface portion and exposed by solar radiation, finally several studies have shown by manipulation of UVR and PAR may led corals to bleach (Gleason and Welllington, 1993). This phenomenon is generally linked to photoinhibition (Walker, 1992). High light intensity may promote the forming of potentially damage product, such as free radicals, which are detossificated by specific enzymes. Principal damage that free radicals may cause is at level of photosystem II (PSII). Zooxanthellae photoinhibition may be a sever risk, hermatipic corals and their symbionts appear having several defensive mechanisms called as quenching, such as changing in xanthophyll pigments, to develop high radiance impact (Brown et al., 1999) or producing mycrosporin-like amino acids (MAAs). Therefore, high light intensity may cause a lowering of growth rate in zooxanthellae and reduction in concentration of pigments such as chalor of photoinhibition can't explain mass coral bleaching.

1.3.3 Salinity

Although coral reefs commonly inhabit areas where salinity is generally permanent and stable over long timescales (Coles and Jokiel, 1992), they can experience extreme changes in salinity levels of varying duration. Heavy rainy seasons which are common in tropical regions, hurricanes, storms and coastal freshwater runoff can significantly reduce surface and depth salinity in the short term (min to h) or over longer periods (days to weeks), (Van Woesik et al., 1995; Moberg et al., 1997; Devlin et al., 1998; Berkelmans and Oliver, 1999; Porter et al., 1999). At the other extreme, a high rate of evaporation in tide pools during low tides and prolonged drought may cause salinities to rise to stressful levels (Wells, 1957; Lirman et al., 2008), and also lead in this case to the physiological damage of reef organisms.

Osmotic stress, which occurs when the cell experiences volume and osmolyte fluctuations which compromise macromolecular structures and metabolic function (Mayfield and Gates, 2007), has long been recognized as a limiting physical parameter for marine organisms. Corals are generally considered stenohaline, with a limited ability to adapt to or survive salinity changes (Wells, 1957; Coles and Jokiel, 1992), even if some species are euryhaline and can withstand significant changes in external osmolarity (Muthiga and Szmant, 1987; Coles, 1992; Manzello and Lirman, 2003; Mayfield and Gates, 2007). In particular, reefbuilding corals, like the majority of marine invertebrates, are osmoconformers. Since they lack the capability of osmoregulation, they do not possess a constant cellular osmolarity but respond to dynamic changes in their environment rapidly by absorbing water to become isoosmotic with their surroundings (Rankin and Davenport, 1981; Mayfield and Gates, 2007). Sudden deviations in ambient salinity affect many physiological processes in marine organisms, such as intracellular transport, feeding rates, excretion, osmoregulatory capacity (Normant and Lamprecht, 2006), and negatively influence the basal metabolic functions of the corals inducing changes in respiratory pathways and symbiont photosynthetic efficiency (Alutoin et al., 2001; Kerswell and Jones, 2003). In this context, the majority of studies have analyzed the effects of reduced salinity on coral metabolism (Nystrom et al., 1997; Tytlianov et al., 2000; Downs et al., 2009). For example, following hyposaline treatments, a significant drop in net photosynthesis of *Porites furcata* has been reported (Manzello and Lirman, 2003). Decreased rates of gross photosynthesis due to cellular damage to algae have also been observed in Siderastrea siderea, Porites lutea and Pocillopora damicornis (Muthiga and Szmant, 1987; Moberg et al., 1997). In the long term, the salinity stress condition can imply decreased growth potential (Coles, 1992) and also give rise to higher-order physiological diseases, such as gamete abnormalities and reduced viabilities, that affect fecundity, settlement success and larval survivorship (Richmond, 1993; True, 2012) or even lead to death (Coles and Jokiel, 1992; Hoegh-Guldberg and Smith, 1989).

1.4 Molecular and cellular response to stress in corals

Marine environment vary in biotic and abiotic conditions and in the amplitude and frequency of fluctuations in these conditions (Brown, 1997). Due to the physical characteristics of

seawater, the aquatic environment can be extremely stressful to its inhabitants (Feder & Hofmann, 1999). In particular, as sessile organisms, scleractinian corals inhabit several niches in the intertidal zone and undergo constant direct exposure to the surrounding environmental conditions. Extreme or unexpected short or long-term environmental fluctuations could be very stressful for marine organisms, causing cell damage. Organisms in nature have developed several mechanisms to withstand environmental stresses, such as behavioral adaptations, morphological changes, physiological regulations and biochemical and cellular specializations (Feder and Hofmann, 1999). Nevertheless, corals lack any developed physiological regulatory system and for this reason they are expected to possess well-developed cellular adaptation abilities (Brown, 1997).

Furtermore, the coral susceptibility to stress and bleaching appears to be highly variable since different corals display different levels of physiological resistance to environmental stress (Obura, 2001; McClanahan et al., 2007; Montano et al., 2010). Bleaching response is highly species/genus specific but also different colonies of the same species or same coral species living in different geographic areas could show a different tolerance to the environmental disturbances (Marshall and Baird, 2000; McClanahan et al., 2007; Montano et al., 2010). The diversity among species in their susceptibility to disturbances represents a critical aspect of community dynamics, since it can give rise to changes in the community structure and species composition which determine the long-term persistence of coral reefs (Hughes and Connell, 1999). Attempts to understand the differences in the response of reef-building corals to thermal stresses have principally focused on coral morphology, growth and metabolic rates, tissue thickness and host CO₂ supply strategies (Gates and Edmunds, 1999; Marshall and Baird, 2000; Loya et al., 2001; Darling et al., 2012; Wooldridge, 2014). Generally, in literature, variation among coral genera has been associated with coral growth and metabolic rates (Gates and Edmunds, 1999). Corals with branching morphology, high growth rates, low tissue thickness, good connection between polyps and low metabolic rates (branching species) would have a lower capacity to acclimatize than corals with massive or sub-massive growing, with a low growing rate, high tissue thickness and high metabolic rate (massive species), (Marshall and Baird, 2000; Loya et al., 2001; McClanahan et al., 2004; Darling et al., 2012). Moreover, the taxa most susceptible to bleaching tend to be those corals that are quick to colonize free space and often short-lived and those corals that have low tissue retractibility and thickness and so high degree of tissue exposure to water column stressor (Hueerkamp et al., 2001, Loya et al., 2001). In particular among the scleractinian corals, acroporids and pocilloporids appear to be taxa most sensitive to bleaching stresses, since these faster growing species typically suffer high mortality during bleaching events (Glynn, 1990; Gleason, 1993; Marshall & Baird, 2000; Edwards et al., 2001; Loya et al., 2001; McClanahan, 2004).

However, the molecular mechanisms which determine the different tolerance and susceptibility in corals to different environmental stressors are not still completely elucidated. The coral stress response involves a wide array of cellular and physiological processes, including heterotrophic plasticity, the production of protective pigments, mycosporine-like amino acids and the expression of fluorescent proteins and antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutases, Catalase, Glutatione peroxidases and Glutatione transferases (Lesser 1997; Downs et al 2000; Richier et al. 2005, 2008; Grottoli et al., 2006; Weis, 2008; Baird et al., 2009; Linan-Cabello 2010). One mechanisms by which coral jointly with the host might reduce bleaching damage is the producing of flourecent pigmants (FPs). FPs produced by host appear to belonging from a single family of proteins, closely related from green fluorescent proteins (Martz et al., 1999). FPs reduce photoinhibition and severity of bleaching by assorbing, scattering and concluding dissipating high energy solar radiation, such as UV, via fluorescence (Salih et al., 2000, 2006). FPs have a stringent role in reducing and preventing bleaching damage, furthermore, its not know whether FPs can provides relief from heat stress alone (Baird et al., 2008). In addition to FPs corals have mycrosporine-like amino acids (MAAs) strategy to protect themselves to high energy of UV. In fact MAAs absorb and dissipate UV energy as heat, without forming toxic intermediates (Shick and Dunlap, 2002). MAAs are synthesized via the shikimic acid pathway, is presumed that symbionts being the source of MAAs in corals, because animals lack this pathway. Reactive oxygen species (ROS), play and essential role in temperature- and in radiation-induced bleaching damage (Weis, 2008). High temperature and irradiace may cause photoinhibition and damage to chloroplast and photosyntetic apparatus in three inter-related ways that act in concert to start bleaching cascade. The D1 protein is part of the water-splitting complex in photosystem II in thylakoid membranes, in consequence of elevated temperature, D1 becomes damage and outpaces the normal repair mechanisms (Warner et al., 1999). Heat and like could compromise also dark reaction of photosynthesis (Jones et al., 1998), damaging Rubisco (Lesser, 1996). This results in reduced consumption of ATP and NADH coming from the light reaction that in turn leads to the dysfunction of photosystem II via backup of excitation energy (Jones et al., 1998; Venn et al., 2008). Tchernov et al. (2004) have shown that heat and high light damage directly the thylakoid membraes, having the results that photosynthetic apparatus continue to generate electrons but not ATP and NADPH caused by and uncoulped transport of electrons. The build up of electrons under those mechanisms causes the generation of ROS. Excess electrons react with O_2 forming superoxide (O_2) (Tchernov et al., 2004) this reactive specie of oxygen can be reduced by SOD into H_2O_2 (Jones et al., 1998, Lesser, 2006). H₂O₂ can react with Fe²⁺ forming the most reactive ROS $^{\circ}$ OH. In addition excess of electrons can react photochemically with pigments forming ${}^{1}O_{2}$ (Lesser, 2006). Furthermore, ROS begin to diffuse into the host tissue where the damage continues ad

ultimately leads to bleaching. Into bleaching cascade, caused by ROS, nitric oxide (NO) plays a pivotal role (Weis, 2008). In according with Fang (2004), NO acts as both a cytotoxic and a signaling molecule in animals including host-pathogen interactions. It can react with O_2^{-1} forming the potent and high diffusible oxidant peroxynitrite, ONOO⁻ (Pacher et al., 2007). In according with Bouchard and Yamasaki (2008) and Trapido-Rosenthal et al. (2001), there is the evidence that NO is a direct signaling molecule between the partners of symbiosis that could initiate bleaching cascade. Superoxide dismutases catalyze the reaction of superoxide ions and two protons to form hydrogen peroxide and O₂ (Fridovich, 1995). Manganese and Glutathione play an essential role in antioxidant detoxification pattern (Downs et al., 2001; Klaassen et al., 1999). During oxidative stress reduce glutathione (GSH), act in different antioxidant pattern. GSH may form GSSG via glutathione peroxidase reacting with hydrogen peroxide, or reacting, in a major antioxidant cyclic patheay, with ascorbate as an essential component of the Asada-Halliwell pathway, or moreover GSH may act as an hydroxyl and superoxide quencher (Asada and Chen, 1988; Halliwell, 1999). Fridovich (1995) shows that ManganeseSOD is located in mitochondria in eukaryotic cells and it's up-regulated under oxidative stress to reduce the stress. Another strategy that corals adopt to resist and recover to bleaching events is the heterotrophic plasticity. Grottoli et al. (2006) show that corals able to switch acquiring fixed carbon heterotrophically in bleaching events are ecologically advantage for long-term survival.

There is also evidence that corals have an enhanced tolerance to environmental stress linked to cellular protective mechanisms such as the induction of the Heat shock proteins (Hsps), (Brown et al., 2002; Rosic et al., 2011; Chow et al., 2012; Seveso et al 2013, 2014).

1.5 Le Heat shock proteins (Hsps)

One mechanism of reaction to deleterious environmental conditions is the rapid increase of the induction of a set of stress proteins called Heat shock proteins (Hsps), (Lindquist 1986). This is one of the most important defence mechanism, conserved throughout evolution as the Hsps are ubiquitous, occurring in all organisms from bacteria to humans (Fink 1999, Kregel 2002). Heat shock proteins are categorized into several families that are named on the basis of their approximate molecular mass and specific functions: small Hsps, 40-kDa Hsp, 60-kDa Hsp, 70-kDa Hsp, 90-kDa Hsp and 110-kDa Hsp (Tab. 1.1).

Tab. 1.1 - Heat shock protein families and their intracellular location and function. ER: endoplasmic reticulum. TCP-1: tailless complex polypeptide. Grp: glucose regulated protein. Hsp70 hom: testis-specific Hsp70. BiP: immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein. Mt: mitochondrial. Apg-1: protein kinase essential for autophagy. From Pockley (2003)

| Major family, and members | Intracellular localisation | Intracellular function |
|---|---|--|
| Small Hsps αB-crystallin Hsp27 Haem oxygenase, Hsp32 | Cytoplasm Cytoplasm/nucleus Cytoplasm | Cytoskeletal stabilisation Actin dynamics Haem catabolism, antioxidant of properties |
| Hsp40 Hsp40 | Cytoplasm/nucleus | Regulates the activity of Hsp70;binds non-native proteins |
| Hsp47 | ER | Processing of pro-collagen; processing and/or secretion of collagen |
| Hsp60 (or chaperonins) Hsp60 TCP-1 | Mitochondria Cytoplasm | Bind to partly folded polypeptides and assist correct folding. Assembly of multimeric complexes |
| Hsp70 | | |
| Inducible: Hsp70, Hsp70hom Cognate/constitutive: Hsc70 Grp78/BiP mtHsp70/Grp75 | Cytoplasm/nucleus Cytoplasm/peroxisome ER Mitochondria | Bind to extended polypeptides. Prevent aggregation of unfolded peptides. Dissociate some oligomers. ATP binding. ATPase activity. Hsp70 downregulates HSF1 activity |
| Hsp90 | | |
| Hsp90 (α and β) Grp94/gp96/Hsp100 | Cytoplasm ER | Bind to other proteins. Regulate protein activity. Prevent aggregation of refolded peptide. Correct assembly and folding of newly synthesised protein. Hsp90 assists the maintenance of the HSF1 monomeric state in non- stressful conditions. |
| Hsp110 | | |
| Hsp110 (human) Apg-1 (mouse) Hsp105 | Nucleolus/cytoplasm Cytoplasm Cytoplasm | Thermal tolerance Protein refolding |

There is substantial evidence that Hsps play important physiological roles both in normal conditions and also in situations involving systemic and cellular stress (Kregel, 2002). Under normal cellular physiological conditions the Hsps mainly function as molecular chaperones. Chaperones are involved in a multitude of proteome-maintenance functions that regulate protein homeostasis in directing the folding and assembly of other proteins (Parsell and Lindquist, 1993; Sanders, 1993; Fink, 1999). In particular, they participate broadly in *de novo* folding of proteins, refolding of stress-denatured proteins, prevention of oligomeric assembly, protein trafficking and assistance in proteolytic degradation (Fig. 1.2). They also are involved in the intracellular protein transport and in the degradation of damaged proteins (Hightower, 1991; Gething and Sambrook, 1992; Vabulas et al., 2010; Hartl et al., 2011). The chaperones are multicomponent molecular machines that promote folding through ATP- and cofactor-regulated binding and release cycles (Hartl et al., 2011). In fact, Hsps typically function as oligomers, as well as complexes of several different chaperones, co-chaperones, and/or nucleotide exchange factors (Feder and Hofmann 1999).



Fig. 1.2 Role of a molecular chaperone in renaturing of a protein or in its degradation

An induction and an up-regulation of the expression of Hsps constitutes an emergency response and confers tolerance to harsh conditions (Parsell & Lindquist, 1993). Cells respond to stress by increasing either the amount or the activity of a transcription factor that is specific for the heat shock genes. The result is increased transcription of the heat shock genes, which leads to an increase in the concentration of Hsps in the cell (Craig and Gross, 1991). High levels of specific Hsps are maintained throughout the exposure to stress. However, the magnitude of the response and its duration depend on the severity of the stress and the sensitivity of the organism (Lindquist, 1986, Feder, 1999).

Hsps act to protect the organisms from cell protein damage after exposition to stressor such as elevated temperature (Linquist, 1986; Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Krebs and Bettencourt, 1999), cold shock (Nunamaker et al., 1996; Ali et al., 2003), increased UVR (Sanders, 1993; Trautinger, 2001), extreme pH (Kozoil et al., 1996; Tetth and Beuchat, 2003), osmotic stress (Burg et al., 1996; Beck et al., 2000; Spees et al., 2002), heavy metals (Köhler et al, 1992; Sanders, 1993; Vedel and Depledge, 1995; Hall, 2002), crude oil (Sanders, 1993; Wolfe et al., 1999), and other pollution (Linquist, 1986; Blom et al., 1992; Pomerai, 1996; Wiens et al., 1998).

In literature many works also focused on the coral Hsps expression (Fang et al., 1997; Sharp et al., 1997; Branton et al., 1999; Robbart et al., 2004; Rossi and Snyder 2001, Synder and Rossi 2004).

1.5.1 The mitochondrial 60-kDa Heat shock protein (Hsp60)

The 60-kDa heat shock proteins (Hsp60) belongs to the group of the chaperonins and in particular it is a mitochondrial chaperonin. Chaperonins are ring-shaped chaperones that encapsulate nonnative proteins in an ATP-dependent manner (Ritcher et al., 2010). Chaperonins are large double-ring complexes of approximately 800 kDa enclosing a central cavity. They occur in two subgroups that are similar in architecture but distantly related in sequence (Vabulas et al., 2010). Group I chaperonins (also called Hsp60s) occur in bacteria (GroEL), mitochondria, and chloroplasts. The function of type I chaperonins is performed by the cooperation of two proteins, Hsp60 and Hsp10, that function with the help of hydrolyzed ATP, as folding chamber and co-chaperone, respectively (Cheng et al. 1989, Bukau and Horwich 1998). Group II chaperonins exist in archaea (thermosome) and in the eukaryotic cytosol (TRiC/CCT).

Through the extensive study of GroEL, Hsp60's bacterial homolog, Hsp60 has been deemed essential in mitochondrial biogenesis, in the synthesis and transportation of essential mitochondrial proteins from the cell's cytoplasm into the mitochondrial matrix, playing a central role in the folding of newly imported and stress-denatured proteins in the mitochondria (Martinus et al., 1995; Hood et al., 2003). Hsp60 possesses two main responsibilities with respect to mitochondrial protein transport. It functions to catalyze the folding of proteins destined for the matrix and maintains protein in an unfolded state for transport across the inner membrane of the mitochondria (Koll et al., 1992). The hydrophobic portion HSP60 is responsible for maintaining the unfolded conformation of the protein for trans-membrane transport (Koll et al., 1992).

Cnidarian heat shock protein 60 is the major mitochondrial chaperonin and functions to mature nuclear-encoded, mitochondrial-imported proteins into their active state (Ellis, 1996). Elevation of this protein signifies that there has been a general shift in the protein chaperoning and degradation within the mitochondria and implies a change in the equilibria of mitochondrial-associated metabolic pathways (Papp et al. 2003).

Hsp60 has also anti-apoptotic ability and can protect against cell death by maintaining mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. In addition to its critical role in protein folding, Hsp60 is involved in the replication and transmission of mitochondrial DNA (Arya et al. 2007).

Hsp60 are commonly used as biomarkers in coral health assessment and the expression of Hsps after abiotic stresses such as extreme temperatures (Brown et al., 2002; Kingsley et al., 2003; Chow et al., 2009, 2012; Seveso et al., 2014), elevated light intensity (Downs et al., 2000; Chow et al., 2009, 2012), xenobiotics (Downs et al., 2005), change in salinity (Downs et al., 2009; Seveso et al., 2013) and also after biotic stresses (Seveso et al., 2012) has been reported in literature.

1.5.2 The cytoplasmic 70-kDa Heat shock protein (Hsp70)

Members of the 70-kDa heat shock protein family (HSP70) play an essential role in protein folding, transport of proteins into different cellular compartments, regulation of apoptosis and regulation of the heat shock response (Lindquist, 1986; Sanders, 1993). In eukaryotes, at least three HSP70s are uniquely located in the mitochondrial matrix (Azem et al., 1997). All mitochondrial HSP70 (mt-HSP70) are nuclear encoded, synthesized in cytosol and then imported into the mitochondria. The so-called mt-HSP70 (product of Ssc1 gene in yeast) is the only mt-HSP70 essential for the growth of yeast. It plays a central role in the transport and folding of mitochondrial proteins.

Heat shock protein 70 has in important role in assembling of newly synthesized proteins, refolding of missfolded and aggregated proteins and control of the activities of regulatory proteins (Bukau et al., 2000; Moro et al., 2002). Hsp70 has also a important role in proteins quality control and turnover during both normal and stress conditions (Evans et al., 2010). Hsp70 in expressed in different forms, a conservative form (Hsc70), which maintain normal cell function and stress-inducible form (Hsp70/72), which mainly prevents protein damage or protein aggregation under stress conditions (Mayer and Bukau, 2005). Constitutive form of hsp70 (hsc70) is also well documented. Vaughan (1907), with on field corals trasplantation, demostrate that elevated levels of hsc and/or hsp70 following increased thermal shock (Sharp et al., 1997). Differentiation between these two forms is of interest, as the increased synthesis of the constitutive hsc70 may act to stabilize proteins prior to the induction of an inducible isoform such as hsp70 during the initial stages of a stress event (Thompson and Scordilis, 2001; Thompson et al., 2001; Robbart et al., 2004). Heat shock protein 70 has an ATPase dominion, which is responsible for chaperon and folding activity. Hydrolysis of ATP to ADP is accelerated by Heat shock protein 40 (Hsp40), which also interact directly with unfolded proteins and recruit Hsp70 to protein substrates (Kampinga and Craig, 2010). The regulation of heat shock factor 1 (hsf1) activity, is complex and controlled (in part) by the fundamental properties of the molecule, specifically by thermally induced changes to the molecule's conformation. Craig and Gross (1991) demonstrate that have suggested that heat shock factor1 (hsf1) may detect cellular stress, priory thermal stress, being a sort of cellular thermometer. Several studies have demonstrated that the temperature at which hsf1 is activated is variable, and implies

that extrinsic factors may influence the regulation of hsf1 activity. These factors include hsp40, 70 and 90, which directly interact with hsf1 in a multi-protein complex (Hochachka and Somero, 2002; Tomanek, 2002; Tomanek and Somero 2002).

The relationship between environmental tolerance of organisms and the expression of cytosolic HSP70 has been studied in diverse aquatic and terrestrial organisms (Sanders, 1993; Krebs & Bettencourt, 1999).

In several studies Hsp70 was used as biomarker to investigate heat shock response (HSR) among intertidal organisms in particular Gastropos living in rocky shore (Tomanek and Sanford, 2003; Halpin et al., 2002). Hsp70 was used to investigate the Patterns of Variation from Microscales to Mesoscales, the toxicity of contaminants of concern (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2003), how Water flow may influences coral replace (Carpenter et al., 2010), seasonal acclimatization (Dietz and Somero, 1992; Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Roberts et al., 1997; Chapple et al., 1998; Buckley et al., 2001), laboratory acclimation (Hofmann and Somero, 1996a; Roberts et al., 1997; Tomanek and Somero, 1999, 2000, 2002), competition for space (Rossi and Snyder, 2001), food availability and wave exposure (Dahlhoff et al., 2001), and microhabitat (Helmuth and Hofmann, 2001).

2. AIM OF THE STUDY

Coral reefs worldwide are among the ecosystem most vulnerable to the global changes since to date their health is seriously threatened by different stress factors, both biotic and abiotic, including the human disturbances (Dustan, 1999; Hughes et al., 2003; Marshall and Schuttemberg, 2006). Corals inhabit intertidal zone, which is subjected both to cyclical, such as tidals, and sudden and unexpected environmental chances, such as storm and extreme rains. Scleractinian corals are sessile organisms and for this reason they are directly and steadily subjected to typical environmental changes of their habitat (Feder and Hofmann, 1999). Since corals are not able to dispel threats, they carry out specific defensive mechanisms (Brown, 1997). Extreme changing in environmental factors or pollution may led coral bleaching. Stress factors which may induce coral bleaching, such as variation in SST, UV radiation, led corals to protect themselves with singular defence mechanisms. In the last years, several studies have investigate the processes involved in the induction of the coral bleaching phenomenons, in order to determinate the difference in sensibility, resilience and resistance of corals (Nyström and Folke, 2001; Obura, 2001; Grottoli et al., 2006; McClanahan et al., 2007; Montano et al., 2010). Mainly mechanisms defence of corals to stressors are expression of heat shock proteins, heterotrophic plasticity, production of protective pigments, mycrosporine-like amino acids and expression of fluorescent proteins and antioxidant enzymes (Lesser, 1997; Downs et al., 2000, 2001; Snyder and Rossi, 2004; Richier et al., 2005, 2008; Grottoli et al., 2006; Weis, 2008; Baird et al., 2009; Linan-Cabello 2010). Difference in bleaching susceptibility may also influence structure community in longterm (Hughes and Connel, 1999).

However, until now these studies have shown that coral resistance and resilience to stress factor is generally species/genera specific (Marshall & Baird, 2000, McClanahan et al., 2007, Montano et al., 2007) and that the different sensibility and susceptibility to coral bleaching were attributed mainly to morphological difference among the different coral genera, rather than those physiological and molecular (Edmundus, 1994, Marshall and Baird, 2000, Loya et al., 2001, van Woesik et al., 2011). In the last years is underlined the importance of corals physiological replace to the stressors and it's shown the evidence that also cellular mechanisms are species/genera specific (Seveso et al., 2014; Chow et al., 2009; Ferrier-Pagès, 2007). On the other hand, corals possess a molecular stress response which involved a wide array of cellular and physiological process that act in order to protect the organism from cellular degenerations and damages and from the breakage of the symbiosis with the zooxanthellae (Richier et al., 2005, Grottoli et al., 2006, Weis, 2008, Baird et al., 2009).

During the last years many works showed as, also in corals, an important mechanism of defence and protection against harmful environmental conditions was represented by the expression of a set of stress proteins called Heat Shock Proteins (Hsps) (Brown et al., 2002, Rosic et al., 2011, Chow et al., 2012). In fact, in response to environmental stresses, the coral Hsps were up-regulated in order to increase cellular repair and tolerance to preserve the cellular metabolic functions. (Robbart et al., 2004; Mayer, 2010; Eghtesadi-Araghi and Bastami, 2010; Chow et al., 2012). For this reason, Hsps are commonly used as biomarkers to analyse the different susceptibility of reef-building corals to many factor stress (Chow et al., 2009; Fitt et al., 2009). Consequently, the role of Hsps as stress markers is widely recognized (Wahid et al., 2007), although considerable variability in the Hsps endogenous level, kinetic of expression and threshold of stress response depend on species, Hsp family and stressor type (Iwama et al., 2004; Clark and Peck, 2009). However, variation of Hsps under varying of natural conditions have been investigated in very few studies (Feder and Hofmann, 1999) and even in fewer studies have been investigated Hsps variation in short-term under varying natural conditions (Hofmann and Somero, 1995, Nakano and Iwama, 2002; Tomanek and Sanford, 2003). To correct understanding of variation of Hsps expression levels under natural condition is needed to interpret correctly on field variation of Hsps levels. To date, ecological role of Hsps replace in stress events tested in laboratory is not yet tested on field. In particular, three different coral species were chosen and investigated: the branching coral Acropora tenuis, the massive coral Porites lobata and the foliose coral Echinopora lamellosa. These species were investigated in their original environmental represented by the coral patches located in the lagoon of Maghodhoo Island, in the South-east part of the Faafu Atoll, Republic of Maldives.

In this study the expression and the modulation of both the cytoplasmic 70-kDa Hsp (Hsp70) and the mithocondrial 60-kDa Hsp (Hsp60) was analyzed in different corals taxa directly in their natural environmental conditions. In particular, three different coral species were chosen and investigated: the branching coral *Acropora tenuis*, the massive coral *Porites lobata* and the foliose coral *Echinopora lamellosa*. These species were investigated in their original environmental represented by the coral patches located in the lagoon of Maghodhoo Island, in the South-east part of the Faafu Atoll, Republic of Maldives. These coral species were selected as they are among the most abundant and widespread scleractinian species in the study area and in particular within the selected sampling site. Furthermore, they were especially chosen as characterized by three different growth morphologies, tissue thickness and growth rates which are involved in determining a different sensitivity, tolerance and resistance to the environmental stresses (Marshall and Baird, 2000; Loya et al., 2001; van Woesik et al., 2011; Chow et al., 2009; Maydlarz et al., 2009; Seveso et al., 2014; Levy et al., 2006).

The present study aimed to examine the *in situ* modulation of Hsps across diel cycles in A. tenuis, P. lobata and E. lamellosa. In particular, in order to understand how the expression of both the Hsp60 and Hsp70 during the normal nictimeral cycle is modulated in these coral, which apparently showed healthy tissues conditions, the levels of such proteins were analyzed during six different sampling time within the 24 h. Thus, the expression of the Hsps in relation to the daily variations of the two main environmental factors which affect the diel cycle, the sea surface temperature and the light intensity, was deepen explored. Several study shows that there is a different susceptibility and resistance to stress factor in correlation with different growth morphology, goal of this study is to understand if to different growth morphology matches also difference in physiological replace shown by different Hsps modulation. Furthermore two types of Hsps is subjected to this study, Hsp60 and Hsp70. Monitoring Hsp60 and Hsp70, which are located in different cellular compartments, give the possibility to understand if there is some difference in replace in depending with cellular location. It's known that Hsps are expressed at low level also under normal condition to regulate protein folding (Downs et al., 2000). Moreover this study investigates if Hsps may have key role in coral replace to diel variation under normal condition on field.

3. FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY AREA

3.1 Indo-Pacific status

Indo-Pacific coral reefs are the worldwide areas with higher biodiversity, with about 581 species of scleractinian corals represent 91% of the world's reef (Veron, 2000). Instead of this, Indo-Pacific area is subjected to a fewer study than in Caribbean area. Caribbean sea presents only 62 coral species (Veron, 2000), but it's considered an *hot-spot* for coral disease, regarding the high number of syndromes described, for the frequency that they are discovered, for increasing of associated epizootic events and for rapid spread of disease to new sites and new hosts. High biodiversity of Indo-Pacific area may have in important role in slowing the propagation rate disease in consequence of that diseases are linked to a specific host. The few studies led in this area, have shown the presence of diseases in Philippines (Raymundo et al., 2005), Guam (Myers and Raymundo, 2009), Great Barrier Reef (Willis et al., 2004), Hawaii (Aeby, 2005), East Africa (McClanahan, 2004), Indonesia (Haapkylä et al. 2007), Eilat Gulf (Barash et al., 2005), Japan (Wiel, 2012) and Maldive (Montano et al., 2012) with emphasis on how corals diseases have become a real threat thus contributing to increase Indo-Pacific reefs to decline.

3.2 Republic of Maldives

Republic of Maldives (latitude from 7°06' N to 00°45' S e longitude from 72°13' E to 73°45' E) is composed by atolls, located under Indian sub-continent and Laccadive Islands far from Sri Lanka about 700 km. Maldives are composed by 26 atolls and about other 1190 corallins structure (Zahir, 2000), with deep lagoon from 40 to 60 m and islands with square from 0.5 to 6 km², with total area of 90000 km². Maldivian reefs represent 5% of the worldwide reefs, with a total square of 8920 km² (Spalding et al., 2001). Maldivian lagoons are called as *"Faros"*, in which is possible to find little reefs, o patch reefs. In lagoon zone, in presence of shallow water are frequently found sea-grasses which are a refuge area fore juveniles of many organisms (Shakeel and Hudha, 1997). External reefs which delimitate lagoons, have an high slope, dominated in upper zone by corals in symbiosis with zooxanthellae and in deeper by branched etherotrophic corals without zooxanthellae. Maldivian coral reef are explored and studied for first time in 1958 during *"Xarifa Expedition"* (Wallace and Zahir, 2007). Sheppard

(1987) identified 166 corals species but newest studied have discovered in totally 248 species owning at 57 different genera (Pichon and Benzoni, 2007).

Maldivian climate is typical tropical climate, with temperature around 28°C, with maximum of 32°C and minimum of 25°C. the climate is characterized by two monsonic periods, from April to November and from December to March. Coral reefs are ecosystems which suffer consequently to environmental variation and fluctuation (McClanahan et al., 2002). In 1998 was verified, after *El Niño* phenomenon, a sever coral bleaching which threat Maldivian reefs with a mortality rate about 100% with different replace in correlation with different species and different location of archipelagh (Bianchi et al., 2003). Living coral after this mass coral bleaching event was above from 2 to 8%, however, Lasagna (2008) described that in the following 8 years corals replace increase living rate corals above from 12 to 37%. Critical event of 1998 changed benthic community causing an ecological shifting from reefs dominated by *Acropora* to reefs dominated by *Porites* (Goreau et al., 2000).

In consequence of their position in Indo-Pacific ocean, Maldives, has serious problems to commercial exchanging, basing all their economy on fishing and turism (Naseer, 1997). Increasing of population caused trought the years a gradual deplacment of natural resource and habitat degradation (Zhair, 2010). In consequence to improving of turism is needed to defence costal zone with seawalls and building of harbour in replace to an increasing needed of services (Shareef, 2010).



Fig 3.1 Republic of Maldives

3.3 Faafu atoll and Maghoodoo island

Faafu atoll, originally called as *Northern Nilandhé Atoll*, measure 30 km in length and 27km in large, is composed by 23 islands, 5 of those are inhabit with total population above 2000 people.

Maghoodoo (3°04'42.92" N 72°57'52.31" E) is an island of Faafu atoll (Fig. 3.2), measure 970 m in length and 350 in large. Maghoodoo is located in south of Faafu atoll, is far from Male above 134 km and is free by touristic resort. The principal sources of incoming are fishing, quite agriculture and from resort of the atoll. In Maghoodoo, in 2011 was founded Marine Research and High Education Center (MaRHE Center) of University of Milano-Bicocca. MaRHE Center represent an important base for research in Maldives, which promote research didactive activities in environmental science, marine biology with the goals to understand, teach and divulgate how to protect this such as delicate ecosystem.



Fig 3.2 (A) Faafu atoll and (B) Magoodhoo

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 Sampling design: study area and selection of the coral colonies

On March 2014, after previous extensive surveys, a defined sampling area of approximately 150 m², located about 70 m from the shore and composed of several coral patches was chosen in the lagoon of Magoodhoo Island (3°04'42"N; 72°57'50"E), in the south east part of Faafu Atoll, Republic of Maldives (Fig. 4.1).

The sampling site was selected for both logistic and organizational reasons that ecological and biological. First, it represented an easy and short time access area suitable for rapid sampling activities such as those involving the coral proteins analysis. In fact, in order to preserve the coral proteins we had the necessity that the sampling area is was placed as close as possible to the MaRHE Center laboratories for fast freezing of the coral fragments. For the same reason, we selected an area extended in the same reef flat zone characterized by a stable and constant shallow depth (around 2-3 meters maximum depending on tide ranges) where sampling by snorkeling instead of scuba diving, allowed a quick exit from the sea, also minimizing the risks for the operators. Furthermore, we chose an area situated in the same reef flat zone in order to be subjected to the same environmental parameters along its extension. In fact, just because the study was conducted in the natural condition, we must minimize as much as possible all the environmental variables that can affect the expression of the proteins in order to be sure that the modulation of such proteins was affected exclusively by the diel variations in the temperature and light only and not by other parameters. Thus, the whole sampling area was characterized also by the same typology of substrate and it was subjected to the same tidal range. Finally, the sampling zone selected for the study would be also characterized by coral patches which showed a high level of biodiversity and especially a healthy condition. The health status of these coral patches was judged good in according with the absence of coral bleaching events, physical damages of the colonies, algal overgrowth and coral diseases.

During the surveys conducted prior the sampling activites, an HOBO pendant data logger (Onset, UA-002-64) used for measuring the sea temperature (°C) and the light intensity level (Lux) was placed and maintained until the end of the sampling on the coral patches within the sampling area. Both the data were recorded continuously every 30 minutes for one week in order to analyze the daily means trend of temperature and light affecting the lagoon reef flat

zone. The data logger was placed in a horizontal position on hard substrate using plastic bands in order to permit a proper recording of the light intensity by the specific light sensor. Afterwards, the coral colonies were selected within the area in order to have samples representative of the Magoodhoo reefs and displaying 3 different growth morphology. The 3 coral species selected were *A. tenuis* (branching growth form), *P. lobata* (massive growth form) and *E. lamellosa* (foliose/encrusting growth form). Thus, 5 colonies (which represented the biological replicates) of each coral species and showing similar sizes were randomly selected, tagged and photographed (Canon G11 with Canon housing) by snorkeling within the sampling area. Only healthy colonies exhibiting characteristic color, dark pigmentation, absence of any sign of physical damage and stress were used for the subsequent collection and proteins analyses.



Fig. 4.1 Map of Magoodhoo Island (A), located in Faafu Atoll (B), Republic of Maldives (C). The islands are indicated in black, the deeper part of the lagoons in grey and the dotted line indicates the reef edges. An area of approximately 150 m² and about 70 m distant from the shore in the Magoodhoo lagoon (3° 04' 45.60" N; 72° 58' 02.20" E), was chosen as sampling site to create an easy and short time access area (indicated by the arrow).

4.2 Coral species identification

To ensure that the sampled colonies owning to the three selected species was needed before sampling each colony belonging to the three taxa were analyzed by a morphological and, when necessary, also by a genetic analysis. For identify species of Porites and Echinopora was enough morphology identification by Veron (2000) supporting by on field survey, photos of colony and detection of morphology of corallites under stereomicroscope. To identify Acropora specie was used PCR.

Morphology identification started from external aspects of colony following by photos analyses comparing with Veron (2000) and ending with laboratory investigation with stereomicroscope, Leica EZ4 HD with Integrated LED Illumination and HD Camera, and manual for coral identification. On field survey have a prior goals to collect photos for each colony subjected to the study, recognizing coral genera and giving a chance to formulate an hypothesis around of coral species. To confirm this hypothesis there is a need of sampling coral of interest and bleach it to remove the live tissue to investigate the corallite under stereomicroscopic. In consequence of hemispherical morphology and smoothy surface observed during previous survey its suggests that Porites may own of the specie of *P. lobata*. This hypothesis was confirmed with microscope investigation, which confirm it by recognising of corallite morphology. P. lobata may be confused with P. solida, but it's distinct by having taller pali, especially on the taller pairs of septa. E. lamellosa was individuated on field recognising its growth morphology, by its tiers arrangement of colony structure, and finally microscope investigation validates the hypothesis identifying the small and compact columellae and paliform lobes. To identify taxonomy of Acropora colony subjected to the study was not enough both survey and also stereomicroscope investigation. The knowledge in morphology of Acropora was not enough to recognize unequivocally A. tenuis. To confirm that the specie of Acropora under investigation was A. tenuis, coral DNA was extracted using DNeasy_Tissue kit (QIAGEN, Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) and a rDNA region of about 500 bp (spanning the entire ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2 and a portion of 28S and 18S) was amplified and sequenced. Amplification was performed using the coral-specific primer A18S (50 GATCGAACGGTTTAGTGAGG 30) (Takabayashi et al., 1998), and the universal primer ITS4 (50 TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC 30) (White et al., 1990). Sequences were compared with known scleractinian corals sequences in GenBank using the BLAST nucleotide search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). BLAST searches showed 98% identity with rDNA sequences of A. tenuis.



Fig 4.2 Photos of colonies subjected to the study on field, (A) *Echinopora lamellosa*, (B) *Acropora tenuis*, (C) *Porites lobata* and particular of corallite (D) skeleton of *E. lamellosa*, (E) skeleton of *A. tenuis* (F) skeleton of *P. lobata*

4.3 Coral collection

Coral collection was conducted by snorkelling at shallow depth using a destructive method in a period between the 19 and 23 of March 2014. Overall, 15 colonies (5 colonies for each species) were selected and collected at 6 different times within the 24h in order to analyze the proteins modulation over a complete daily cycle. Therefore, we totally collected and analyzed 90 coral samples.

The 6 times chosen for the sampling were 06:00, 09:00, 13:00, 16:00, 20:00, 02:00. Three sampling times (09:00, 13:00 and 16:00) were selected as characterized by a full in full light intensity. On the other hand, during the other three sampling times the light level was low (06:00 and 20:00) or completely absent (02:00).

During the sampling activities, small coral fragments were excised from colonies with a hollow-point stainless steel spike (1 cm diameter) by applying constant rotational pressure in order to reduce the size of coral sampled and, at the same time, reduce the amount of sampling stress and limit excessive damage to the colonies allowing rapid coral recovery following the sampling (Bromage et al. 2009). For the sampling of the massive corals (*P*.

lobata) the use of the hammer and chisel was required. Each coral fragments from each colony was maintained in a specific plastic tube and was immediately frozen at -80°C using an immersion cooler (FT902, JULABO, Labortechnick GmbH) placed in the MaRHE Centre laboratory of Maghodhoo Island which is located a few meters from the sampling area.

4.4 Protein analyses

4.4.1 Protein extraction and dosage

Reagents:

- 1. Sample buffer (SDS-SB):
 - Tris-HCI 0.0625 (pH 6.8)
 - Glycerol 10%
 - sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) 2.3%
- Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma): inhibitor of serine and thiol protease, soluble in ethanol and isopropanol. Stock solution 100mM (17.4 mg/ml), used at 2mM
- 3. Complete EDTA-free cocktail of protease inhibitors (25x, Roche Diagnostic)

Protocol:

- In the laboratory, about 1 g of each frozen coral fragment was powdered using mortar and pestle and collected in 2 ml plastic tubes (Eppendorf)
- Proteins of the coral holobiont were extracted by homogenizing the tissue powder in 400 ml of the denaturing SDS buffer containing 1 mM PMSF and Complete EDTA-free cocktail of protease inhibitors.
- Two different procedures were performed in according to Seveso et al 2013.
 - One half of the mixture was boiled for 10 min and then centrifuged (15 min at 12,000 rpm, 4 °C). Supernatants were clarified (5 min at 12,000 rpm) and then frozen at -20 °C until used.
 - Before boiling, to the other half of the mixture, an equal volume of acidwashed glass beads (425-600 mm, Sigma) was added followed by vigorously shaking on a vortex (10 cycles of 1 min) interspersed with cooling on ice. This latter procedure has been used to prepare extracts containing algal proteins (Weis et al., 2002).
- All the extracts were frozen at -20 °C until used.

 Aliquots of the supernatants were used for protein concentration determinations using the Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Protein dosage was carried out with colorimetric method using kit Bio-Rad (BCA Protein Assay Kit). This method combines the reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺ from protein in alkaline system with colorimetric detection of Cu⁺ using only one reagent containing BCA. Complex made by chelation between BCA and Cu⁺ had an absorbance at 562 nm.

Protocol:

- Mix preparation composed by Sol. A (1ml for each samples) + Sol.B (1/50 of volume of Sol. A used)
- Incubate at 37 °C for 30 min, 1ml of mix with samples
- Measure absorbance of samples (ODI) and the absorbance of mix (OD sol.) at 562 nm.
- Determinate protein concentration of samples comparing with calibration line obtained by standard albumin protein (BSA) dosage.

4.4.2 SDS-PAGE

Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate PolyAcrilamyde Gel Eletrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) is an analytical technique to analyse the protein extracts. This technique is based on the denaturing activity of the SDS, which interacts with a constant ratio of 1.4 g of SDS for each protein. Proteins separation occurs through different molecular weight.

Reagents:

- 1. Lower gel buffer
 - Tris-HCl 1.5 M (pH 8.8)
 - SDS 0.4%
- 2. Upper gel buffer
 - Tris-HCl (pH 6.8)
 - SDS 0.4%
- 3. Acrylamide stock II (30%)
 - Acrylamide 29.2% w/v
 - Bisacrylamide 0.8% w/v

- 4. Ammonium persulphate (AP) 10%
- 5. TEMED (N,N,N,N'-tetramethilethiendiammina)
- 6. Running buffer 4x
 - Tris 0.025 M
 - Glycine 0.192 M
 - SDS 0.1%
 - pH: 8.3

Protocol:

Preparation of the electophoretic apparatus

The electophoretic chamber used is a SE 600 Ruby (Amersham Biosciences), with sheet of 18 x 16 cm.

- Set the two glass plates one above the other separated by a spacer
- Align them perfectly and block with block system
- Set and fix the plates on the pedestal

8% Running gel preparation (for one gel)

- Lower gel buffer 7.5 ml
- Acrylamide stock II 8 ml
- H₂O deionized 14.5 ml
- AP 10% 90 µl
- Degasing and add TEMED 30 µl
- Drip the solution into the plates without producing bubbles
- Add a little volume of water and leave to polymerize for 1 h

Stacking gel preparation (for one gel)

- Upper gel buffer 2.5 ml
- Acrylamide stock II 8 ml
- H₂O deionized 14.5 ml
- AP 10% 30 µl
- Degasing and add TEMED 10 µl

- Drip the solution and setting the slot-in joint system without producing bubbles to create the space to load the proteins samples on the gel
- Leave to polymerize for 15-20', remove the slot-in joint system and fill the bores with running buffer 1x

Loading of samples and electrophoresis

- Calculate the μ I of protein extract in according with the protein concentration
- Add to all samples 1 µl of Blue of Bromophenol (BFF)
- Boiling all the samples and the protein marker
- Load the samples in the bores. The same amount of proteins (80 µg) was loaded on each lane of the gel.
- Pre-stained protein markers (range 7-175 kDa, New England Biolabs) were also loaded.
- Load standard HSP60 For each gel, the same amount of recombinant human Hsp60 (Enzo Life Sciences) was included as an internal control for signal differences across blots.
- Pour the running buffer 1x in the electrophoretic chamber
- Start the running over night at maximum voltage and 6 mA per gel

4.4.3 Western Blot and Immunodecoration

This technique is based on protein transfer on a nitrocellulose filter through elettroblotting once concluded the electrophoresis of the proteins. Following analysis permit to detect the protein of interest using specific antibodies (immunodecoration).

Reagents:

- 1. TBS 10x (diluted to TBS 1x) (pH 7.4)
 - Tris 100 mM
 - NaCl 9%
- 2. Transfer buffer
 - Tris 0.025 M
 - Glycine 0.02 M
 - MeOh 20% v/v
Protocol:

Western Blot

- Prepare the nitrocellulose filters hydrating them with deionized water
- Remove the stacking gel with the protein
- Put the filter in contact with the gel within the sandwich with sponges. Be careful to not producing any bubbles between the filter and the gel.
- Put the sandwich in the transfer chamber and start the electroblotting onto nitrocellulose filter at a constant current of 400 mA for 4 h
- When the transfer is finished remove the nitrocellulose filter
- Correct protein transfer was confirmed by Ponceau S Red (Sigma Aldrich) staining of filters

Immunodecoration

Reagents:

- 1. Saturation solution:
 - o TBS 1x
 - Skimmed milk 5%
 - o Tween 0.1%
- 2. Anti-Hsp60 solution (IgG mouse clone LK-2, SPA-807, Enzo Life Sciences). Dilution 1: 1000, in TBS 1x, skimmed milk 5% and Tween 0,1%

3. Anti-Hsp70 solution (mAb, BB70, SPA-822, Enzo Life Sciences). Dilution 1: 1000, in TBS 1x, skimmed milk 5% and Tween 0,1%

4. Anti-ß-Actin solution (monoclonal antibody clone C4, MAB1501, Millipore). Dilution 1: 3000, in TBS 1x, skimmed milk 5% and Tween 0,1%

5. Secondary antibody solution (anti-mouse IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, Thermo Scientific.

Diluition 1:10000 for Hsp60 and Actin, 1:1000 for Hsp70, in TBS 1x, skimmed milk 5% and Tween 0,3%

Protocol:

- Saturate the filter at 4 °C for about 2 h with the saturation solution
- Probe the filter with the primary antibodies, at the specific dilutions as previously indicated, at 4°C over night.
- Wash filter three times for each time15 min with TBS 1X, TWEEN 0,1%
- Probe the filter with the secondary antibodies, at the specific dilutions as previously indicated for about 2 h
- Wash filter three times for each time15 min with TBS 1X, TWEEN 0,3%
- Binding was visualized with the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate followed by Xrays films.

4.5 Densitometric and statistical analysis

Following ECL detection, films were scanned on a Bio-Rad GS-800 calibrated imaging densitometer and quantified with the ImageJ free software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) of NIH Image software package (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.). For each blot, the scanned intensity of the Hsp60 and Hsp70 bands was normalized against the intensity of the ß-Actin ones which during the different salinity exposure did not display a significant modulation.

Homogeneity of variance was tested using Cochran test. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was than performed for all the normalized Hsp60 intensity values, using sampling time and species as factors. Following two-way ANOVA, a Student-Newman-Keuls post test (SNK post hoc test) was performed to assess significant differences (p<0.05) among levels of species within each levels of sampling time, and after among levels of sampling time within each levels of species. All statistical analysis was performed with R free software (http://www.r-project.org/). SNK post hoc test is a multiple comparison test, which considers a series of null hypotheses of the form H_0 : mean_A=mean_B and H_a : mean_A≠mean_B where the subscripts denote any possible pairs of groups.

5. RESULTS

5.1 Daily variation Temperature/Illuminance

During the survey the data logger was prepared to record the daily variation of both temperature and light intensity every 30 min.



Fig. 5.1. Diel variation of light intensity (Lux), red line, and temperature (°C), blue line, and their respective mean, in orange mean of light intensity from rise to sunset, in light blue mean of temperature all day long. Graphic represents mean± SE of diel variation of one week of measuring by data-logger.

Graphic in Fig. 5.1 shows simultaneously the trend of temperature, expressed in °C, and light intensity, in Lux as mean of one week of measurements. Temperature, starts at 28.91°C (\pm 0.13) and after a short lowering it progressively increased from 06.00 to 13.00, reaching the maximum value of 32.29°C (\pm 0.07) around the 13.00. Afterwards temperature drops till 28.88°C (\pm 0.05) at 19.00 until stabilizing around 29 °C from the 19.00 to the end of the day. The daily mean temperature (\pm SE), indicated by the line in light blue was 29.88°C (\pm 0.18). Light intensity, increases at 6.00 till reaching the maximum values of 78258.3 Lux (\pm 15055.7) at 13.00. Afterwards, it decreases till 18.00 when it reach values close to zero. The daily

mean light intensity indicated by the orange line, is reported on the graph only when datalogger recorded data different from 0, thus from 6.00 to 18.00.

5.2 Assessing the absence of Symbiodinium contamination

Scleractinian corals have an obligate symbiosys with Symbiodinium microalgae, which have a specific modulation of their own specific Hsps under stressful conditions causing bleaching events (Brown et al., 2002; Downs et al., 2005). In order to check that by using our extraction procedure Symbiodinium proteins were not present in our final extracts, Western analyses were also performed using the anti-Rubisco antibody, specific to photosynthetic organisms. In according to Seveso et al. (2012), extracts was prepared adding glass beads (425 and 600 mm, Sigma) and following vigorously shaking on a vortex (10 cycle of 1 min) interspersed with cooling on ice. Thus, the extracts obtained were also analyzed. In fact, this is a method already proven to be suitable for breaking the cell wall of zooxanthellae cells which being composed mainly of cellulose (ß (1-4) glucose units) are difficult to disrupt (Weis et al., 2002; Schwarz and Weis, 2003). In line with this, using a sample of *A. tenuis*, the Western analyses shown in Fig. 5.2, indicate that the anti-Rubisco antibody detected a band corresponding to Rubisco protein in the control obtained with glass beads, while no bands, were detected in the other extract indicating, in this case, the absence of Symbiodinium contamination. In the Fig. 5.2 the Western Blot analysis relative of two samples of A. tenuis, one processed to eliminate zooxanthellae and the other not is showed.



Fig. 5.2. Western analysis of *A. tenuis* extracts. Samples from *A. tenuis* prepared by trituration with pestle and mortar followed (1) or not (2) by an additional cell disruption step with glass beads were subjected to Western analysis. The same amount of proteins was loaded on each lane. The filter was stained with Ponceau (A) and immunodecorated with anti-Rubisco antibody (B). MWM: pre-tained protein markers. RbcL: Rubisco protein standard. Representative filters are shown.

5.3 Statistical relevance

Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Tab.5.1) reveals that there is high significant difference in the expression of Hsp60 among coral species, and also among sampling time and in relation with species and time.

Tab. 5.1 Summary of the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the normalized Hsp60 intensity values obtained from the different groups of samples. Species and sampling time were used as factors.

| Factor | Df | Sum Sq | Mean Sq | F value | Pr(>F) |
|--|----|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Species | 2 | 4.0789 | 2.03944 | 18.034 | 4.476e-007*** |
| Time | 5 | 6.8530 | 1.37061 | 12.120 | 1.571e-08*** |
| Species:Time | 10 | 14.3922 | 1.43922 | 12.726 | 1.965e-12*** |
| Residual | 72 | 8.1424 | 0.11309 | | |
| Signif. codes: | | | | | |
| 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 | | | | | |

Post-hoc analysis was led using the Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK test) and it shows, with pairwise comparison, the level of significant difference among coral species within sampling time and among time within coral species (Tab. 5.2, 5.3, 5.4).

5.4 Hsps modulation in different corals species

A daily modulation of both Hsp60 and Hsp70 was observed and this modulation showed difference in each species. Thus, the proteins modulation appeared to be species-specific. Through the statistical analysis a significant variability from species, time and their interaction was observed regarding the expression of the Hsp60 (Tab 5.1). This was confirmed also by the pairwise comparison among level both time and species.

On the contrary, a statistical analysis of the Hsp70 modulation was not developed since the samples analyzed were not in enough quantity. For this reason, the analysis of Hsp70 was mainly represented by a qualitative analysis, rather than a quantitative ones.

5.4.1 Acropora tenuis

The modulation of Hsp60 and Hsp70 in *A. tenuis* during the 6-hour sampling of the day is shown in the Fig. 5.3 through the Western analysis.



Time (h)

Fig. 5.3 Effect of daily variation of temperature and light intensity on the Hsp60 and Hsp70 modulation in *A. tenuis.* Samples of each indicated hours were subjected to Western analysis. Immunodecoration was performed with anti-Hsp60, anti-Hsp70 and anti-β-Actin antibodies. Equal amounts of total protein were loaded in each lane.

The Western analyses showed a modulation of the Hsp60 which displayed an initial upregulation followed by a progressive down-regulation. In fact, at 02.00 a low level of Hsp60 was detected. Afterwards, the protein level was strongly increased remaining high until the 16.00, when a slight down-regulation was detected. Finally at 20.00 the Hsp60 signal was almost disappeared.

The Hsp70 showed a similar trend characterized by an initial up-regulation followed by a gradual down-regulation. At 02.00 the Hsp70 signal was extremely low but from the 06.00 to 09.00 the Hsp70 level displayed a strong increase. From the 13.00 the Hsp70 signal progressively decreased until almost completely disappear at 20.00.



Fig. 5.4 Hsp60 and Hsp70 levels of *A. tenuis* at different sampling hours. The values were determined by densitometric analysis as described under Materials and Methods. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean \pm SE (two-way ANOVA followed by SNK test, a p<0.001 compared to b, c p<0.001 compared to b, c p<0.001 compared to a, a' p<0.05 compared to c, a' p>0.05 compared to a)

Densitometric analysis confirmed the same expression trend previously shown by Western analysis both for Hsp60 and 70 (Fig. 5.4).

Regarding the Hsp60, a strong up-regulation was detected from 02.00 to 06.00, when the protein level was doubled reaching the maximum value of 2.18 (\pm 0.24) at 06.00. This Hsp60 level remained stable at 09.00 and slightly decreased at 13.00 of 1.80 (\pm 0.10). At 16.00 the Hsp60 level was further and significantly lowered and at 20.00 it has assumed the minimum value, 0.40 (\pm 0.13), even lower than those recorded at 02.00.

| Level: Acropora tenuis | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Time | 20.00 | 02.00 | 16.00 | 13.00 | 09.00 | 06.00 |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Ranked means | 0.4002 | 0.7895 | 1.2431 | 1.8108 | 2.1509 | 2.1774 |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6-1*** | | | | | |
| 2 | 5-1*** | 6-2*** | | | | |
| 3 | 4-1*** | 5-2*** | 6-3*** | | | |
| 4 | 3-1*** | 5-2*** | 4-3*** | 6-4 ns | | |
| 5 | 2-1 ns | 3-2* | 4-3** | 5-4 ns | 6-5 ns | |
| Signif. codes: <0.001 '***' <0.01 '**' | | | | | | |
| <0.05 '*' >0.05 'ns' | | | | | | |

Tab. 5.2 Summary of the Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK test) among specie A. tenuis within time.

The statistical analysis with pairwise comparison (Tab 5.2) showed that, between the sampling hours 02.00 and 06.00 there was a significant different (p<0.001, in Tab 5.2 are reported p-values of every pairwise comparison performed) in the Hsp60 level which instead was not detected between the sampling times 02.00 and 20.00. Furthermore, the Hsp60 level detected at 06.00 was similar with those recorded at 09.00 and 13.00 (p>0.05). The protein signal at 13.00 showed significant differences respect those at 16.00 (p<0.001) which displayed significant differences (p<0.001) respect the signal recorded at 20.00. Finally, the protein level occurred at 16.00 displayed a low significant p-values (p<0.05). Thus, in general three different groups explaining the level of expression of the Hsp60 might be detected. The first group characterized by the sampling hour in which the expression level of the Hsp60 could be considered low (p<0.05) composed by the samples collected at 20.00 and also 02.00 (marked respectively with a and a' in the Fig 5.4). A second group characterized by the sampling hour in which the expression level of the Hsp60 could be considered medium (p<0.001 both comparing to a and b, p<0.05 compared with a') composed by the samples collected at, 16.00 (marked with c in the Fig 5.4) and the third group, composed by the samples collected at 06.00, 09.00, 13.00 (marked with b in the Fig 5.4). characterized by Hsp60 levels high.

The Hsp70 showed a similar expression pattern than those showed by Hsp60, even if it appeared less pronounced. Likewise Hsp60, Hsp70, starts with an up-regulation from 02.00, with the minimum values of Hsp70 expressed of 0.11, from 09.00, reaching the maximum of at list 14 times higher with values of 1.63, followed by a down-regulation from 13.00 to 20.00 reaching a values of 0.22 nearby level of Hsp70 expressed at 02.00. As previously enounced Hsp70 values is lack of SE and statistical analysis to support results.

Best fitting line in occurring may be a good method to describe general trends, regarding of this study, of Hsps levels. Twice graphics below (Fig 5.5, Fig 5.6) show a general negative trend. First graphic reveals that Hsp60 in *A. tenuis* has marked negative trend individuated by slop value -0.0201. Second one reveals general trend of Hsp70 with a low slopping value, 0.0077.



Fig. 5.5 Best fitting line of Hsp60 levels of *A. tenuis* at different sampling hours compared with daily variation of light intensity.



Fig. 5.6 Best fitting line of Hsp70 levels of *A.tenuis* at different sampling hours compared with daily variation of light intensity.

5.4.2 Echinopora lamellosa

The modulation of Hsp60 and Hsp70 in *E. lamellosa* during the 6-hour sampling of the day is shown in the Fig. 5.7 through the Western analysis.



Fig. 5.7 Effect of daily variation of temperature and light intensity on the Hsp60 and Hsp70 modulation in *E. lamellose*. Samples of each indicated hours were subjected to Western analysis. Immunodecoration was performed with anti-Hsp60, anti-Hsp70 and anti-β-Actin antibodies. Equal amounts of total protein were loaded in each lane.

The Western analyses showed a modulation of the Hsp60 which displayed a sinusoidal trend. Initially appears an up-regulation followed by a transient down-regulation with a sudden upregualtion finally with down-regulation. In fact, at 02.00 a low level of Hsp60 was detected. Afterwards, the protein level was increased at 06.00 followed by lowering of Hsp60 expression. Subsequently the longer up-regulation was shown from 13.00 to 16.00 finally at 20.00 the Hsp60 signal was lowered.

The Hsp70 shows a similar trend to Hsp60 but characterized by two peaks of Hsp70 expression corresponding at 06.00 and 16.00. At all other time Hsp70 signal displayed very low.



Fig. 5.8 Hsp60 and Hsp70 levels of *E. lamellosa* at different sampling hours. The values were determined by densitometric analysis as described under Materials and Methods. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean \pm SE (two-way ANOVA followed by SNK test, a p<0.001 compared to b, a' p<0.05 compared to b, a' p>0.05 compared to a).

Densitometric analysis confirmed the same expression trend previously shown by Western analysis both for Hsp60 and 70 (Fig. 5.8).

Regarding the Hsp60, at 02.00 minimum level of protein with a value of 0.08 (\pm 0.11) was reveled followed by a strong up-regulation was detected from 02.00 to 06.00, but protein level not yet reach the maximum. At 09.00 down-regulation of Hsp60 was showed and sudden followed by another strong up-regulation reaching the maximum level of 1.23 (\pm 0.12) at 16.00 Hsp60 signal remain stable at high value and finally at 20.00 level was reported to lower.

| Level: Echinopora lamellosa | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Time | 02.00 | 09.00 | 20.00 | 06.00 | 13.00 | 16.00 |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Ranked means | 0.3585 | 0.5305 | 0.7466 | 1.1115 | 1.3483 | 1.3526 |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6-1*** | | | | | |
| 2 | 5-1*** | 6-2** | | | | |
| 3 | 4-1** | 5-2** | 6-3* | | | |
| 4 | 3-1 ns | 4-2* | 5-3* | 6-4 ns | | |
| 5 | 2-1 ns | 3-2 ns | 4-3 ns | 5-4 ns | 6-5 ns | |
| Signif. codes: <0.001 '***' <0.01 '**' | | | | | | |
| <0.05 '*' >0.05 'ns' | | | | | | |

Tab. 5.3 Summary of the Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK test) among specie E. lamellosa within time.

The statistical analysis with pairwise comparison (Tab 5.3) showed that, between the sampling hours 02.00 and 06.00 there was a significant different (p<0.001, in Tab 5.3 are reported p-values of every pairwise comparison performed) in the Hsp60 level. Furthermore, the Hsp60 level detected at 06.00 was similar with those recorded at 13.00 and 16.00 (p>0.05). The protein signal at 20.00 showed low or nothing significance differences (p<0.05 or p>0.05) respected with all other time. Finally, the protein level occurred at 02.00 and 09.00 displayed an high significant p-values (p<0.001) confronting with 06.00, 13.00 and 16.00. Thus, in general two different groups explaining the level of expression of the Hsp60 might be detected. The first group characterized by the sampling hour in which the expression level of the Hsp60 could be considered low (p<0.05) composed by the samples collected at 02.00, 06.00 and also 20.00 (marked respectively with a and a' in the Fig 5.8). Second group characterized by the sampling hour in which the expression level of the Hsp60 could be considered low (p<0.05) composed by the samples of the Hsp60 could be considered high (p<0.001 comparing to a, p<0.05 compared with a') composed by the samples collected at 0.00, 13.00 and 16.00 (marked with c in the Fig 5.8).

The Hsp70 showed a similar expression pattern than those showed by Hsp60, even if it appeared more pronounced the rate between low and high level of Hsp70. Likewise Hsp60, Hsp70 has a transient modulation. It starts with an up-regulation from 02.00, with first peak. At 09.00 the down-regulation from 06.00 led Hsp70 to reach the minimum with values of 0.29 and remain above this value also at 13.00. At 16.00 Hsp70 are displayed to reach the maximum at 1.41, with highest expression rate of 1.07. Finally Hsp70 are down-regulated reverting to low levels of expression likewise was started at 02.00.

Twice graphics below (Fig 5.9, Fig 5.10) show in generally if Hsp60 and Hsp70 have a positive or negative trend or also are stable. First graphic reveals that Hsp60 in *E. lamellosa* has marked positive trend individuated by slop value 0.0144. Second one reveals general trend of Hsp70 with a very low slopping value of 0.0003. Very low values of R², together with well array of Hsp70 levels well explained transient modulation, which start with low level, and after two peak of over-expressed Hsp70, return above where expression started.



Fig. 5.9 Best fitting line of Hsp60 levels of *E. lamellosa* at different sampling hours all day long compared with daily variation of light intensity.



Fig. 5.10 Best fitting line of Hsp70 levels of *E. lamellosa* at different sampling hours all day long compared with daily variation of light intensity.

5.4.3 Porites lobata

The modulation of Hsp60 and Hsp70 in *P. lobata* during the 6-hour sampling of the day is shown in the Fig. 5.11 through the Western analysis.



Fig. 5.11 Effect of daily variation of temperature and light intensity on the Hsp60 and Hsp70 modulation in *P. lobata.* Samples of each indicated hours were subjected to Western analysis. Immunodecoration was performed with anti-Hsp60, anti-Hsp70 and anti-β-Actin antibodies. Equal amounts of total protein were loaded in each lane.

The Western analyses showed a modulation of the Hsp60 which displayed a sinusoidal trend. Initially appears a down-regulation followed by a sudden up-regulation with finally progressive down-regulation. In fact, at 02.00 a high level of Hsp60 was detected. Afterwards, the protein level was decreased at 06.00 followed by strong rising of Hsp60 expression at 09.00. Subsequently Hsp60 progress down-regulation was shown from 13.00 to 20.00.

The Hsp70 showed a similar trend characterized by low up-regulation at 09.00 dollowed by a down-regulation at 16.00 but finally Hsp70 was displayed to up-regulated. At all other time Hsp70 signal displayed very low.



Fig. 5.12 Hsp60 and Hsp70 levels of *P. lobata* at different sampling hours. The values were determined by densitometric analysis as described under Materials and Methods. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean \pm SE (two-way ANOVA followed by SNK test, a p<0.001 compared to c, a' p<0.05 compared to a, c, a, c p>0.05 compared to a and c, a' p>0.05 compared to a).

Densitometric analysis confirmed the same expression trend previously shown by Western analysis both for Hsp60 and 70 (Fig. 5.12).

Regarding the Hsp60, modulation starts at 02.00 with high level of protein, afterwards a strong down-regulation was detected from 02.00 to 06.00 reaching the minimum level with value of 0.05 (\pm 0.09). At 09.00 up-regulation of Hsp60 was led to reach the maximum of 0.52 (\pm 0.29) and followed by progressive down-regulation with finally low rising of level of Hsp60.

| Level: Porites lobata | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Time | 06.00 | 16.00 | 20.00 | 13.00 | 02.00 | 09.00 |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Ranked means | 0.5702 | 0.9494 | 1.0848 | 1.3248 | 1.4014 | 1.5278 |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6-1*** | | | | | |
| 2 | 5-1** | 6-2 ns | | | | |
| 3 | 4-1** | 5-2 ns | 6-3 ns | | | |
| 4 | 3-1* | 4-2 ns | 5-3 ns | 6-4 ns | | |
| 5 | 2-1 ns | 3-2 ns | 4-3 ns | 5-4 ns | 6-5 ns | |
| Signif. codes: <0.001 '***' <0.01 '**' <0.05 | | | | | | |
| '*' >0.05 'ns' | | | | | | |

Tab. 5.4 Summary of the Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK test) among specie P. lobata within time.

The statistical analysis with pairwise comparison (Tab 5.4) showed that, among the sampling hours at 06.00 and other there was a significant different (p<0.001, in Tab 5.4 are reported p-values of every pairwise comparison performed) in the Hsp60 level. Furthermore, the Hsp60 level detected at 16.00 was similar with all sampling hours (p>0.05). The protein signal at 20.00 showed low or nothing significance differences (p<0.05 or p>0.05) respected with all other time. Thus, in general two different groups explaining the level of expression of the Hsp60 might be detected. The first group characterized by the sampling hour in which the expression level of the Hsp60 could be considered high (p<0.05) composed by the samples collected at 02.00, 09.00, 13.00, 16.00 and also 20.00 (marked respectively with a and a' in the Fig 5.8). Second group characterized by the sampling hour in which the expression level of the Hsp60 could be considered low (p<0.001 comparing to a, p<0.05 compared with a') composed by the samples collected at, 06.00 (marked with c in the Fig 5.12).

The Hsp70 showed a similar expression pattern than those showed by Hsp60, even if is displayed that sampling of 20.00 level of Hsp70 appear higher than Hsp60. Likewise Hsp60, Hsp70 has a transient modulation. It starts with an down-regulation from 02.00, reaching the minium with vale of 0.22. At 09.00 appear an up-regulation followed by a gradual down regulation till 16.00 and afterwards there is the finally strong up regulation which led Hsp70 to reach the maximum with values of 1.42

Twice graphics below (Fig 5.13, Fig 5.14) show in generally if Hsp60 and Hsp70 have a positive or negative trend or also are stable. First graphic reveals that Hsp60 in *P. lobata* has low negative trend individuated by slop value -0.0031. Likewise in *E.*lamellosa, very low values of R^2 , together with well array of Hsp60 levels in *P. lobata* well explained transient modulation.



Fig. 5.13 Best fitting line of Hsp60 levels of *P. lobata* at different sampling hours all day long compared with daily variation of light intensity.



Fig. 5.14 Best fitting line of Hsp70 levels of *P. lobata* at different sampling hours all day long compared with daily variation of light intensity.

5.5 Modulation of Hsp60 and Hsp70

The expression pattern of Hsp60 (Fig. 5.15) and Hsp70 (Fig. 5.16) in *A. tenuis*, P. *lobata* and *E. lamellosa* during the 6-hour sampling of the day is shown in graphic below.



Fig. 5.15 Hsp60 pattern of *A. tenuis*, red line, *E. lamellosa*, blue line, and *P. lobata*, green line, variation in sampling time. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean ± SE.

Regarding Hsp60 is shown by the comparison of the three trends that every coral have a different pattern. The stronger up-regulation among three species is displayed by Gaussinalike pattern exhibited by *A. tenuis*. Bifasic pattern is shown both by *E. lamellosa* and *P. lobata* even if from 13.00 seams that they have similar level of Hsp60, revers pattern from 02.00 to 13.00 is reveled. Similar down-regulation in full light, from 09.00 to 16.00, is shown by *A. tenuis* and *P. lobata*. Is shown by investigating expression rate of three coral species that the high (1.78) is detected in *A. tenuis*, regarding *E. lamellose* and *P. lobata* similar expression rate, 0.98 for *E. lamellosa* and 0.96 for *P. lobata* is expressed.



Fig. 5.16 Hsp70 levels of *A. tenuis*, red line, *E. lamellosa*, blue line, and *P. lobata*, green line, variation in sampling time. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean.

Likewise for Hsp60, in Hsp70 is shown by the comparison of the three trends that every coral have a different pattern. Likewise in Hsp60 also in Hsp70 the stronger up-regulation among three species is displayed by Gaussina-like pattern exhibited by *A. tenuis*. Bifasic pattern showed by Hsp60 in *E. lamessola* and *P. lobata* is showed also in Hsp70 during all sampling hours. As well as in Hsp60 also in Hsp70 similar pattern of *A. tenuis* and *P. lobata* from 09.00 to 16.00 is shown. In generally Hsp70 is less expressed than Hsp60. Regarding Hsp70 the expression rate of the three coral species, 1.52 for *A. tenuis*, 1.23 for *P.lobata* and 1.11 for *E. lamellosa*, appear different.

The expression level of Hsp60 (Fig. 5.17) and Hsp70 (Fig. 5.18) of *A. tenuis*, P. *lobata* and *E. lamellosa* during the 6-hour sampling of the day is shown in graphic below with statistical pairwise comparison for Hsp60 (Tab. 5.5).

| Level: 09.00 | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Genus | E. lamellosa | P. lobata | A. tenuis |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Ranked means | 0.5305 | 1.5278 | 2.1509 |
| Comparisons: | | | |
| 1 | 3-1*** | | |
| 2 | 2-1*** | 3-2** | |
| Level: 13.00 | | | |
| Genus | E. lamellosa | P. lobata | A. tenuis |

Tab. 5.2 Summary of the Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK test) among species within time.

| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Ranked means | 1.3248 | 1.3483 | 1.8108 |
| Comparisons: | | | |
| 1 | 3-1 ns | | |
| 2 | 2-1 ns | 3-2* | |
| Level: 16.00 | | | |
| Genus | E. lamellosa | P. lobata | A. tenuis |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Ranked means | 0.9494 | 1.2431 | 1.3526 |
| Comparisons: | | | |
| 1 | 3-1 ns | | |
| 2 | 2-1 ns | 3-2 ns | |
| Level: 20.00 | | | |
| Genus | E. lamellosa | P. lobata | A. tenuis |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Ranked means | 0.4002 | 0.7466 | 1.0848 |
| Comparisons: | | | |
| 1 | 3-1** | | |
| 2 | 2-1 ns | 3-2 ns | |
| Level: 02.00 | | | |
| Genus | E. lamellosa | P. lobata | A. tenuis |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Ranked means | 0.3585 | 0.7895 | 1.4014 |
| Comparisons: | | | |
| 1 | 3-1*** | | |
| 2 | 2-1* | 3-2** | |
| Level: 06.00 | | | |
| Genus | E. lamellosa | P. lobata | A. tenuis |
| Rank order | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Ranked means | 0.5702 | 1.1115 | 2.1774 |
| Comparisons: | | | |
| 1 | 3-1*** | | |
| 2 | 2-1* | 3-2*** | |
| Signif. codes: <0.001 '***' <0.01 '**' <0.05 '*' >0.05 'ns' | | | |



Fig. 5.17 Hsp60 levels of *A. tenuis*, red plot, *E. lamellosa*, blue plot, and *P. lobata*, green plot, at different sampling hours. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean \pm SE (two-way ANOVA followed by SNK test, different letter correspond to p<0.001 for each sampling time, the same marked as I, m, o, have p>0.05 respectively at 13.00, 16.00 and 20.00 within sampling time).

Significant difference in expression of Hsp60 by statistical analysis with pairwise comparison with SNK test is reveled. Within sampling hours of 02.00, 06.00 and 09.00 every corals species have significant difference (p<0.001) among the others. Even though diversity in pattern modulation, within sampling hors of 13.00 and 16.00, no significant difference (p>0.05) among coral species was detected. Within last sampling hour, 20.00, among *E.lamellosa* and *P. lobata* no significant difference (p<0.01) was detected, but among *A.tenuis* and other two coral species significant difference (p<0.01) was detected.



Fig. 5.18 Hsp70 levels of *A. tenuis*, red plot, *E. lamellosa*, blue plot, and *P. lobata*, green plot, at different sampling hours. Data are expressed as arbitrary units and as mean.

Regarding Hsp70 significance difference without statistical analysis is not possible to be detected. Howeverthe the appearance of no similarity within sampling hours among *A. tenuis*, *P. lobata* and *E. lamellosa* is shown by Fig. 5.18, the only exception is within 16.00. In fact among *A. tenuis* and *P. lobata* probably is there significant similarity and among *E. lamellosa* and other coral species probably could be significant difference.

In graphics below show the comparison of best fitting line of Hsp60 expression level (Fig. 5.19) and Hsp70 (Fig. 5.20) is shown. Both in regard of general regulation of Hsp60 (Fig.5.19) and Hsp70 (Fig. 5.20) during the day, the results of Fig 5.15 and Fig. 5.16 of difference in expression pattern among coral species is supported.



Fig. 5.19 Best fitting line of Hsp60 levels of *A. tenuis*, red line, *E. lamellosa*, blue line, and *P. lobata*, green line, at different sampling time all day long compared with daily variation of light intensity.



Fig. 5.20 Best fitting line of Hsp70 levels of *A. tenuis*, red line, *E. lamellosa*, blue line, and *P. lobata*, green line, at different sampling time all day long compared with daily variation of light intensity.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Scleractinian corals are colonial animals composed by genetically identical units living in symbiosis with several organisms. The most important symbiosis for scleractinian corals is the association with Symbiodinium spp. Scleractinian corals are sessile organisms that live in a limited latitude range, and need to a relative stable sea condition, in particular an extremely limited temperature range and oligotrophic water is needed (Veron, 1986). Scleractinian corals are threatened both by biotic and abiotic factor. Two principal abiotic stress factors are temperature and solar radiance. Daily variation in light intensity and in temperature is a natural cycle and life of every organisms and their circadian rhythm are influenced by those. Light intensity influences biological entrainment of biological rhythm in many species including plants, insects and mammals (reviewed by Cashmore, 2003), furthermore, anthozoan cnidarians (corals, sea anemones, and sea pens) instead of lacking specialized sense organs yet display most susceptible photosensitive behaviour (Gorbunov et al., 2002; Levy et al., 2003, 2006). Regarding temperature, the most importance in influence organism's physiology and clock function for the organism is konwn. In order to protect and repair cellular damage in response to environmental perturbation stress proteins (Hsps) mechanism is the first defence pathway be induced (Arya et al., 2007; Lanneau et al., 2010; Richter et al., 2010). In order to difference in cellular compartment location and chaperon function, the biomarker Hsp60 and Hsp70 were used to carry out this study. In order to know coral reef health and how scleractinian corals response to diel variation of temperature and light intensity, expression pattern and modulation of biomarkers of three corals (A. tenuis, P. lobata and E. lamellosa) were investigated.

Present results indicate by comparison of the three expression pattern both of Hsp60 and Hsp70 that branched coral *A. tenuis*, foliose coral, *E. lamellosa* and massive coral, *P. lobata* exhibit marked differences in their capability to resist to variance of environmental conditions related with a different capability to resist (Loya et al., 2001; van Woesik et al., 2011). Several studies support the evidence of relative susceptibility of branched coral to bleaching triggered by environmental conditions resulting in the massive death of coral beds of this species (Riegl and Velimirov, 1991; Loya et al., 2001). In according to Loya et al. (2001) and van Woesik et al. (2011), the three studied corals could be classified in according to morphology skills. Thus among *A. tenuis*, *E. lamellosa* and *P. lobata* could identify "loosers" and "winners". In according to growth morphology, thickness, growth and metabolic rate *A. tenuis* may be classified like a looser, *P. lobata* like a winner and *E. lamellosa* have some skills both of winner and looser together (Hung et al., 1998; Marshall and Baird, 2000; Loya et al., 2001;

van Woesik et al., 2011). This classification has been related to the physical properties of the corals, which influence gas and metabolite exchange across boundary layers affecting thermal susceptibility. Thin tissues and the low mass-transfer efficiency in accordance with the branching morphology would make corals highly thermally susceptible due to the low photo-protective capacity of the tissues and the low ability to remove the accumulation of toxic oxygen radicals generated by the stresses (Lesser, 1997; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Loya et al., 2001; Nakamura and van Woesik, 2001). A. tenuis own to the first coral genera to bleach and die during coral bleaching events and is widely considered a highly susceptible genera with limited ability to tolerate increases in temperature, thus a "loser" organism in the face of environmental change, and for the opposite reason, P. lobata and E. lamellosa could be considered a "winner" (Hung et al., 1998; Marshall and Baird, 2000; Loya et al., 2001; van Woesik et al., 2011). Thermal sensitivity of corals has been associated with high metabolic rates (Gates and Edmunds, 1999), thin coral colony tissue thickness (Loya et al., 2001), low mass-transfer rates (Nakamura and van Woesik, 2001), low concentrations of green fluorescent proteins (Bou-Abdallah et al. 2006), highlight-absorbing capacities (Fabricius 2006) and intolerant symbionts (Baker, 2001). Corals with branching or plating morphologies appear to have higher mortality rates after bleaching events (Adjeroud et al., 2009; Fitt et al., 2009; Loya et al., 2001; McClanahan, 2004). It has been suggested that these morphologies have thinner tissue that is less able to sequester protective proteins, and provide less shelter from stressors to the sensitive zooxanthellae harbored inside (Fitt et al., 2009; Loya et al., 2001; McClanahan, 2004). Corals that form massive boulder-like colonies appear to be, in general, less susceptible to bleaching and mortality (Marshall and Baird, 2000; McClanahan, 2004). Reports of population cover from reefs around the world document resistance and recovery of the massive Porites species (Adjeroud et al., 2009; Green et al., 2008; Loya et al., 2001). Loya et al. (2001) and van Woesik et al. (2011) by their studies shown a compelling relationship between growth morphology and susceptibility to environmental variation, several studies have underlined also match between difference in coral resistance and physiology under controlled conditions (Seveso et al., 2014; Levy et al., 2005; Yakovleva and Hidaka, 2004).

Hsp60 modulation under variation of light intensity and temperature have been studied by inducing stress condition, displaying that the branched coral *Stylopora pistillata* is extreme susceptibilie of and the foliose coral *Turbinaria reniformis* have a transient modulation (Chow et al., 2009). The evidence of corals, under stress induction, with different morphology skills have also a different physiology response to stress factor, with this study is enlarged to on field investigation. Thus this study confirms the hypothesis that physiological response *in situ* environmental oscillation is species-specific in according with morphology skills.

Present results are in line with literature regarding Hsps modulation, in fact several studies

have separately investigate modulation of Hsp60 and Hsp70 as biomarkers (Chow et al., 2012; Seveso et al. 2014; Downs et al., 2000; Choresh et al. 2007), in spite of having different cellular location, the comparison of those results suggest a similar expression patter under similar environmental variation. This study display how scleractinian corals express similar modulation pattern both in Hsp60 and Hsp70. This result might suggest that all cellular compartments of coral are involved in response against light or heat stress to protect cells by damages.

Regarding A. tenuis, Gaussian-like pattern explains an extreme susceptibility to stress factors. Switching by total absence of light at 02.00 from their presence with sunset at 06.00 causes a strong up-regulation of Hsps also indicate a high photo- and heat-susceptibility of this coral. This modulation may be supported by fast responding of Hsps defence mechanism which represents the fast one in protecting pathways (Robbart et al., 2004, Chow et al., 2009, Fitt et al., 2009, Seveso et al., 2013). Hsps pathway is ATP-dependant, thus cells consume most of available energy (Hartl et al., 2011). Quick Hsps expression causing at the same time a quickly down-regulation of Hsp until the minimum (Gates and Edmunds, 1999; Morgan and Snell, 2002). In fact, in relation with ATP depleting caused initially strong up-regulation, in A. tenuis, in followed by steady and gradual down-regulation. Studies conducted under controlled condition display a similar pattern of Hsps. Modulation pattern exhibited by A. tenuis might suggest so extreme susceptibility that may already threats by environmental factors of sampling area. In fact coral garden was located in shallow water in lagoon zone. Is known that organisms living in lagoon zone are severely threated by temperature and high light intensity. Thus, to investigate if this pattern is typical of branched corals not subject on stress factor is needed more study. In generally, from this results is possible to affirm that A. tenuis is high susceptible to light and temperature variation and in consequence of this high sensibility has not as fine Hsps regulation as E. lamellosa and P. lobata. Modulation pattern of A. tenuis is appears to be strictly related from diel variation of temperature and light intensity.

Regarding *E. lamellosa* present results display a transient modulation of both Hsp60 and Hsp70. Likewise *A. tenuis*, *E. lamellosa* appears sensible to switching from darkness to light, in fact Hsps are up-regulated in rising sun. However in spite of *A. tenuis* expression of Hsps of *E. lamellosa* oscillate during all sampling hours. Hsps oscillation, more over evident in Hsp70, may be related to lower susceptibility to environmental variation linked also by their morphology sills (Loya et al., 2001), in fact *E. lamellosa* presents a lower level of Hsps expressed than *A. tenuis*. The low distance between the maximum peak of Hsps expressed and the minimum suggest that *E. lamellosa* being a well resistance coral to diel variation and this give to *E. lamellosa* the capability to make a fine regulation of expression of Hsps. From its morphology skills belong also the capability to manage physiological response. In fact as opposed to *A. tenuis* which quickly consume ATP causing a following strong reducing level of

Hsps (Hochachka and Somero, 2002; Snyder and Rossi, 2004), *E. lamellosa* is able to manage ATP having a finest and more efficiency in cells protection. Hsps may become toxic by binding cellular proteins if continuously expressed at high level (Feder and Hofmann, 1999), thanks to this sinusoidal pattern *E. lamellosa* is able to protect themselves during diel variation without over expressing Hsps. Hsp70 has the same trend of Hsp60, but the main different is at 13.00, in this case there is a retardation of up-regulation, this cold be explain by the hysteresis phenomenon. This clockwise hysteresis has been explained by Post et al. (1984), as a circadian rhythm changes, changes in nutrition and CO₂ availability, a decrease in photosynthesis due to photo-inhibition and in increase of photorespiration in the afternoon (Falkowski et al., 1985). Generally is possible to affirm that *E. lamellosa* is sensible to switching from dark to light, but is able to have a fine expression of Hsps to protect themselves from daily variation of light and temperature.

Regarding *P. lobata*, present results display a transient modulation of both Hsp60 and Hsp70. As opposed to *A. tenuis* and *E. lamellosa*, *P. lobata* not present susceptibility to switch by darkness to light, but from rise to full light. Likewise *E. lamellosa*, *P. lobata* has an oscillating patter of Hsps expression during all sampling hours, but has a very low fluctuation. In fact level of Hsps is relative stable, excepting for one sampling hour, 06.00, where there is a statistically significance down-regulation, there is no significant difference. This trend, so different by *A. tenuis* and quite similar to *E. lamellosa*, is probably to attribute to high resistance of *P. lobata* which. In fact, *P. lobata* probably is so resistance to daily environmental variation that its not to need to express at high level of Hsps to protect itself.

In conclusion the results suggest that there is a strong species-specific trend in replace to a natural condition in variance of temperature and light intensity without stressor. The pattern of both Hsp60 and Hsp70 expression appear linked to nictemeral variation of irradiance and temperature, with an generally up-regulation during the day and down-regulation in the night. In *P. lobata*, could be remain stable but not to high level of Hsps in consequence of, as demonstrated Feder And Hofmann (1999), Hsps may become toxic by binding cellular proteins if continuously expressed at high level. In consequence of results it's possible to affirm that to difference in growth morphology matches a difference physiological replace environmental fluctuation. Presents results may suggest that physiological patterns are not only a consequence of corals species-specific resistance, but also contribute to its, thus morphological skills together with cells physiology confer specific resistance to each corals. Furthermore the comparison between Hsp60 and Hsp70 modulation suggests that difference in location not match with difference in Hsps expression. This study shows that Hsps have a key role in physiological replace to environmental variation in laboratory but also on field diel variation.

However this study is needed to more insights about Hsp70 to support results presented with statistical relevance, because for laboratory reasons there were not enough results to apply statistical analysis, thus Hsp70 analysis was also qualitative. Following study are suggested to build up a set of molecular biomarkers to investigate physiologically health of coral reef ecosystem.

7. REFERENCES

Adjeroud M., Michonneau F., Edmunds P. J., Chancerelle Y., de Loma T. L., Penin L., Thibaut L., Vidal-Dupiol J., Salvat B., Galzin, R. (2009). Recurrent disturbances, recovery trajectories, and resilience of coral assemblages on a South Central Pacific reef. Coral Reefs 28, 775-780.

Aeby G. S. (2005) Outbreaks of coral disease in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. Coral Reefs 24:481

Arya R., Mallik M., Lakhotia S. C. (2007) Heat shock genes – integrating cell survival and death. J Biosci 325:95–610.

Ainsworth T.D. and Hoegh-Guldberg O. (2009) Bacterial communities closely associated with coral tissues vary under experimental and natural reef conditions and thermal stress. Aquatic Biology, 4 (3). pp. 289-296.

Alutoin S., Boberg J., Nystrom M., Tedengren M., (2001) Effects of multiple stressors copper and reduced salinity on the metabolism of the hermatypic coral *Porites lutea*. Mar. Environ. Res. 52: 289-299.

Azem A., Oppliger W., Lustig A., Jeno P., Feifel B., Schatz G., Horst M. (1997). The mitochondrial Hsp70 chaperone system. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 20901–20906.

Baird A. and Maynard J. A. (2008) Coral adaptation in the face of climate change. Science 320: 315-316.

Baker A. C. (2001) Corals' adaptive response to climate change. Nature 411:765–766.

Baker A. C. (2003) Flexibility and specificity in coral-algal symbiosis: diversity, ecology and biogeography of *Symbiodinium*. Annu Rev Ecol Evol 34: 661-689.

Barash Y., Sulam R., Loya Y., Rosenberg E. (2005). Bacterial strain BA-3 and a filterable factor cause a white plague-like disease in corals from the Eilat coral reef. Aquat Microb Ecol 40: 183–189.

Berkelmans R., Oliver J.K., (1999) Large-scale bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 18:55-60.

Berkelmans R. and van Oppen M. J. H. (2006) The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of corals: a 'nugget of hope' for coral reefs in an era of climate change. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 273, 2305-2312.

Berkelmans R. and B. L. Willis. (1999) Seasonal and local spatial patterns in the upper thermal limits of corals in the inshore Central Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 18: 219–228.

Bianchi C. N., Pronzato R., Cattaneo-Vietti R., Benedetti-Cecchi L., Morri C., Pansini M., Chemello R., Milazzo M., Fraschetti S., Terlizzi A., Peirano A., Salvati E., Benzoni F., Calcinai B., Cerrano C., Bavestrello G., (2003) I fondi duri. Biol.Mar.Medit, suppl. 10, pp. 199-232.

Bou-Abdallah F., Chasteen N. D., Lesser M. P. (2006) Quenching of superoxide radicals by green fluorescent protein. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects 1760.11: 1690-1695.

Bouchard F. D. R. (2009) Understanding colonial traits using symbiosis research and ecosystem ecology. Biological Theory 4, 240–246.

Bouchard J. N. and Yamasaki H. (2008) Heat stress stimulates nitric oxide production in Symbiodinium microadriaticum: a possible linkage between nitric oxide and the coral bleaching phenomenon. Plant Cell Physiol. 49, 641-652.

Bryant D., Burke L., McManus J. (1998) Reefs at risk: a map-based indicator of threats to the world's coral reefs. World Resources Institute, Washington.

Bromage E., Carpenter L., Kaattari S., Patterson M. (2009) Quantification of coral heat shock proteins from individual coral polyps. Marine Ecology Progress Series 376,123–132.

Brown, B.E. (1997) Coral bleaching: causes and consequences. Coral Reefs 16:129-138.

Brown B. E., Dunne R. P., Goodson M. S., Douglas A. E. (2000) Marine ecology: Bleaching patterns in reef corals Nature 404, 142-143.

Bukau B., Deuerling E., Pfund C., Craig E. A. (2000) Getting Newly Synthesized proteins into shape. Cell 101:119–122.

Buddemeier, R. W. and Fautin D. G. (1993) Coral bleaching as an adaptive mechanism. Bioscience: 320-326.

Carte B.K. (1996) Biomedical potential of marine natural products. BioScience 46:271-86.

Cashmore A. R. (2003) Cryptochromes: enabling plants and animals to determine circadian time. Cell 114.5: 537-543.

Cesar H.S.J. (2000) Coral reefs: their functions, threats and economic value. Collected Essays on the economics of coral reefs. CORDIO, Kalmar University, Sweden 14-39.

Cesar H.S.L., Burke L., Pet-Soede L. (2003) The Economics of Worldwide Coral Reef Degradation. Technical Report. Cesar Environmental Economics Consulting (CEEC).

Chapple J. P., Smerdon G. R., Hawkins J. S. (1997) Stress-70 Protein induction in Mytilus edulis: tissue-specific responses to elevated temperature reflect relative vulnerability and physiological function. J Exp Mar Bio 217:225–23.

Choresh O., Azem A., Loya Y. (2007) Over-expression of highly conserved mitochondrial 70kDa heat-shock protein in the sea anemone *Anemonia viridis*. J Therm Biol 32:367-373.

Chow A. M., Ferriere-Pagès C., Khalouei S., Reynaud S., Brown I.R. (2009) Increased light intensity induces heat shock protein Hsp60 in coral species. Cell Stress Chaperones 14:469-476.

Chow A. M., Beraud E., Tang D. W. F., Ferriere-Pagès C., Brown I. R., (2012) Hsp60 protein pattern in coral is altered by environmental changes in light and temperature. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A 161, 349e353.

Claverie J. M., Grzela R., Lartigue A., Bernadac A., Nitsche S., Vacelet J., Ogata H., Abergel C. (2009) Mimivirus and mimiviridae: giant viruses with an increasing number of potential hosts, including corals and sponges. J Invertebr Pathol 101:172–180PubMedCrossRef

Coles S. L. and Fadlallah Y. H. (1991) Reef coral survival and mortality at low temperatures in the Arabian Gulf: new species-specific lower temperature limits. Coral Reefs 9.4: 231-237.

Coles S. L., Jokiel P. L. (1978) Synergistic effects of temperature, salinity and light on the hermatypic coral *Montipora verrucosa*. Mar Biol 49:187–195.

Coles S. L. (1992) Experimental comparison of salinity tolerances of reef corals from the Arabian Gulf and Hawaii: evidence for hyperhaline adaptation. Proc 7th Int Coral Reef Symp, Guam, 1:227-234.

Coles S. L. and Brown B.E. (2003) Coral Bleaching-Capacity for Acclimatization and Adaption. Advances in Marine Biology 46:183-223.

Coles S. L., Jokiel P. L. (1992) Effects of salinity on coral reefs. In: Connell DW, Hawker DW (eds) Pollution in tropical aquatic systems. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, p 147–166.

Collins M. (2000) The El Niño–Southern Oscillation in the Second Hadley Centre Coupled Model and Its Response to Greenhouse Warming. Journal of climate 13:1299-1312.

Connel J.H. (1978) Diversity in tropical rain forest and coral reefs. Science 199:1302-1310.

Craig E. A. and Gross C. A. (1991) Is hsp70 the cellular thermometer?Trends in biochemical sciences 16: 135-140.

Croquer A., Bastidas C., Lipscomb D. (2006) Folliculinid ciliates: a new threat to Caribbean corals? Dis Aquat Org 69:75–78PubMedCrossRef

Dahlhoff E. P. (2004) Biochemical indicators of stress and metabolism: applications for marine ecological studies. Annu Rev Physiol 66:183-207.

Davies J. M., Dunne R. P., Brown B. E. (1997) Coral bleaching and elevated sea-water temperature in Milne Bay Province, Papua New Guinea, 1996. Marine and Freshwater Research 48:513-516.

Devlin M., Taylor J., Brodie J. (1998) Flood plumes, extent, concentration and composition. GBRMPA Reef Res 8:1–9.

Darling E. S., Alvarez-Filip L., Oliver T. A., McClanahan T. R., Cötle, I.M. (2012) Evaluating life-history strategies of reef corals from species traits. Ecol. Lett. 15, 1378e1386.

Davy S. K., Burchett S. G., Dale A. L., Davies P., Davy J. E., Muncke C., Hoegh-Guldberg O., Wilson W.H. (2006) Viruses: agents of coral disease? Dis Aquat Org 69:101–110PubMedCrossRef.

Dong Z. J., Huang H., Huang L. M., Li Y. C. (2009) Diversity of symbiotic algae of the genus *Symbiodinium* in scleractinian corals of the Xisha Islands in the South China Sea. J Syst Evol 47:321–326CrossRef.

Donner S. D., Knutson T. R., Oppenheimer M. (2007) Model-based assessment of the role of human-induced climate change in the 2005 Caribbean coral bleaching event. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104.13: 5483-5488.

Douglas A. E. (2003) Coral bleaching-How and why? Marine Pollution Bulletin 46:385-392.

Downs C. A., Mueller E., Phillips S., Fauth J.E., Woodley C.M. (2000) A molecular biomarker system for assessing the health of coral (*Montastrea faveolata*) during heat stress. Mar. Biotechnol. 2, 533-544.

Downs C. A., Fauth J. E., Robinson C. E., Curry R., Lanzendorf B., Halas J. C., Halas J., Woodley C. M. (2005) Cellular diagnostic and coral health: declining coral health in the Florida Keys. Mar. Poll. Bull. 51, 558-569.

Downs C. A., Kramarsky-Winter E., Woodley C. M., Downs A., Winter G., Loya Y., Ostrander G. K. (2009) Cellular pathology and histopathology of hypo-salinity exposure on the coral *Stylophora pistillata*. Sci. Total. Environ. 407, 4838-4851.

Dunn S. R., Thomason J. C., Le Tissier M. D. A., Bythell J. C. (2004) Heat stress induces different forms of cell death in sea anemones and their endosymbiotic algae depending on temperature and duration. Cell Death Differ 11:7213–7222.

Dunn S. R., Schnitzler C. E., Weis V. M. (2007) Apoptosis and autophagy as mechanisms of dinoflagellate symbiont release during cnidarian bleaching: every which way you lose. Proc R Soc Lond B 274: 3079–3085.

Dustan P. (1999) Coral reefs under stress: sources ofmorta1ity in the Florida Keys. Nat Res Forum 23:147-155

Ellis R. (1996) Discovery of molecular chaperones. Cell Stress Chap 1:155-160

Evans C. G., Chang L., Gestwicki J. E. (2010) Heat shock protein 70 (hsp70) as an emerging drug target. Journal of medicinal chemistry 53.12: 4585-4602.

Fabricius K. E. (2006) Effects of irradiance, flow, and colony pigmentation on the temperature microenvironment around corals: Implications for coral bleaching? Limnol Oceanogr 51:30–37 Gates RD, Edmunds.

Falkowski P. and Kiefer D. A. (1985) Chlorophyll a fluorescence in phytoplankton: relationship to photosynthesis and biomass. Journal of Plankton Research 7.5: 715-731.

Fang, F. C. (2004) Antimicrobial reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: concepts and controversies. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 820-832.

Feder M. E, Hofmann G. E. (1999) Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu Rev Physiol 61:243-282.

Fitt W. K., McFarland F. K., Warner M. E., Chilcoat G. C. (2000) Seasonal patterns of tissue biomass and densities of symbiotic dinoflagellates in reef corals and relation to coral bleaching. Limnology and oceanography 45.3: 677-685.

Fitt, W. K., Gatesb R. D., Hoegh-Guldbergc O., Bythelld J. C., Jatkard A., Grottolie A. G., Gomezc M., Fisherc P., Lajuenessef T. C., Pantosc O., Iglesias-Prietog R., Franklinh D. J., Rodriguesi L. J., Torregianik J. M., van Woesikj R., Lesserk M. P. (2009) Response of two species of Indo-Pacific corals, *Porites cylindrica* and *Stylophora pistillata*, to short-term thermal stress: the host does matter in determining the tolerance of corals to bleaching." Journal of experimental marine biology and ecology 373.2: 102-110.

Fridovich I. (1995) Superoxide radical and superoxide dismutases. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 64, 97-112.

Golubic S., Radtke G., Le Campion-Alsumard T. (2005) Endolithic fungi in marine ecosystems. Trends Microbiol 13:229–235PubMedCrossRef.

Gates R. D., Ainsworth T. D., (2011) The nature and taxonomic composition of coral symbiomes as drivers of performance limits in scleractinian corals. Journal of Experimental Marine Bioloy and Ecolgy 408: 94-101.

Gates R. D and Edmunds P. J. (1999) The physiological mechanisms of acclimatization in tropical reef corals. Am Zool 39:30-43.

Gates R. D., Hoegh-Guldberg O., McFall-Ngai M. J., Bil K. Y., Muscatine L. (1995) Free amino acids exhibit anthozoan "host factor" activity: they induce the release of photosynthate from symbiotic dinoflagellates in vitro. PNAS 92:7430-7434.

Gething M. and Sambrook J. (1992) Protein folding in the cell. Nature 355.6355: 33-45.

Gleason D. F. (1993) Differential effects of ultraviolet radiation on green and brown morphs of the Caribbean coral Porites astreoides. Limnol Oceanogr 38:1452-1463.

Gleason D. F. and Wellington G. M. (1993). Ultraviolet radiation and coral bleaching. Nature 365:836-838.

Glynn P. W. (1984) Widespread coral mortality and the 1982/83 El Nino warming event. Environ. Conserv.11:133-146.

Glynn P. W. (1988) El Niño-Southern Oscillation 1982-1983: nearshore population, community, and ecosystem responses. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 19:309-345.

Glynn P. W. (1990) Coral mortality and disturbances to coral reefs in the tropical eastern Pacific. Global ecological consequences of the 1982-83 El Niño-Southern Oscillation.Elsevier, Amsterdam. 55-126.

Glynn P. W. (1993) Coral-reef bleaching - ecological perspectives. Coral Reefs 12:1-17.

Glynn P.W. (1996) Coral reef bleaching: facts, hypotheses and implications. Global ChangeBiology2:495-509.

Glynn P. W. and D'Croz L. (1990). Experimental evidence for high temperature stress as the cause of El-Niño-coincident coral mortality. Coral reefs 8:181-191.

Gorbunov M. Y. and Falkowski P. G. (2002) Photoreceptors in the cnidarian hosts allow symbiotic corals to sense blue moonlight." Limnology and oceanography 47.1: 309-315.

Goreau T. F. (1959) The physiology of skeleton formation in corals. I. A method for measuring the rate of calcium deposition by corals under different conditions. Biol. Bull. (Woods Hole) 116: 59-75.

Goreau T. J., Cervino J., Goreau M., Hayes R. and 14 others (1998) Rapid spread of diseases in Caribbean coral reefs. Rev Biol Trop 46:157–171.

Green D. H., Edmunds P. J., Carpenter, R. C. (2008). Increasing relative abundance of *Porites astreoides* on Caribbean reefs mediated by an overall decline in coral cover. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 359, 1-10.

Grimsditch G. D. and Salm R. V. (2006) Coral Reef Resilience and Resistance to Bleaching. IUCN Resilience Science Group Working Paper Series- No 1.

Groombridge B., Jenkins M.D. (2000) Global biodiversity: Earth's living resources in the 21st century, 254 pp.

Grottoli A. G., Rodrigues L. J., Palardy J. E. (2006) Heterotrophic plasticity and resilience in bleached corals. Nature 440:1186-1189.

Haapkylä J., Seymour A. S., Trebilco J., Smith D. (2007) Coral disease prevalence and coral health in the Wakatobi Marine Park, South-East Sulawesi Indonesia. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 87:403–414.

Hartl F. U., Bracher A., Manajit Hayer-Hartl. (2011)Molecular chaperones in protein folding and proteostasis." *Nature* 475.7356: 324-332.

Harriott V. J. (1985) Mortality rates of scleractinian corals before and during a mass bleaching event." Marine ecology progress series. Oldendorf 21.1: 81-88.
Hightower L. E. (1991) Heat shock, stress proteins, chaperones, and proteotoxicity." *Cell* 66.2: 191-197.

Hochachka P. W., Somero G. N. (2002). Biochemical adaptation. Mechanism and Process in Physiological Evolution. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Hoegh-Guldberg O., Smith G. J. (1989) The effect of sudden changes in temperature, light and salinity on the population density and export of zooxanthellae from the reef coral *Stylophora pistillata* Esper and *Seriatopora hystrix* Dana. JEMBE 129:279–303.

Hoegh-Guldberg O. (1999) Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. Mar Freshw Res 50:839–866.

Hoegh-Guldberg O. (2004) Coral reefs in a century of rapid environmental change. Symbiosis 37.1-3: 1-31.

Hoegh-Guldber O., Mumby P. J., Hooten A. J., Steneck R. S., Greenfield P., Gomez E., et al. (2007) Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science 318:1737-1742.

Lowe S. T., Rungi A.A. (2003) Mitochondrial biogenesis and the role of the protein import pathway. Med Sci Sport Exerc 35:86–94.

Hueerkamp C., Glynn P. W., D'Croz L., Matè J. L., Colley S. B. (2001). Bleaching and recovery of five eastern pacific corals in an El Niño-related temperature experiment. Bulletin of Marine Science 69(1):215-236.

Hung, Tsu-Chang, Che-Chung Huang, Kwang-Tsao Shao (1998) "Ecological Survey of Coastal I Water Adjacent to Nuclear Power I Plants in Taiwan." *Chemistry and Ecology* 15.1-3: 129-142.

Hughes T. P., Rodrigues M. J., Bellwood D. R., Ceccarelli D., Hoegh-Guldberg O., McCook L., Moltschaniwskyi N., Pratchett M. S., Steneck R. S., Willis B. (2007) Phase Shifts, Herbivory, and the Resilience of Coral Reefs to Climate Change. *Current Biology* 17:360-365.

Huston M. A. (1985) Patterns of species diversity on coral reefs. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 16:149-177.

Jokiel P. L. and Coles S. L. (1990). Response of Hawaiian and other Indo-Pacific reef corals to elevated temperature. Coral Reefs 8:155-162.

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) (2007) Climate change 2007: synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Core Writing Team, Pachauri RK, Reisinger A (eds). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.

Jokiel P. L., York R. H., Jr (1982) Solar ultraviolet photobiology of the reef coral Pocillopora damicornis and symbiotic zooxanthellae. Bull mar Sci 32:301-315.

Jones R. J. (1997) Zooxanthellae loss as a bioassay for assessing stress in corals. Marine Ecology Progress Series 149:163-171.

JonesG. P., Planes S., Thorrold S. R. (2005) Coral reef fish larvae settle close to home. Current Biology 15.14 (2005): 1314-1318.

Kampinga H. and Elizabeth A. C. (2010) The HSP70 chaperone machinery: J proteins as drivers of functional specificity." Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 11.8: 579-592.

Karlson R. H. (1999) Dynamics of coral communities (Population And Community Biology Series). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

Kellogg C. A. (2004) Tropical Archaea: diversity associated with the surface microlayer of corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 273:81–88CrossRef.

Kerswell A. P., Jones R. J. (2003) Effects of hypo-osmosis on the coral Stylophora pistillata: nature and cause of 'low-salinity bleaching'. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 253, 145e154.

Kimes N. E., Van Nostrand J. D., Weil E., Zhou J. Z., Morris P. J. (2010) Microbial functional structure of *Montastraea faveolata*, an important Caribbean reef-building coral, differs between healthy and yellow-band diseased colonies. Environ Microbiol 12:541–556PubMedCrossRef.

Kingsley R. J, Afif E., Cox B. C., Kothari S., Kriechbaum K., Kuchinsky K., Neill A. T., Puri A. F., Kish V. M. (2003) Expression of heat shock and cold shock proteins in the gorgonian *Leptogorgia virgulata*. J Exp Zool 296:98-107.

Kirkwood M., Todd J. D., Rypien K. L., Johnston A. W. B. (2010) The opportunistic coral pathogen *Aspergillus sydowii* contains dddP and makes dimethyl sulfide from dimethylsulfoniopropionate. ISME J 4:147–150PubMedCrossRef.

Knowlton N., Rohwer F. (2003) Multispecies Microbial Mutualisms on Coral Reefs: The Host as a Habitat. The American Naturalist, Vol. 162, No. S4 pp. S51-S62.

Köhler H. R., Triebskorn R., Stöcker W., Kloetzel P. M., Alberti, G. (1992). The 70 kD heat shock protein (hsp 70) in soil invertebrates: a possible tool for monitoring environmental toxicants. Contam Toxicol 22:334–338.

Koll H., Guiard B., Rassow J., et al. (1992) Antifolding activity of hsp60 couples protein import into the mitochondrial matrix with export to the intermembrane space. Cell 68:1163-1175.

Kooperman N., Ben-Dov E., Kramarsky-Winter E., Barak Z., Kushmaro A. (2007) Coral mucus-associated bacterial communities from natural and aquarium environments. FEMS Microbiol Lett 276:106–113PubMedCrossRef.

Krebs R. A., Bettencourt B. R. (1999) Evolution of thermotolerance and variation in the heat shock protein, Hsp70. American Zoologist 39.6: 910-919.

Kregel K. C. (2002) Invited review: heat shock proteins: modifying factors in physiological stress responses and acquired thermotolerance. Journal of applied physiology 92.5: 2177-2186.

Lang J.C., Chornesky E.A. (1990) Competition between scleractinian reef corals: a review of mechanisms and effects, in: Dubinsky, Z. (Eds.), Ecosystems of the world: coral reefs. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Lanneau D., Wettstein G., Bonniaud P., Garrido C. (2010) Heat shock proteins: cell protection through protein triage. Sci. World J. 10, 1543–1552.

Lasagna R., Albertelli G., Giovannetti E., Grondona M., Milani A., Morri C., Bianchi C. N. (2008) Status of Maldivian reefs eight years after the 1998 coral mass mortality. Chem Ecol 24:67–72.

Le Campion-Alsumard T., Golubic S., Priess K. (1995) Fungi in corals—symbiosis or disease—interaction between polyps and fungi causes pearl-like skeleton niomineralization. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 117:137–147CrossRef.

Lesser M. P. (1996) Elevated temperatures and ultra-violet radiation cause oxidative stress and inhibit photosynthesis in symbiotic dinoflagellates. Limnol. Oceanogr. 41: 271–283.

Lesser M. P. (1997) Oxidative stress causes coral bleaching during exposure to elevated temperatures. Coral Reefs 16: 187–192.

Lesser M. P. (2000) Depth-dependent photoacclimatization to solar ultraviolet radiation in the Caribbean coral Montastraea faveolata. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 192: 137–151.

Lesser M. P., Farrell J. H. (2004) Exposure to solar radiation increases damage to both host tissues and algal symbionts of corals during thermal stress. Coral Reefs 23:367-377.

Lesser M. P., Stochaj W. R., Tapley D. W., Shick J. M. (1990) Bleaching in coral reef anthozoans: effects of irradiance, ultra-violet radiation and temperature on the activities of protective enzymes against active oxygen. Coral Reefs 8: 225–232.

Lesser M. P., Mazel C. H., Gorbunov M. Y., Falkowski P. G. (2004) Discovery of symbiotic nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria in corals. Science 305:997–1000PubMedCrossRef.

Levy O., Dubinsky Z., Achituv Y. (2003) Photobehavior of stony corals: responses to light spectra and intensity. Journal of Experimental Biology 206.22: 4041-4049. Levy J. K. and Gopalakrishnan C. (2005) Promoting disaster-resilient communities: the Great Sumatra–Andaman earthquake of 26 December 2004 and the resulting Indian Ocean tsunami. Water Resources Development 21.4: 543-559.

Levy O., Achituv Y., Yacobi Y. Z., Dubinsky Z., Stambler N. (2006) Diel 'tuning' of coral metabolism: physiological responses to light cues. Journal of Experimental Biology, 209, 273–283.

Liñan-Cabello M. A., Flores-Ramirez L., Zacarias-Salinas J. S., Herna´ndez-Rovelo O., Lezama-Cervantes C. (2006) Correlation of chlorophyll a and total carotenoid concentrations with coral bleaching from locations on the Pacific coast of Mexico. Marine Freshwater and Behaviour and Physiology, 39, 279–291.

Lirman D., Manzello D., Maciá S. (2002) Back from the dead: the resilience of *Siderastrea radians* to severe stress. Coral Reefs 21:291–292.

Loya Y., Sakai K., Yamazato K., Nakano Y., Sambali H., van Woesik R. (2001) Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. Ecol. Lett. **4**:122-131.

Manzello D., Lirman D. (2003) The photosynthetic resilience of *Porites furcata* to salinity disturbance. Coral Reefs 22:537–540.

Marhaver K. L., Edwards R. A., Rohwer F. (2008) Viral communities associated with healthy and bleaching corals. Environ Microbiol 10:2277–2286PubMedCrossRef.

Marshall P. A. and Baird A. H. (2000) Bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef: differential susceptibilities among taxa. Coral reefs 19:155–163.

Marshall P. A. and Baird A. H. (2000) Bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef: differential susceptibilities among taxa." Coral reefs 19.2: 155-163.

Marshall P. A. and Schuttenberg H. (2004) A Reef Manager's Guide to Coral Bleaching. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, Australia.

Marshall P., Schuttenberg H. (2006) A Reef Manager's Guide to Coral Bleaching, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Marubini F., Ferrier-Pages C., Furla P., Allemand D. (2008) Coral calcification responds to seawater acidification: a working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism. Coral Reefs 27: 491–499.

Martinus R. D., Ryan M. T., Naylor D. J., Herd S. M., Hoogenraad N., Hoj P.B. (1995) Role of chaperones in the biogenesis and maintenance of the mitochondrion. FASEB J 9:371-378.

Mayfield A. B., Gates R. D. (2007) Osmoregulation in anthozoan-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Comp Bioch Physiol 147:1–10.

McClanahan T. and Mangi S. (2000). Coral and algal response to the 1998 El Niño coral bleaching and mortality on Kenya's southern reef lagoons. In: Souter, D., Obura, D., Linden,

O. (Eds), Coral Reef Degradation in the Indian Ocean: Status reports 2000.

McClanahan T., Polunin N., Done T. (2002). Ecological states and the resilience of coral reefs. Conservation Ecology 6(2): 18.

McClanahan T. (2004). The relationship between bleaching and mortality of common corals. Marine Biology 144, 1239-1245.

McClanahan T. R., Ateweberhan M., Graham N. A. J., Wilson S. K., Ruiz S. C., Guillaume, M.M.M., Bruggemann, J.H., 2007. Western Indian Ocean coral communities: bleaching responses and susceptibility to extinction. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 337, 1e13.

Moberg F., Folke C. (1999) Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystem. Ecol Econ 29:215-233.

Moberg F., Nystrom M., Kautsky N., Tedengren M., Jarayabhand P. (1997) Effects of reduced salinity on the rates of photosynthesis and respiration in the hermatypic corals *Porites lutea* and *Pocillopora damicornis*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 157:53–59.

Montano S., Seveso D., Galli P., Obura D.O., (2010) Assessing coral bleaching and recovery with a colour reference card in Watamu Marine Park, Kenya. Hydrobiol. 655, 99e108.

Montano S., Strona G., Seveso D., Galli P. (2012) First report of coral diseases in the Republic of Maldives. Dis Aquat Org 101:159-165.

Morgan M.B., Snell T.W. (2002) Characterizing stress gene expression in reef-building corals exposed to the mosquitoside dibrom. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 44 (11) (2002), pp. 1204–1216.

Moro F., Okamoto K., Donzeau M., Neupert W., Brunner M. (2002) Mitochondrial protein import: molecular basis of the ATP-dependent interaction of MtHsp70 withTim44. J. Biol.Chem. 277:6874–6880.

Mukhopadhyay I., Nazir A., Saxena D. K., Kar Chowdhuri D. (2003) Heat shock response: hsp70 in environmental monitoring. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 17:249–254.

Mumby P. J., Chisholm J. R. M., Edwards A. J., Andrefouet S., Jaubert J. (2001) Cloudy weather may have saved Society Island reef corals during the 1998 ENSO event. Marine Ecology Progress Series 222:209–216.

Muscatine L., Grossman D., Doino J. (1991) Release of symbiotic algae by tropical sea anemones and corals after cold shock. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 77:233–243.

Muthiga N. A., Szmant A. M. (1987) The effects of salinity stress on the rates of aerobic respiration and photosynthesis in the hermatypic coral *Siderastrea siderea*. Biol Bull 173:539–551.

Myers R. L., Raymundo L. J. (2009) Coral disease in Micronesian reefs: a link between disease prevalence and host abundance. Dis Aquat Org 87:97-104.

Nakamura T. and Van Woesik R. (2001) Water-flow rates and passive diffusion partially explain differential survival of corals during the 1998 bleaching event. Marine ecology. Progress series 212 (2001): 301-304.

Naseer A., (1997). Profile and status of coral reefs in Maldives and approaches to its management. In Proceedings of the Regional Workshop on the Conservation and Sustainable Management of Coral Reefs. M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation, Chennai, India.

Normant M., Lamprecht I. (2006) Does the scope for growth changes as a result of salinity in the amphipod *Grammarus oceanicus*? J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 334, 158-163.

Nunamaker R. A., Dean V. C., Murphy K. E., Lockwood J. A. (1996). Stress proteins elicited by cold shock in the biting midge, *Culicoides variipennis* sonorensis Wirth and Jones. Comp Biochem Physiol 113B:73–77.

Obura D. (2003) Biodiversity and livelihoods-a perspective from coral reefs. SwedBio workshop on biodiversity, poverty and livelihoods. Stockolm, December 8.

Obura D. (2005) Resilience and climate change: lessons from coral reefs and bleaching in the Western Indian Ocean. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science.

Owen R., Knap A., Toaspern M., Carbery K. (2002) Inhibition of coral photosynthesis by the antifouling herbicide Irgarol 1051. Marine Pollution Bulletin 44:623-632.

Pacher P., Beckman J. S., Liaudet L. (2007). Nitric oxide and peroxynitrite in health and disease. Physiol. Rev. 87, 315-424.

Pandolfi J. M., Connolly S. R., Marshall D. J., Cohen A. L. (2011) Projecting Coral Reef Futures Under Global Warming and Ocean Acidification Science 333 : 418-422.

Papp E., Nardai G., Soti C., Csermely P. (2003) Molecular chaperones, stress proteins and redox homeostasis. Biofactors 17:249–57.

Parsell D. A. and Susan Lindquist (1993) The function of heat-shock proteins in stress tolerance: degradation and reactivation of damaged proteins." Annual review of genetics 27.1: 437-496.

Pichon M., Benzoni F. (2007) Taxonomic re-appraisal of zooxanthellate Scleractinian Corals in the Maldive Archipelago. Zootaxa 1441: 21–33.

Pittock A. B. (1999) Coral reefs and environmental change: adaptation to what? American Zoologist 39:10–29.

Podestà G. P. and Glynn P. W. (1997) Sea surface temperature variability in Panama and Galapagos: extreme temperatures causing coral bleaching. J.Geophys. Res. 102:15,749-15,759.

Pomerance R. (1999) Coral Bleaching, Coral Mortality, And Global Climate Change: Report presented by, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for the Environment and Development to the U.S. Coral Reef Task Force, 5 March 1999, Maui, Hawaii.

Porter JW, Lewis SK, Porter KG (1999) The effect of multiple stressors on the Florida Keys coral reef ecosystem: a landscape hypothesis and a physiological test. Limnol Oceanogr 44:941–949.

Post A. F., Dubinsky Z., Wyman K., Falkowski P. G. (1984) Kinetics of light-intensity adaptation in a marineplanktonic diatom. Mar. Biol. 83: 231–238.

Priess K., Le Campion-Alsumard T., Golubic S., Gadel F., Thomassin B. A. (2000) Fungi in corals: black bands and density-banding of *Porites lutea* and *P. lobata* skeleton. Mar Biol 136:19–27CrossRef.

Raymundo L. J., Rosell K. B., Reboton C. T., Karczmarsky L. T. (2005) Coral diseases on Philippine reefs: genus Porites is a dominant host. Dis Aquat Org 64: 181- 191.

Rankin J. C., Davenport J. (1981) Animal osmoregulation. Glasgow: Blackie & Sons. Ravindran J., Raghukumar C., Raghukumar S. (2001) Fungi in *Porites lutea*: association with healthy and diseased corals. Dis Aquat Org 47:219–228PubMedCrossRef.

Richmond R. H. (1993) Effects of coastal runoff on coral reproduction. In: Ginsburg RN (eds) Proc Coll global aspects of coral reefs: health, hazards, and history. Miami: Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, p 360–364.

Richter K., Haslbeck M., Buchner J. (2010) The heat shock response: life on the verge of death. Molecular cell 40.2 (2010): 253-266.

RieglB. and Velimirov B. (1991) How many damaged corals in Red Sea reef systems? A quantitative survey. Coelenterate Biology: Recent Research on Cnidaria and Ctenophora. Springer Netherlands. 249-256.

Ritchie K. B. (2006) Regulation of microbial populations by coral surface mucus and mucusassociated bacteriaMar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 322:1–14.

Richier S., Furla P., Plantivaux A., Merle P. L., Allemand D. (2005) Symbiosis-induced adapatation to oxidative stress. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 208, 277e285.

Richiera S., Rodriguez-Lanettyc M., Schnitzlera C. E., Weisa V. M. (2008) Response of the symbiotic cnidarian Anthopleura elegantissima transcriptome to temperature and UV increase. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics 3.4: 283-289.

Rivest E. B., Baker D. M., Rypien K. L., Harvell C. D. (2010) Nitrogen source preference of *Aspergillus sydowii*, an infective agent associated with aspergillosis of sea fan corals. Limnol Oceanogr 55:386–392CrossRef.

Robbart M. L., Peckol P., Scordilis S. P., Curran H. A., Brown-Saracino J., (2004) Population recovery and differential heat shock protein expression for the corals *Agaricia agaricites* and *A. tenuifolia* in Belize. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 283, 151-160.

Roberts E. (2003) Scientists warm of coral reef damage from climate change. Marine Scientist 2:21-23.

Rodriguez-Lanetty M., Krupp D. A., Weis V. M. (2004) Distinct ITS types of Symbiodinium in Clade C correlate with cnidarian/dinoflagellate specificity during onset of symbiosis. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 275. pp. 97-102.

Rosenberg E., Koren O., Reshef L., Efrony R., Zilber-Rosenberg I. (2007) The role of microorganisms in coral health, disease and evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:355–362PubMedCrossRef.

Rossi S., Snyder M. J., (2001) Competition for space among sessile marine invertebrates: changes in HSP70 expression in two pacific cnidarians. Biol. Bull. 201, 385-393.

Rohwer F., Kelley S. (2004) Culture-independent analyses of coral-associated microbes. In: Rosenberg E, Loya Y (eds) Coral health and disease. Springer, Berlin, pp 265–277.

Rohwer F., Breitbart M., Jara J., Azam F., Knowlton N. (2001) Diversity of bacteria associated with the Caribbean coral Montastraea franksi. Coral Reefs 20, 85–91.

Rypien K. L. and Baker D. M. (2009) Isotopic labeling and antifungal resistance as tracers of gut passage of the sea fan pathogen *Aspergillus sydowii*. Dis Aquat Org 86:1–7PubMedCrossRef.

Salih A., Larkum A., Cox G., Kuehl M., Hoegh-Guldberg O. (2000) Fluorescent pigments in corals are photoprotective. Nature 408: 850–853.

Salih A., Cox G., Szymczak R., Coles S. L., Baird A. H., Dunstan A., Cocco G., Mills J., Larkum A. (2006). The role of host-based color and fluorescent pigments in photoprotection and in reducing bleaching stress in corals. In Proceedings of the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium, pp. 746-756. Okinawa, Japan: ICRS.

Sanders B.M. (1993) Stress proteins in aquatic organisms: an environmental Perspective. Crit Rev Toxicol 23:49–75.

Sapp J., 2003. Genesis: the Evolution of Biology. Oxford University Press, New York.

Sebastian C. R., Sink K. J., McClanahan T. R., Cowan D. A. (2009) Bleaching response of corals and their *Symbiodinium* communities in southern Africa. Mar Biol 156:2049–2062CrossRef.

Seveso D., Montano S., Strona G., Orlandi I., Vai M., Galli P. (2012) Up-regulation of Hsp60 in response to skeleton eroding band disease but not by algal over-growth in the scleractinian coral Acropora muricata. Mar. Environ. Res. 78:34-39.

Seveso D., Montano S., Strona G., Orlandi I., Galli P., Vai M. (2013) Exploring the effect of salinity changes on the levels of Hsp60 in the tropical coral Seriatopora caliendrum. Mar. Environ. Res. 90, 96-103.

Seveso D., Montano S., Strona G., Orlandi I., Galli P., Vai M. (2014) The susceptibility of corals to thermal stress by analyzing Hsp60 expression. Marine environmental research 99: 69-75.

Shakeel H. and Hudha A., (1997) Exploitation of reef resources: grouper and other food fishes. In Proceedings of the Regional Workshop on the Conservation and Sustainable Management of Coral Reefs. M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation, Chennai, India.

Shick J. M. and Dunlap W. C. (2002) Mycosporine-like amino acids and related gadusols: biosynthesis, accumulation, and UV-protective functions in aquatic organisms. Annual review of Physiology 64.1: 223-262.

Shick J. M., Lesser M. R., Stochaj W. R. (1991) Ultraviolet radiation and photooxidative stress in zooxanthellate Anthozoa: the sea anemone Phyllodiscus semoni and the octocoral Clavularia sp. Symbiosis 10:145-173.

Shick J. M., Lesser M. P., Jokiel P. L. (1996) Effects of ultraviolet radiation on corals and other coral reef organisms. Glob. Change Biol. 2: 527–545.

Smith C. J., Danilowicz B. S., Meijer W. G. (2007) Characterization of the bacterial community associated with the surface and mucus layer of whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*). FEMS Microbiol Ecol 62:90–97PubMedCrossRef.

Spalding D. S., Ravilious C., Green E. P. (2001) World Atlas of Coral Reefs. University of California.

Stat M., Loh W. K. W., LaJeunesse T. C., Hoegh-Guldberg O., Carter D. A. (2009) Stability of coral-endosymbiont associations during and after a thermal stress event in the southern Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 28:709–713CrossRef.

Szmant A.M. and Gassman N.J. (1990). The effects of prolonged "bleaching", on the tissue biomass and reproduction of the reef coral Montastrea annularis. Coral Reefs **8**:217-224.

Takabayashi M., Carter D.A., Loh W.K.T., Hoegh-Guldberg O. (1998) A coral-specific primer for PCR amplification of the internal transcribed spacer 46 region in ribosomal DNA. Mol. Ecol. 7, 925-931.

Tchernov D., Gorbunov M. Y., de Vargas C., Narayan Yadav S., Milligan A. J., Haggblom M., Falkowski P. G. (2004). Membrane lipids of symbiotic algae are diagnostic of sensitivity to thermal bleaching in corals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 13531-13535.

Trapido-Rosenthal H. G., Sharp K. H., Galloway T. S., Morrall C. E. (2001). Nitric oxide and cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbioses: pieces of a puzzle. Am. Zool. 41, 247-257.

Thornhill D. J., Lajeunesse T. C., Kemp D. W., Fitt W. K., Schmidt G. W. (2006) Multi-year, seasonal genotypic surveys of coral-algal symbioses reveal prevalent stability or post-bleaching reversion. Mar Biol 148:711-722.

Toller W. W., Rowan R., Knowlton N. (2002) Genetic evidence for a protozoan (phylum Apicomplexa) associated with corals of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. Coral Reefs 21:143–146.

Hochachka P. W., Somero G. N. (2002) Biochemical adaptation. Mechanism and Process in Physiological Evolution. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Thomson L. J., Robinson M., and Hoffmann A.A. (2001). Field and laboratory evidence for acclimation without costs in an egg parasitoid. Funct. Ecol., 15, 217–221.

Thornhill D. J., Fitt W. K., Schmidt G. W. (2006) Highly stable symbioses among western Atlantic brooding corals. Coral Reefs Volume 25, Issue 4, pp 515-519.

Trautinger F. (2001) Heat shock proteins in the photobiology of human skin. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology 63.1 (2001): 70-77.

Trench R. K. (1971) The physiology and biochemistry of zooxanthellae symbiotic with marine coelenterates. II. Liberation of fixed 14C by zooxanthellae in vitro. Proc R Soc Lon B 177:237-250.

Titlyanov E. A., Tsukahara J., Titlyanova T. V., Leletkin V. A., Van Woesik R., Yamazato K. (2000) Zooxanthellae population density and physiological state of the coral *Stylophora pistillata* during starvation and osmotic shock. Symbiosis 28:303–322.

True J. D. (2012) Salinity as a structuring force for near shore coral communities. *Proc*eedings of the 12th International Coral Reef Symposium, Cairns, Australia.

Vabulas R. M., Raychaudhuri S., Hayer-Hartl M., Hartl F. U. (2010) Protein folding in the cytoplasm and the heat shock response. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2.

Van Oppen M. J. H., Leong J. A., Gates R. D. (2009) Coral–virus interactions: a doubleedged sword? Symbiosis 47, 1–8.

Van Woesik R., De Vantier L. M., Glazebrook J. S. (1995) Effects of Cyclone 'Joy' on nearshore coral communities of the Great Barrier Reef. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 128:261–270.

Vaughan T. W. (1914). Reef corals of the Bahamas and of southern Florida. Carnegie Institution of Washington Year Book for 1914.

Vedel G. R. and Depledge M. H. (1995). Stress-70 levels in the gills of Carcinus maenas exposed to copper. Mar Pollut Bull 31:84–86.

Venn A. A., Loram J. E., Douglas A.E. (2008) Photosynthetic symbioses in animals. J Exp Bot 59:1069-1080.

Veron J. E. N. (1986) Corals of Australia and the Indo - Pacific. Angus and Robertson, London, Sydney.

Veron J. E. N. (2000) Corals of the world, Vol 1–3. Aus- tralian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.

Ware J. R., Fautin D. G., Buddemeier R. W. (1996). Patterns of coral bleaching: modeling the adaptive bleaching hypothesis. Ecol. Mod. 84, 199-214.

Walker B. H. (1992) Biodiversity and ecological redundancy. Conservation biology 6.1: 18-23.

Wallace C. C and Zahir H. (2007) The 'Xarifa' expedition and the atolls of the Maldives, 50 years on. Coral Reefs 26: 3-5.

Wegley L., Yu Y. N., Breitbart M., Casas V., Kline D. I., Rohwer F. (2004) Coral-associated archaea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 273:89–96CrossRef.

Wegley L., Edwards R., Rodriguez-Brito B., Liu H., Rohwer, F. (2007) Metagenomic analysis of the microbial community associated with the coral Porites astreoides. Environmental Microbiology 9, 2707–2719.

Wells J. W. (1957) Coral reefs. Mem Geol Soc Am 67:608-631.

Warner M. E., Fitt W. K., Schmidt, G. W. (1999). Damage to photosystem II in symbiotic dinoflagellates: a determinant of coral bleaching. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 8007-8012.

Weis, V., Verde, E.A., Reynolds, W.S., 2002. Characterization of a short form peridininchlorophyll-protein (PCP) cDNA and protein from the symbiotic dinoflagellate Symbiodinium muscatinei (Dinophyceae) from the sea anemone *Anthopleura elegantissima* (Cnidaria). J. Phycol. 38, 157-163.

Williams W.M., et al. Nitrogen fixation (acetylene reduction) associated with the living coral Acropora variabilis Mar. Biol., 94 (1987), pp. 531–535.

Willis B. L., Page C. A., Dindsdale E. A. (2004) Coral disease in the Great Barrier Reef. In: Rosenberg E, Loya Y (eds). Coral health and disease. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p 69–104. Wellington G. M. and Victor B. C. (1985) El Nino mass coral mortality: a test of resource limitation in a coral reef damselfish population. Oecologia 68:15-19. Weil E., Irikawa A., Casareto B., Suzuki Y. (2012) Extended geographic distribution of several Indo-Pacific coral reef diseases. Dis Aquat Org 98:163–170.

White T. J., Bruns T., Lee S., Taylor J. (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. in: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., White, T.J., (Eds.), PCR Protocols. A Guide to Methods and Application. San Diego, CA: Academic Press Inc., pp. 315-322.

Wilson W. H., Francis I., Ryan K., Davy S. K. (2001) Temperature induction of viruses in symbiotic dinoflagellates. Aquat Microb Ecol 25:99–102CrossRef.

Wilson W. H., Dale A. L., Davy J. E., Davy S. K. (2005) An enemy within? Observations of virus-like particles in reef corals. Coral Reefs 24:145–148CrossRef.

Wilkinson C. (2004) Status of coral reefs of the world. AIMS: Townswille (Australia), 557.

Wilkinson C. (2008) Status of coral reefs of the world: 2008. Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network and Reef and Rainforest Research Centre, Townsville, Australia, 296.

Yakovleva, I. and Hidaka M. (2004) Diel fluctuations of mycosporine-like amino acids in shallow-water scleractinian corals. Marine Biology 145.5 (2004): 863-873.

Yellowlees D., Rees T. A. V., Leggat W. (2008) Metabolic interactions between algal symbionts and invertebrate hosts. Plant Cell Environ 31:679-694.

Zahir H. (2000) Status of the coral reefs of Maldives after the bleaching event in 1998. In: Souter D, Obura D, Lindèn O (eds) Coral reef degradation in the Indian Ocean. Cordio, Stockholm, Sweden, pp 64-68.